Subject: zero-padding an array of arbitrary dimensionality (replacing execute in vm) Posted by Vince Hradil on Thu, 19 Jul 2007 15:51:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would like to zero-pad an array programmatically without knowing in advance what the dimensionality is of the array.

```
For example, in 2D, for data = some fltarr (31,31) I could do dims = size(data,/dimensions) zpad = fltarr(dims[0]+1,dims[1]+1) zpad[1,1] = data
```

For arb. dimensionality I have:

```
dsize = size(data)
ndim = dsize[0]
dim = dsize[1:ndim]
dtmp = make_array(dim+2,value=0,type=dsize[ndim+1])
cmd = 'dtmp['
for n=0L, ndim-1 do cmd = cmd+'1,'
cmd = strmid(cmd,0,strlen(cmd)-1)+']=data'
result = execute(cmd)
```

But this won't work in the vm. So I need to somehow figure out the position of the [1,1,1,...] index for an arbitrary dimensionality.

Clear enough? TIA! Vince

Subject: Re: zero-padding an array of arbitrary dimensionality (replacing execute in vm)

Posted by JD Smith on Fri, 20 Jul 2007 02:14:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 19 Jul 2007 08:51:58 -0700, hradily wrote:

- > I would like to zero-pad an array programmatically without knowing in
- > advance what the dimensionality is of the array.

>

Position [1,1,1,....] can be expressed in 1D as:

```
ind=total(product((size(data,/dimensions))[0:1],/CUMULATIVE, /PRESERVE_TYPE),$
    /PRESERVE_TYPE) + 1
```

Some other arbitrary position p=[p0,p1,p2,...,pn] can also be expressed:

```
d=shift(product(size(data,/dimensions),/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE _TYPE),1)
d[0]=1
ind_p=total(p*d,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
```

Note that this is the \_one-dimensional\_ position within the array, so it can be used to access an arbitrary element without resorting to EXECUTE.

That said, assigning an array of greater than one dimension to this position will \*not\* do what you intend. Only when using an explicit list of indices on the left-hand side of an assignment (e.g. array[x,y,z,...]=sub\_array) will IDL invoke the rules to preserve the structure of the inserted array. If a "one-dimensional" offset index is used, only the first dimension will be preserved in this way, and the rest will be silently ignored. Whatever to do?

To solve this, you need instead to calculate the indices of the full sub-array itself, offset within the larger target array at starting position p=[p0,p1,p2,...,pn]. This is a more general problem than zero padding. Essentially you are computing an array of indices of an arbitrarily sized rectangular (cube, hyper-cubic, etc.) "chunk" within a larger array, of a given size and offset. This is a bit more complicated than the above, but conceptually similar:

```
;; Compute indices of the "chunk"
ss=size(sub_array,/DIMENSIONS)
l=lindgen(ss)
inds=make_array(VALUE=p[0],ss)
d=product(size(data,/dimensions),/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
ds=product(ss,/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
for i=n_elements(d)-2,0,-1 do begin
    inds+=(p[i+1]+l/ds[i])*d[i]
    l-=l/ds[i]*ds[i]
endfor
inds+=l
;; Apply them
data[inds]=sub_array
```

This is essentially the calculation IDL does for you when you use the subscripted assignment of unlike arrays. Too bad it doesn't expose that functionality via some function. You might like to check first that the sub-array can actually fit within the larger array, and warn or truncate if not (for your case of padding with zeroes, this isn't a problem).

For those interested, this method works on the highest dimension downward, (e.g. z, y, x in the 3D case), removing that dimension's signature after it has been applied. E.g. a z position of 4 in the

sub\_array, inserted at a z offset offset of 2, should have 6\*(nx\*ny) added. It also demonstrates the trick HIST\_ND uses to accomplish a very similar task.

JD

Subject: Re: zero-padding an array of arbitrary dimensionality (replacing execute in vm)

Posted by Vince Hradil on Fri, 20 Jul 2007 13:34:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 19, 9:14 pm, JD Smith <jdsm...@as.arizona.edu> wrote:
> On Thu, 19 Jul 2007 08:51:58 -0700, hradily wrote:
>> I would like to zero-pad an array programmatically without knowing in
>> advance what the dimensionality is of the array.
 Position [1,1,1,....] can be expressed in 1D as:
>
   ind=total(product((size(data,/dimensions))[0:1],/CUMULATIVE, /PRESERVE_TYPE),$
>
         /PRESERVE_TYPE) + 1
>
  Some other arbitrary position p=[p0,p1,p2,...,pn] can also be expressed:
>
   d=shift(product(size(data,/dimensions),/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE TYPE),1)
>
  ind_p=total(p*d,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
> Note that this is the _one-dimensional_ position within the array, so it
> can be used to access an arbitrary element without resorting to EXECUTE.
>
> That said, assigning an array of greater than one dimension to this
> position will *not* do what you intend. Only when using an explicit
> list of indices on the left-hand side of an assignment (e.g.
> array[x,y,z,...]=sub array) will IDL invoke the rules to preserve the
> structure of the inserted array. If a "one-dimensional" offset index is
> used, only the first dimension will be preserved in this way, and the rest
> will be silently ignored. Whatever to do?
>
> To solve this, you need instead to calculate the indices of the full
> sub-array itself, offset within the larger target array at starting
> position p=[p0,p1,p2,...,pn]. This is a more general problem than zero
> padding. Essentially you are computing an array of indices of an
> arbitrarily sized rectangular (cube, hyper-cubic, etc.) "chunk" within a
> larger array, of a given size and offset. This is a bit more complicated
> than the above, but conceptually similar:
 ;; Compute indices of the "chunk"
```

```
> ss=size(sub_array,/DIMENSIONS)
> I=lindgen(ss)
> inds=make_array(VALUE=p[0],ss)
   d=product(size(data,/dimensions),/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
  ds=product(ss,/CUMULATIVE,/PRESERVE_TYPE)
>
  for i=n_elements(d)-2,0,-1 do begin
    inds+=(p[i+1]+l/ds[i])*d[i]
>
    I-=I/ds[i]*ds[i]
>
 endfor
  inds+=l
>
>
  ;; Apply them
  data[inds]=sub_array
>
>
> This is essentially the calculation IDL does for you when you use the
> subscripted assignment of unlike arrays. Too bad it doesn't expose that
> functionality via some function. You might like to check first that the
> sub-array can actually fit within the larger array, and warn or truncate
> if not (for your case of padding with zeroes, this isn't a problem).
>
> For those interested, this method works on the highest dimension
> downward, (e.g. z, y, x in the 3D case), removing that dimension's
> signature after it has been applied. E.g. a z position of 4 in the
> sub_array, inserted at a z offset offset of 2, should have 6*(nx*ny)
> added. It also demonstrates the trick HIST_ND uses to accomplish a very
> similar task.
>
> JD
```

Thanks everyone. Especially Mike and JD - this thread is definitely a "keeper" for me.