Subject: Re: IDL Help with Reading EOS-HDF Files Posted by r083r7 on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 14:11:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A slight correction to the above which may make quite a difference, the data files are .h5 HDF files, not EOS-HDF as I mistakenly stated.

Subject: Re: IDL Help with Reading EOS-HDF Files Posted by Tal on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 09:32:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Oct 5, 4:11 pm, |Rob| <r08...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > A slight correction to the above which may make quite a difference,
- > the data files are .h5 HDF files, not EOS-HDF as I mistakenly stated.

Hi Rob.

Knowing the size problem of hyperspectral data i know how you feel. I solved a similar problem (although on a different file format) by opening the file for reading, then reading only a slice of it, running whatever process on that and storing it aside. then repeating in a loop this process untill all data is processed and stored. if you have ENVI try the envi\_get\_slice command. something like:

```
for i=0L,nl-1 do begin
  rawdata = envi_get_slice(fid=fid, line=line[i], pos=pos, xs=0, xe=ns-1)

;process...

stack[*,i,*]=(temporary(rawdata))
endfor

where
  ns=60 (samples, your x dimension)
nl=1500 (lines, your y dimension)
nb=8700 (bands, your z dimension) (which sensor is this?)
in your case
stack = the output that will contain all slices with dimensions
[ns,nl,nb].
```

if you do not have ENVI, check for tiling commands in IDL. it could be useful to check if your IDL version has any help on "virtual memory" and see the tips there.

additionally, bear in mind the following:

1. some spectral processes might be spatially dependent. like

principal components analysis and derived methods.

2. check in advance if your output data can suffice with integer values. unsigned integer data requires half the memory that floating point data does. the limit is the maximum value. you could for example take, say, reflectance data ranging [0.0,1.0] and assume that no surface will reflect stronger than about 0.655, which is a realistic assumption in many cases (unless imaging deserts or snow). this will allow you to scale your reflectance to unsinged integer by multiplying your data by a factor of 100000, and still loosing no spectral information (digits after the dot).

hope this helps a bit. good luck. Tal