
Subject: Re: Maddening structures

Posted by [Maarten\[1\]](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:13:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Edd wrote:

> OK, I've got some data read in so that I have a structure that looks
> like
>
> data.foo[600]
> .bar[600]
> .baz[600]
>
> and I want
> data[600].foo
> .bar
> .baz
>
> In other words I want an array of structures rather than a structure
> of arrays.
>
> Does anyone have any magic that works for the case when foo, bar and
> baz are not previously known?

Perhaps my reading comprehension isn't quite awake yet, but:

1) do you mean the names themselves, or the number of fields (there may also be a fuu around, in addition to the foo, bar and baz)?

Get the names with `tag_names(data)`, obtain the length with `size(data.(0), /dim)`, create a base struct with `base = CREATE_STRUCT(...)` and `replicate()` the base to the right size. At least that is where I would look. Copying is another matter, perhaps others can comment on how to do this efficiently. At least you have the right structure to store the stuff.

Maarten

Subject: Re: Maddening structures

Posted by [Edd Edmondson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:23:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maarten <maarten.sneep@knmi.nl> wrote:

> Edd wrote:
>> OK, I've got some data read in so that I have a structure that looks
>> like
>>

```
>> data.foo[600]
>>   .bar[600]
>>   .baz[600]
>>
>> and I want
>> data[600].foo
>>     .bar
>>     .baz
>>
>> In other words I want an array of structures rather than a structure
>> of arrays.
>>
>> Does anyone have any magic that works for the case when foo, bar and
>> baz are not previously known?
```

> Perhaps my reading comprehension isn't quite awake yet, but:

> 1) do you mean the names themselves, or the number of fields (there may
> also be a fuu around, in addition to the foo, bar and baz)?

I'd basically like the most general method I can find (so I don't have
to go recoding stuff when another field gets added a week or two down
the line). So number and naming of fields should be considered flexible.

> Get the names with tag_names(data), obtain the length with
> size(data.(0), /dim), create a base struct with base =
> CREATE_STRUCT(...) and replicate() the base to the right size. At least
> that is where I would look. Copying is another matter, perhaps others
> can comment on how to do this efficiently. At least you have the right
> structure to store the stuff.

That's where I was looking too. I wasn't sure how to handle the
structure creation nearly though. Once you have the tag names you can
loop over them in a for loop (there won't be that many tags anyway) so
it's not too bad. Just a bit hairy. It'd be nice if there was a
reform-alike. :-)

I may try for an alternative workaround, as rejigging the other bits
probably involves less recoding than doing the jiggling with this.

--
Edd

Subject: Re: Maddening structures
Posted by [Edd Edmondson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:24:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maarten <maarten.sneep@knmi.nl> wrote:

> Edd wrote:

>> OK, I've got some data read in so that I have a structure that looks

>> like

>>

>> data.foo[600]

>> .bar[600]

>> .baz[600]

>>

>> and I want

>> data[600].foo

>> .bar

>> .baz

>>

>> In other words I want an array of structures rather than a structure

>> of arrays.

>>

>> Does anyone have any magic that works for the case when foo, bar and

>> baz are not previously known?

> Perhaps my reading comprehension isn't quite awake yet, but:

> 1) do you mean the names themselves, or the number of fields (there may

> also be a fuu around, in addition to the foo, bar and baz)?

I'd basically like the most general method I can find (so I don't have to go recoding stuff when another field gets added a week or two down the line). So number and naming of fields should be considered flexible.

> Get the names with tag_names(data), obtain the length with

> size(data.(0), /dim), create a base struct with base =

> CREATE_STRUCT(...) and replicate() the base to the right size. At least

> that is where I would look. Copying is another matter, perhaps others

> can comment on how to do this efficiently. At least you have the right

> structure to store the stuff.

That's where I was looking too. I wasn't sure how to handle the structure creation neatly though. Once you have the tag names you can loop over them in a for loop (there won't be that many tags anyway) so it's not too bad. Just a bit hairy. It'd be nice if there was a reform-alike. :-)

I may try for an alternative workaround, as rejigging the other bits probably involves less recoding than doing the jigging with this.

--

Edd

Subject: Re: Maddening structures
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 13:52:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Edd writes:

```
> OK, I've got some data read in so that I have a structure that looks
> like
>
> data.foo[600]
>   .bar[600]
>   .baz[600]
>
> and I want
> data[600].foo
>     .bar
>     .baz
>
> In other words I want an array of structures rather than a structure
> of arrays.
>
> Does anyone have any magic that works for the case when foo, bar and
> baz are not previously known?
```

```
names = ['foo','bar', 'baz']
struct = Create_Struct(names[0], 0.0, names[1], 0.0, names[2], 0.0)
data = Replicate(struct, 600)
```

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Maddening structures
Posted by [Edd Edmondson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 13:54:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Edd <eddedmondson@hotmail.com> wrote:

```
> That's where I was looking too. I wasn't sure how to handle the
> structure creation neatly though. Once you have the tag names you can
> loop over them in a for loop (there won't be that many tags anyway) so
> it's not too bad. Just a bit hairy. It'd be nice if there was a
```

> reform-alike. :-)

Saying it's not too bad is an exaggeration. All this is happening inside an `execute()`, so I'm entering it in one set of `"`'s. I need to put it all in another `execute()` inside that to make it on-the-fly-enough, which uses up a `""` inside the `"`, leaving me using `STRING(39B)` to get a third tier. I've managed to build up a string that looks like

`'mystructure=create_struct('foo',0.1,'bar',1242999,'baz',somestring)'`
which means I get my float in `foo`, my long in `bar`, but `baz` complains because the string is coming out without the `"`'s it needs, only I can't put those `"`'s in in general or the floats and longs turn into strings too, breaking other stuff.

It's the ugliest code I've written (well, since that bit of Perl I did last week) and I'm about to throw a brick at the screen. All this just to rejig a structure a bit. Surely this can be done with `histogram()` or something ;-)

--

Edd

Subject: Re: Maddening structures

Posted by [Edd Edmondson](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 13:55:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Edd writes:

>> OK, I've got some data read in so that I have a structure that looks

>> like

>>

>> `data.foo[600]`

>> `.bar[600]`

>> `.baz[600]`

>>

>> and I want

>> `data[600].foo`

>> `.bar`

>> `.baz`

>>

>> In other words I want an array of structures rather than a structure

>> of arrays.

>>

>> Does anyone have any magic that works for the case when `foo`, `bar` and

>> `baz` are not previously known?

```
> names = ['foo','bar', 'baz']
> struct = Create_Struct(names[0], 0.0, names[1], 0.0, names[2], 0.0)
> data = Replicate(struct, 600)
```

Fine, unless there might be further tags (which you can then solve with a bit of looping and executing), and complicated when they aren't all floats but a mix of floats, ints, longs and strings. See my other post...

Think I'm getting there though. Whether anyone will be able to manage this code later is another question.

--
Edd

Subject: Re: Maddening structures
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 14:16:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Edd writes:

```
> Think I'm getting there though. Whether anyone will be able to manage
> this code later is another question.
```

Just leave all the comments out. Then, whoever has to deal with it later will have to rewrite it the way it SHOULD have been written in the first place. :-)

Cheers,

David

--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Maddening structures
Posted by [Jean H.](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 16:40:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
> Fine, unless there might be further tags (which you can then solve
> with a bit of looping and executing), and complicated when they aren't
```

> all floats but a mix of floats, ints, longs and strings. See my other
> post...

What about using an array of pointers? drop the structure and work on
the array! ... it could be of any size!

Jean
