
Subject: Re: array subscript conversion

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Wed, 25 Jul 2007 16:09:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: array subscript conversion

Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Wed, 25 Jul 2007 17:46:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

news:Pine.LNX.4.64.0707251721430.28405@bifur.rmki.kfki.hu...

> Hi guys,

>

> according to the manual, array subscripts are converted to long (or long64 on

> 64 bit systems) before use if necessary, so an explicit conversion should not

> affect the result.

>

> IDL> print, !version

> { x86 linux unix linux 6.3 Mar 23 2006 32 64 }

> IDL>

> IDL> a=lindgen(10)

> IDL> print, a[[long(-1ull)]]

> 0

> IDL> print, a[[-1ull]]

> 9

>

> Is it a bug or I am missing something?

I think you're expecting -1ull to be negative, but the 'u' in 'ull' means 'unsigned'. What you end up with instead of -1 is the largest 64-bit integer (this is a nice shortcut when it's actually what you want to do!):

IDL> help,-1ull

<Expression> ULONG64 = 18446744073709551615

That's why a[[-1ull]] gives 9, as the subscript is (somewhat) larger than the maximum index in the array a. It is similar to a[[11]] below...

IDL> help,long(-1ull)

<Expression> LONG = -1

This is similar to a[[-1]] below...

IDL> print,a[[-1]]

```
      0
IDL> print,a[[11]]
      9
```

And we know this is different from simple subscripting which doesn't allow out-of-range values:

```
IDL> print,a[-1]
Attempt to subscript A with <INT    (-1)> is out of range.
Execution halted at: $MAIN$
IDL> print,a[11]
Attempt to subscript A with <INT    ( 11)> is out of range.
Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

--
Cheers,
-Dick

--
Dick Jackson Software Consulting <http://www.d-jackson.com>
Victoria, BC, Canada +1-250-220-6117 dick@d-jackson.com

Subject: Re: array subscript conversion
Posted by [Foldy Lajos](#) on Wed, 25 Jul 2007 18:04:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 25 Jul 2007, mgalloy@gmail.com wrote:

```
> On Jul 25, 9:36 am, FÖLDY Lajos <fo...@rmki.kfki.hu> wrote:
>> Hi guys,
>>
>> according to the manual, array subscripts are converted to long (or long64
>> on 64 bit systems) before use if necessary, so an explicit conversion
>> should not affect the result.
>>
>> IDL> print, lversion
>> { x86 linux unix linux 6.3 Mar 23 2006    32    64}
>> IDL>
>> IDL> a=lindgen(10)
>> IDL> print, a[[long(-1ull)]]
>>      0
>> IDL> print, a[[-1ull]]
>>      9
>>
>> Is it a bug or I am missing something?
>>
>> regards,
```

```
>> lajos
>
> All I see in the manual about converting subscripts to longs is:
>
> "Subscripts can be any type of vector or scalar expression. If a
> subscript expression is not integer, a longword integer copy is made
> and used to evaluate the subscript."
>
> around the middle of this page:
>
> http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/idl\_html\_help/Understanding\_Array\_Subscripts.html
>
> By "integer", I think they mean the more general any integer type:
> byte, integer, long, etc. And in your case, the type is "integer", so
> no conversion is made.
>
> I am I missing another statement in the help that says something more
> explicit?
>
> Mike
> --
> www.michaelgalloy.com
>
```

You are right. I remembered "not long" instead of "not integer". And also remembered IDL_MEMINT from idl_export.h, which represents memory offsets and sizes, and assumed that IDL always uses IDL_MEMINT for subscripting internally.

Second try:

```
IDL> a=lindgen(10)
IDL>
IDL> print, a[4294967296ll]
      0
IDL> print, a[[4294967296ll]]
      9
```

In the first case the scalar subscript is "integer", so no conversion is needed. But it is converted to LONG (=0). Why?

regards,
lajos

Subject: Re: array subscript conversion

On Wed, 25 Jul 2007, Dick Jackson wrote:

```
> Hi,
>
> "FÖLDY Lajos" <foldy@rmki.kfki.hu> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.64.0707251721430.28405@bifur.rmki.kfki.hu...
>> Hi guys,
>>
>> according to the manual, array subscripts are converted to long (or long64 on
>> 64 bit systems) before use if necessary, so an explicit conversion should not
>> affect the result.
>>
>> IDL> print, lversion
>> { x86 linux unix linux 6.3 Mar 23 2006    32    64}
>> IDL>
>> IDL> a=lindgen(10)
>> IDL> print, a[[long(-1ull)]]
>>      0
>> IDL> print, a[[-1ull]]
>>      9
>>
>> Is it a bug or I am missing something?
>
> I think you're expecting -1ull to be negative, but the 'u' in 'ull' means
> 'unsigned'. What you end up with instead of -1 is the largest 64-bit integer
> (this is a nice shortcut when it's actually what you want to do!):
>
```

No, I have expected LONG(-1ull) to be used for subscripting, which is really negative. As Mike wrote, integers are not converted to LONG, that was my wrong assumption.

I am trying to find differences between IDL and FL behavior, and this is one example. In FL, I always convert non-LONG subscripts to LONG. (It's a pity we have no formal definition of IDL syntax and semantics.)

regards,
lajos
