Subject: Re: CONVOL with 2D array and 1D kernel Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 09 Mar 2007 14:42:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric Hudson writes:

- > Also, there seems to be a lot of confusion about what CONVOL really
- > does. Is there a definitive, readable, source so I can make sure that
- > I'm really doing what I want here (a true mathematical convolution
- > where I've made the array a bit bigger on the edges by duplicating the
- > top & bottom rows, done the convolution, and then chopped off the
- > edges to minimize edge effects).

I'm no convolution expert, but the documentation is pretty clear that if you want to do a convolution in a "mathematical" sense (as you appear to do), then you are going to have to explicitly set the CENTER keyword to zero. Otherwise, you are going to do a convolution in an "image processing" sense, which may not be what you had in mind.

Also, have you expanded the 2D array yourself, or are you hoping IDL is going to do that for you? If you did it yourself, is it possible some of the values are not what you think they are?

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: CONVOL with 2D array and 1D kernel Posted by Eric Hudson on Fri, 09 Mar 2007 17:38:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mar 9, 9:42 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > Eric Hudson writes:
- >> Also, there seems to be a lot of confusion about what CONVOL really
- >> does. Is there a definitive, readable, source so I can make sure that
- >> I'm really doing what I want here (a true mathematical convolution
- >> where I've made the array a bit bigger on the edges by duplicating the
- >> top & bottom rows, done the convolution, and then chopped off the
- >> edges to minimize edge effects).

>

- > I'm no convolution expert, but the documentation is
- > pretty clear that if you want to do a convolution in
- > a "mathematical" sense (as you appear to do), then you
- > are going to have to explicitly set the CENTER keyword
- > to zero. Otherwise, you are going to do a convolution
- > in an "image processing" sense, which may not be what
- > you had in mind.

>

This level of detail was why I was hoping for reference to some readable source. In any case, whether centered or not, the behavior of instantly setting all values in one row to zero once a 'magic' number of columns is reached is the problem I'd really like to understand. It seems like a bug but if this is expected behavior then I'd like to know because it means I really don't understand what the function is doing.

- > Also, have you expanded the 2D array yourself, or are
- > you hoping IDL is going to do that for you? If you did
- > it yourself, is it possible some of the values are not
- > what you think they are?

>

It was my understanding that this is what /edge_truncate does -- just duplicates the edge values once you get to the edge. I do not expand the array myself.

Thanks, Eric

Subject: Re: CONVOL with 2D array and 1D kernel Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 09 Mar 2007 17:52:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric Hudson writes:

- > This level of detail was why I was hoping for reference to some
- > readable source. In any case, whether centered or not, the behavior
- > of instantly setting all values in one row to zero once a 'magic'
- > number of columns is reached is the problem I'd really like to
- > understand. It seems like a bug but if this is expected behavior then
- > I'd like to know because it means I really don't understand what the
- > function is doing.

Well, when I want to understand something like "convolution" (and I very seldom ever do!), I always pull out my trusty copy of Gonzales and Woods' Digital Image Processing. It's

the only book on these topics I've ever been able to understand. :-)

- > It was my understanding that this is what /edge_truncate does -- just
- > duplicates the edge values once you get to the edge. I do not expand
- > the array myself.

I think you are right. I was just fishing around for a possible source of this weirdness. But, unfortunately, I have no more ideas. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")