
Subject: Re: function signature

Posted by Michael Galloy on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 23:21:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dominic wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Is there a way to add signatures to user written function and
> procedures? So that validation of method calls are made at compile time
> vs. run time?
>
> example:
>
> pro foo, bar
> ...
> end
>
> some calls in his code:
> pro calling_foo
> foo ;without the bar parameter
> end
>
> So, is there a way when calling_foo is compile that it will catch this
> error at compile time as a syntax error?

But it's not a syntax error. The "bar" parameter might be optional (it is up to the "foo" routine to determine what it needs).

On the other hand,

```
pro foo  
...  
end
```

```
pro calling_foo  
foo, bar  
end
```

is a syntax error.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: function signature

Posted by Dominic[1] on Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:02:49 GMT

Ok, but is it possible to make the "bar" parameter mandatory at compile time?

thanks,
dometz

mgalloy@gmail.com wrote:

> Dominic wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> Is there a way to add signatures to user written function and
>> procedures? So that validation of method calls are made at compile time
>> vs. run time?
>>
>> example:
>>
>> pro foo, bar
>> ...
>> end
>>
>> some calls in his code:
>> pro calling_foo
>> foo ;without the bar parameter
>> end
>>
>> So, is there a way when calling_foo is compile that it will catch this
>> error at compile time as a syntax error?
>
> But it's not a syntax error. The "bar" parameter might be optional (it
> is up to the "foo" routine to determine what it needs).
>
> On the other hand,
>
> pro foo
> ...
> end
>
> pro calling_foo
> foo, bar
> end
>
> is a syntax error.
>
> Mike
> --

