Subject: Re: "TRUE" map projections

Posted by zawodny on Wed, 25 Jan 1995 13:19:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3g3rod\$rpp@lace.Colorado.EDU> afl@bjerknes.cdc.noaa.gov (Andy Loughe) writes:

- > How can one obtain (for example) a "true" Mercator map
- > projection in IDL? Sounds rather academic, doesn't it?

...

- > There must be a single, proper aspect ratio for
- > a map to be called a "true" Mercator projection. Is IDL capable
- > of producing "true" map projections, or is one able to arbitarily
- > re-shape the projection into any form he wishes such that the
- > specification of the projection is all but pointless? Does one
- > simply say "hmmmm, looks good to me" and move onto the next task?

I definitely fall into the "looks good enough to me" catagory. Where ever possible I use "circular" map projections and the SET\_ISOXY routine. But, as you point out, there is no such fool-proof method for doing a similar thing with non-circular maps. It would be very nice/useful/important to have a keyword (eg. /true or /auto\_aspect) for map\_set. Adjusting these maps manually is a real pain because it requires an intimate knowledge of the proper aspect ratio of a map of the full globe (where allowed or appropriate) and the coordinate transformation. Since IDL already "knows" this information it seems reasonable that it could/should do this calculation for the user.

Speak and be heard.

--

Joseph M. Zawodny (KO4LW) NASA Langley Research Center Internet: j.m.zawodny@larc.nasa.gov MS-475, Hampton VA, 23681-0001

TCP/IP: ko4lw@ko4lw.ampr.org Packet: ko4lw@n4hog.va.usa.na