

---

Subject: Re: Redirecting stderr?

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:16:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dominic writes:

> Is there a way to redirect STDERR to /dev/null from WITHIN IDL?

No, no, and NO. I know this doesn't discourage you,  
but have you given any thought to the idea that IDL  
may not be the kind of thing you think it is? :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---

---

Subject: Re: Redirecting stderr?

Posted by [Dominic\[1\]](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 21:55:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I am still hoping that at least parts of IDL might be the kind of  
thing that I think it is but, unfortunately, the number of these parts  
are getting smaller and smaller.

It especially irritates me for the RESOLVE\_ROUTINE problem that keeps  
on display syntax errors even when using !QUIET or when using EXECUTE  
with QuietCompile and QuietExecution set. Do they just like to tease me  
and claim that it is QuietExecution but then it actually is not?

dometz

David Fanning wrote:

> Dominic writes:

>

>> Is there a way to redirect STDERR to /dev/null from WITHIN IDL?

>

> No, no, and NO. I know this doesn't discourage you,  
> but have you given any thought to the idea that IDL  
> may not be the kind of thing you think it is? :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David  
> --  
> David Fanning, Ph.D.  
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>  
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---

---

Subject: Re: Redirecting stderr?  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 22:34:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dominic writes:

> It especially irritates me for the RESOLVE\_ROUTINE problem that keeps  
> on display syntax errors even when using !QUIET or when using EXECUTE  
> with QuietCompile and QuietExecution set. Do they just like to tease me  
> and claim that it is QuietExecution but then it actually is not?

I think that is the idea, yes. See "underflow errors",  
for another example. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>  
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---