Subject: Bug in NR_QROMB?

Posted by mallozzi on Sun, 05 Feb 1995 20:49:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all,

I needed to compute an integral, and the integrand itself contained a second integral, so I wrote a simple program to test this case using the IDL-supplied NR_QROMB. In order to use NR_QROMB, you must write a function that contains the integrand, and then you call NR_QROMB with this function name as a parameter. In my case, within my first function, I placed another call to QROMB to evaluate the inner integral (this is not a double integral), the result of which becomes part of the first integrand.

If I make BOTH calls to NR_QROMB in single or double precision, I get the wrong result. If I make one call single and the other call double, I get the correct result. Does anyone know what is happening?

Subject: Re: Bug in NR_QROMB?

Posted by steinhh on Wed, 08 Feb 1995 10:34:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3h3dku\$o5j@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>, mallozzi@ssl.msfc.nasa.gov writes: [...snip..]

|>

|> In my case, within my first function, I

|> placed another call to QROMB to evaluate the inner integral (this is not a

|> double integral), the result of which becomes part of the first integrand.

|>

> If I make BOTH calls to NR_QROMB in single or double precision, I get the

|> wrong result. If I make one call single and the other call double, I get

> the correct result. Does anyone know what is happening?

|>

I suspect that NR_QROMB is not re-entrant, i.e., it uses static variables which get corrupted when NR_QROMB is called from a function that's called by NR_QROMB. I guess all the NR routines are hardcoded in C, so they come in two versions, one using double precision and one using single precision. Clearly they don't use the same static variables.

Quite silly, really. The overhead of using dynamic variables must be extremely small compared with the overhead of calling the IDL function, and you wouldn't experience this problem.

And yes, I'd definitely call it a bug, since the "feature" is not documented. Shouldn't be too hard for RSI to supply a patch, though.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive