
Subject: ROUTINE_NAMES

Posted by [thompson](#) on Tue, 07 Mar 1995 23:29:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We're using an undocumented routine called ROUTINE_NAMES to retrieve the names of IDL routines. For example,

```
f_name = ROUTINE_NAMES(/s_functions)
p_name = ROUTINE_NAMES(/s_procedures)
internal = [f_name, p_name]
```

We use this to assist the user in determining whether or not a routine is internal or not, and whether or not a proposed routine name will conflict with anything already defined, either internal or in the path.

Does anybody else use this feature, or think that it is useful? If the consensus is that this is a good thing, I would like to ask RSI to upgrade this to be documented, so that we know it will not go away in a future release.

I've been told that this feature is also available in PV-Wave.

Bill Thompson

Subject: Re: ROUTINE_NAMES

Posted by [thompson](#) on Fri, 10 Mar 1995 16:42:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Earlier, I wrote:

> We're using an undocumented routine called ROUTINE_NAMES to retrieve the names
> of IDL routines. For example,

```
> f_name = ROUTINE_NAMES(/s_functions)
> p_name = ROUTINE_NAMES(/s_procedures)
> internal = [f_name, p_name]
```

> We use this to assist the user in determining whether or not a routine is
> internal or not, and whether or not a proposed routine name will conflict with
> anything already defined, either internal or in the path.

> Does anybody else use this feature, or think that it is useful? If the
> consensus is that this is a good thing, I would like to ask RSI to upgrade this
> to be documented, so that we know it will not go away in a future release.

> I've been told that this feature is also available in PV-Wave.

I've gotten email from several people saying that they thought that this

feature was useful. I find it gratifying, but point out that RSI won't see your opinion if you don't also post it or send them a copy.

Bill Thompson
