
Subject: Re: randomn problem

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Sun, 11 Mar 2007 19:41:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

askemer@gmail.com writes:

> I was playing around with randomn and noticed some weird behavior:
>
> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7))
>
> I consistently get back numbers around ~0.992. I've tried it on a
> different computer, and the result was not exactly the same, but
> similar. If I change 1e7 to 1e8, the problem gets worse, and I get
> ~0.853. I've tried the syntax with floats, integers, and longs, and I
> still get the same answer. Does anyone know what could be going on?

IDL> print, !version

{ x86 Win32 Windows Microsoft Windows 6.3 Mar 23 2006 32 64}

IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7))
0.999961

IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7, /double))
1.0000060

Don't know. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: randomn problem

Posted by [askemer](#) on Sun, 11 Mar 2007 23:04:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm, that's funny. I've tried it on Linux and on OSX. I wonder if
print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8)) works on your computer too? If so,
it could be a problem with my memory allocation.

-Andy

Subject: Re: randomn problem

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2007 01:10:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

askemer@gmail.com writes:

> Hmm, that's funny. I've tried it on Linux and on OSX. I wonder if
> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8)) works on your computer too? If so,
> it could be a problem with my memory allocation.

I can't allocate enough memory to make that big of an array
on my system.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: randomn problem

Posted by [Ingo von Borstel](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2007 08:03:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

> I was playing around with randomn and noticed some weird behavior:
>
> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7))
>
> I consistently get back numbers around ~0.992. I've tried it on a

```
IDL> print, !version
{ x86 linux unix linux 6.1 Jul 14 2004    32    64}
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
1.00015
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
1.00013
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
0.999803
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
0.999735
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
0.999860
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
```

```
0.999887
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
1.00021
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
0.999798
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e7))
1.00019
```

I cannot test it reasonably with 10^8 elements, either.

Sorry, no idea.

Best regards,
Ingo

--

Ingo von Borstel <newsgroups@planetmaker.de>
Public Key: <http://www.planetmaker.de/ingo.asc>

If you need an urgent reply, replace newsgroups by vgap.

Subject: Re: randomn problem
Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2007 09:07:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The problem does not lie with randomn, but with
stddev. If you compute it using double precision
instead, the problem should solve itself. Example:

```
a=fltarr(3e7)
a[0:3e7/2]=1.

print,stddev(a)
0.528791
print,stddev(a,/double)
0.50000000
```

Ciao,
Paolo

askemer@gmail.com wrote:

```
> Hi all,
>
> I was playing around with randomn and noticed some weird behavior:
>
> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7))
```

>
> I consistently get back numbers around ~0.992. I've tried it on a
> different computer, and the result was not exactly the same, but
> similar. If I change 1e7 to 1e8, the problem gets worse, and I get
> ~0.853. I've tried the syntax with floats, integers, and longs, and I
> still get the same answer. Does anyone know what could be going on?
>
> -Andy
>

Subject: Re: randomn problem
Posted by [Nigel Wade](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2007 16:07:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> askemer@gmail.com wrote:
>> Hi all,
>>
>> I was playing around with randomn and noticed some weird behavior:
>>
>> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e7))
>>
>> I consistently get back numbers around ~0.992. I've tried it on a
>> different computer, and the result was not exactly the same, but
>> similar. If I change 1e7 to 1e8, the problem gets worse, and I get
>> ~0.853. I've tried the syntax with floats, integers, and longs, and I
>> still get the same answer. Does anyone know what could be going on?
>>
>> -Andy
>>

Paolo Grigis wrote:

> The problem does not lie with randomn, but with
> stddev. If you compute it using double precision
> instead, the problem should solve itsef. Example:
>
> a=fltarr(3e7)
> a[0:3e7/2]=1.
>
> print, stddev(a)
> 0.528791
> print, stddev(a,/double)
> 0.50000000
>
> Ciao,
> Paolo
>

Something also changed between IDL 6.1 and IDL 6.2:

IDL Version 6.1 (linux x86 m32). (c) 2004, Research Systems, Inc.

```
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e8))
```

```
% Compiled module: STDDEV.
```

```
% Compiled module: MOMENT.
```

```
1.00003
```

IDL Version 6.2 (linux x86 m32). (c) 2005, Research Systems, Inc.

```
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed,1e8))
```

```
% Compiled module: STDDEV.
```

```
% Compiled module: MOMENT.
```

```
0.970528
```

Maybe the algorithm has been changed to one which propagates more round-off error?

--

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group,
University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

E-mail : nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone : +44 (0)116 2523548, Fax : +44 (0)116 2523555

Subject: Re: randomn problem

Posted by [Ben Panter](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2007 16:17:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nigel Wade wrote:

> Something also changed between IDL 6.1 and IDL 6.2:
> Maybe the algorithm has been changed to one which propagates more round-off
> error?

It would seem that it didn't get better in 6.3:

```
IDL> print, !version
```

```
{ x86 linux unix linux 6.3 Mar 23 2006    32    64}
```

```
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8))
```

```
% Compiled module: STDDEV.
```

```
0.852648
```

```
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8, /double) )
```

```
1.0000419
```

Ben

--

Ben Panter, Edinburgh, UK.
Email false, <http://www.benpanter.co.uk>
or you could try ben at ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Subject: Re: randomn problem
Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Tue, 13 Mar 2007 11:03:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ben Panter wrote:

```
> Nigel Wade wrote:
>
>> Something also changed between IDL 6.1 and IDL 6.2:
>> Maybe the algorithm has been changed to one which propagates more
>> round-off
>> error?
>
> It would seem that it didn't get better in 6.3:
>
> IDL> print, !version
> { x86 linux unix linux 6.3 Mar 23 2006    32    64}
>
> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8))
> % Compiled module: STDDEV.
>    0.852648
>
> IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8, /double) )
>    1.0000419
>
> Ben
>
```

Ok, let's try to understand what's going on. stddev calls moment which uses the built-in function total. The moment algorithm did not change in recent versions. We actually expect total to fail to sum 1d8 small floats accurately in single precision, whereas this should be no problem in double precision. But it looks like in some versions of IDL double precision is used even when the input is float and no /double keyword is set. To test this, we can use the following commands:

```
n=10L^7
a=replicate(0.1,n)
print,'TOTAL A:(SINGLE PREC.):',total(a)
print,'TOTAL A (DOUBLE PREC.): ',total(a,/double)
```

The output is (the exact value will depend on the hardware)

```
TOTAL A:(SINGLE PREC.): 1.08794e+06  
TOTAL A (DOUBLE PREC.): 1000000.0
```

in 5.4,5.5, 6.2 and 6.3 and

```
TOTAL A:(SINGLE PREC.): 1.00000e+06  
TOTAL A (DOUBLE PREC.): 1000000.0
```

in 5.6 and 6.0.

So, I would guess that in these two versions, the total is internally computed in double precision even when /double is not set. Or may this be due to a different way in threading the "total" operation?

Ciao,
Paolo

Subject: Re: randomn problem
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Tue, 13 Mar 2007 16:25:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 11 Mar 2007 16:04:39 -0700, askemer wrote:

```
> Hmm, that's funny. I've tried it on Linux and on OSX. I wonder if print,  
> stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8)) works on your computer too? If so, it could be  
> a problem with my memory allocation.  
>  
> -Andy
```

Clearly accumulating round-off:

```
IDL> print, stddev(randomn(seed, 1e8))  
0.927200
```

In fact stddev.pro uses MOMENT, which goes to some trouble to avoid round-off, as follows:

```
Resid = X - Mean  
; Var = TOTAL(Resid^2, Double = Double) / (nX-1.0);Simple formula  
  
; Numerically-stable "two-pass" formula, which offers less
```

; round-off error. Page 613, Numerical Recipes in C.
Var = (TOTAL(Resid^2, Double = Double) - \$
(TOTAL(Resid, Double = Double)^2)/nX)/(nX-1.0)

Though in this case it doesn't do much to help.

JD
