
Subject: Data elimination from array
Posted by [StevenM](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 17:07:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Firstly, thanks to those of you who have replied to my posts, the replies have been very helpful and I really appreciate the time that has been taken on them.

I am trying to re-organise an array of numbers into 4 new arrays. The array has 21446656 data points, and I would like to split it up into four arrays of 5361664 data points. I would like the first 1792 data points in the first new array, the second 1792 data points in the second new array and so on. I would then like for the 5th set of 1792 data points (ie starting at data point 8959) to be put in the first new array and so on.

To make this a bit clearer here is an example
array{0,12,14,16,22,43,12,35,67,88,12,11}

would become

```
array1{0,22,67}  
array2{12,43,88}  
array3{14,12,12}  
array4{16,35,11}
```

thanks in advance

Steven

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array
Posted by [Bob\[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:25:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 8, 3:45 pm, "Braedley" <mike.braed...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Except that it doesn't work.

What doesn't work? Wox's code? It worked when I tried it.

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array
Posted by [Bob\[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:51:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 8, 3:45 pm, "Braedley" <mike.braed...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Except that it doesn't work.

What doesn't work? Wox's code? It worked when I tried it.

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:59:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bob Crawford wrote:

> On Dec 8, 3:45 pm, "Braedley" <mike.braed...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> Except that it doesn't work.

>

> What doesn't work? Wox's code? It worked when I tried it.

Mine original code doesn't work (or it only works for the case the length of each "block" is 1).

I think this should be more general:

```
array = findgen(21446656)
nlen = 1792
```

```
r = reform(array, nlen, n_elements(array) / nlen)
array1 = reform(r[*, 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
array2 = reform(r[*, 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
array3 = reform(r[*, 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
array4 = reform(r[*, 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
```

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array

Posted by [Braedley](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 02:40:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, it just doesn't look as clear to me (plus, I think you still have an error in there). Mine is also a fair amount faster on my machine (AMD 64 X2 4800+, IDLv6.2). It does provide the correct result.

Braedley

mgalloy@gmail.com wrote:

> Bob Crawford wrote:

>> On Dec 8, 3:45 pm, "Braedley" <mike.braed...@gmail.com> wrote:

>>> Except that it doesn't work.

```
>>
>> What doesn't work? Wox's code? It worked when I tried it.
>
> Mine original code doesn't work (or it only works for the case the
> length of each "block" is 1).
>
> I think this should be more general:
>
> array = findgen(21446656)
> nlen = 1792
>
> r = reform(array, nlen, n_elements(array) / nlen)
> array1 = reform(r[*], 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
> array2 = reform(r[*], 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
> array3 = reform(r[*], 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
> array4 = reform(r[*], 0*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
>
> Mike
> --
> www.michaelgalloy.com
```

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array
Posted by [Braedley](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 02:55:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And after some optimizations of your code, Mike, it's on par with mine,
so I offer up the choice to you, Steven.

Braedley

Braedley wrote:

```
> Yeah, it just doesn't look as clear to me (plus, I think you still have
> an error in there). Mine is also a fair amount faster on my machine
> (AMD 64 X2 4800+, IDLv6.2). It does provide the correct result.
>
> Braedley
>
> mgalloy@gmail.com wrote:
>> Bob Crawford wrote:
>>> On Dec 8, 3:45 pm, "Braedley" <mike.braed...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Except that it doesn't work.
>>>
>>> What doesn't work? Wox's code? It worked when I tried it.
>>
>> Mine original code doesn't work (or it only works for the case the
>> length of each "block" is 1).
>>
```

```
>> I think this should be more general:
>>
>> array = findgen(21446656)
>> nlen = 1792
>>
>> r = reform(array, nlen, n_elements(array) / nlen)
>> array1 = reform(r[* , 0:*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
>> array2 = reform(r[* , 0:*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
>> array3 = reform(r[* , 0:*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
>> array4 = reform(r[* , 0:*:4], n_elements(array) / 4)
>>
>> Mike
>> --
>> www.michaelgalloy.com
```

Subject: Re: Data elimination from array
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:08:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Braedley wrote:

```
> And after some optimizations of your code, Mike, it's on par with mine,
> so I offer up the choice to you, Steven.
```

OK, I took out all the repetitive calls to N_ELEMENTS and fixed the bugs on array2, array3, array4 which were all identical to array1.

I think the only real difference is stride notation versus creating an index array.

```
array = findgen(21446656)
nlen = 1792
```

```
n = n_elements(array)
r = reform(array, nlen, n / nlen)
array1 = reform(r[* , 0:*:4], n / 4)
array2 = reform(r[* , 1:*:4], n / 4)
array3 = reform(r[* , 2:*:4], n / 4)
array4 = reform(r[* , 3:*:4], n / 4)
```

Mike

--

[www.michaelgalloy.com](#)
