

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:04:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

StevenM wrote:

> Firstly, thanks to those of you who have replied to my posts, the  
> replies have been very helpful and I really appreciate the time that  
> has been taken on them.  
>  
> I am trying to re-organise an array of numbers into 4 new arrays. The  
> array has 21446656 data points, and I would like to split it up into  
> four arrays of 5361664 data points. I would like the first 1792 data  
> points in the first new array, the second 1792 data points in the  
> second new array and so on. I would then like for the 5th set of 1792  
> data points (ie starting at data point 8959) to be put in the first new  
> array and so on.  
>  
> To make this a bit clearer here is an example  
> array{0,12,14,16,22,43,12,35,67,88,12,11}  
>  
> would become  
>  
> array1{0,22,67}  
> array2{12,43,88}  
> array3{14,12,12}  
> array4{16,35,11}  
>

How about this?

```
array = randomu(seed, 64)
array1 = array[0:*.4]
array2 = array[1:*.4]
array3 = array[2:*.4]
array4 = array[3:*.4]
```

Mike

--

[www.michaelgalloy.com](http://www.michaelgalloy.com)

---

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array

Posted by [Wox](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:36:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Maybe this?

```

array=[0,12,14,16,22,43,12,35,67,88,12,11,5,6,1,3]
narray=n_elements(array)
nlen=1
npiece=4
nblock0=nlen*npiece
nblock=narray/nblock0

array=reform(array,nlen,narray/nlen)
off0=indgen(npiece,nblock)

for i=0,npiece-1 do $
  print,reform(array[*,off0[i,*]],narray/npiece)

```

The last loop is just for showing the 4 array, you probably want to store them:

```

array0=reform(array[*,off0[0,*]],narray/npiece)
...

```

On 8 Dec 2006 09:07:44 -0800, "StevenM" <s.maclellan@strath.ac.uk> wrote:

```

> Firstly, thanks to those of you who have replied to my posts, the
> replies have been very helpful and I really appreciate the time that
> has been taken on them.
>
> I am trying to re-organise an array of numbers into 4 new arrays. The
> array has 21446656 data points, and I would like to split it up into
> four arrays of 5361664 data points. I would like the first 1792 data
> points in the first new array, the second 1792 data points in the
> second new array and so on. I would then like for the 5th set of 1792
> data points (ie starting at data point 8959) to be put in the first new
> array and so on.
>
> To make this a bit clearer here is an example
> array{0,12,14,16,22,43,12,35,67,88,12,11}
>
> would become
>
> array1{0,22,67}
> array2{12,43,88}
> array3{14,12,12}
> array4{16,35,11}
>

```

> thanks in advance  
>  
> Steven

---

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array  
Posted by [Wox](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:40:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:36:37 +0100, Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> wrote:

> nlen=1

So this is 1792 in your case. You may also want to check whether the array can be split up in 4n times 1792.

---

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array  
Posted by [Wox](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:45:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Too slow again :-).  
Ok, forget about it, mgalloy's solution is much better :-).

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:36:37 +0100, Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Maybe this?

---

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array  
Posted by [Wox](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:53:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Pffff, I should first think before posting...

Sorry, but isn't mgalloy's solution only for nlen=1, like in the small example you gave?

I'm going to shut up now ;-)

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:45:45 +0100, Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Too slow again :-).  
> Ok, forget about it, mgalloy's solution is much better :-).  
>  
> On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:36:37 +0100, Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>

>> Maybe this?

---

---

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array  
Posted by [Braedley](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 20:45:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Except that it doesn't work. No, the proper way of doing this is gratuitous use of array juggling.

```
n=block_size
m=number_of_blocks_per_separate_array
array=randomu(seed, n*m*4)
array=reform(temporary(array), n, 4, m)
array1=reform(array[* , 0, *], n*m)
array2=reform(array[* , 1, *], n*m)
array3=reform(array[* , 2, *], n*m)
array4=reform(array[* , 3, *], n*m)
```

David or JD, feel free to suggest improvements on this (like further juggling to help save time).

Cheers  
Braedley

Wox wrote:

```
> Too slow again :-).
> Ok, forget about it, mgalloy's solution is much better :-).
>
> On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:36:37 +0100, Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Maybe this?
```

---