Subject: Re: Nice Postscript output from shade-surf? Xsize in polyshade? Posted by geomagic on Wed, 18 Jan 1995 20:39:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3fjih9\$i00@bartok.theo-physik.uni-kiel.de> solter@theo-physik.uni-kiel.de (C H Solterbeck) writes:

- > I would like to get a good output in postscript out of the shade_surf-routine
- > of PV-Wave. But if the device has scalable pixels, the output image has
- > dimensions less or equal to 512. This is not good enough.
- > How can I get a better resolution?

cproposal snipped>

I use the Z buffer and set the Z buffer size to obtain 300dpi pixels on our dye-sub printer.

- 1. Setup Z buffer with approriate x and y sizes
- 2. Plot to the Z buffer.
- 3. Read the contents of the Z buffer as a image.
- 4. Plot the image to the Postscript device with appropriate x and y sizes.

I really wish that the user could specify the image dimension of the shaded surface directly for the Postscript driver. The current situation is not good.

Dan O'Connell


geomagic@seismo.do.usbr.gov

Seismotectonics Group, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Denver Federal Center, P.O. Box 25007 D-8330, Denver, CO 80225

"We do custom earthquakes (for food)"

"Just more roadkill on the information superhighway"



Subject: Re: Nice Postscript output from shade-surf? Xsize in polyshade? Posted by Chris Jacobsen on Sat, 21 Jan 1995 19:15:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- >> I would like to get a good output in postscript out of the shade_surf-routine
- >> of PV-Wave.
- > I really wish that the user could specify the image dimension of the
- > shaded surface directly for the Postscript driver. The current
- > situation is not good.

I believe IDL 3.6.1 has a flag on the SHADE_SURF command specifically for avoiding these problems with PostScript printers. But you're using PV~WAVE...