
Subject: Re: Windows command-line: why not?
Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Thu, 06 Dec 2007 19:40:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Vince,
ITTVIS has heard the demand for a Windows command line version A LOT. I am not sure why it does not exist, whether it was technical difficulties or just historical reasons. From version 1 on vax terminals I remember that the command line was curcial as there was no support for windows guis. The first windows version I remember was v2, which came on two 1.44 inch diskettes. Windows natively supported a gui interface, so there was no need for a command line version. (and people back then were not screaming loud enough i guess).

There may be some good news here. Separating the idl process (idl_opserver) from the DE may have laid the ground work for allowing a command line version. At least this is what I gathered from comments I received during the tech preview phase.

we will see,
Haje

"Vince Hradil" <hradilv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca12e60e-83ef-4598-8417-45b23a8e8709@v4g2000hsf.googleg rroups.com...
> Does anyone know the real reason that there is no windows command-
> line?
> 1-technical difficulties - I'm not that familiar with the windows api
> 2-marketing issues - they want everyone to conform to the IDLDE
> 3-ITT-VIS doesn't perceive a need (maybe they will now)
> 4-Other?

Subject: Re: Windows command-line: why not?
Posted by [abraham](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 21:18:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 6, 12:40 pm, "Haje Korth" <haje.ko...@nospam.jhuapl.edu> wrote:
> Vince,
> ITTVIS has heard the demand for a Windows command line version A LOT. I am
> not sure why it does not exist, whether it was technical difficulties or
> just historical reasons. From version 1 on vax terminals I remember that the
> command line was curcial as there was no support for windows guis. The first
> windows version I remember was v2, which came on two 1.44 inch diskettes.
> Windows natively supported a gui interface, so there was no need for a
> command line version. (and people back then were not screaming loud enough i
> guess).
>
> There may be some good news here. Separating the idl process (idl_opserver)

> from the DE may have laid the ground work for allowing a command line
> version. At least this is what I gathered from comments I received during
> the tech preview phase.
>
> we will see,
> Haje
>
> "Vince Hradil" <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> news:ca12e60e-83ef-4598-8417-45b23a8e8709@v4g2000hsf.googlelegroups.com...
>
>> Does anyone know the real reason that there is no windows command-
>> line?
>> 1-technical difficulties - I'm not that familiar with the windows api
>> 2-marketing issues - they want everyone to conform to the IDLDE
>> 3-ITT-VIS doesn't perceive a need (maybe they will now)
>> 4-Other?

If you've been good boys and girls this year, Santa may leave something in your stocking.

Haje, you surmise correctly. Using the OPS (out-of-process server) to separate IDL from the front-end gui, has, indeed, opened up many doors of opportunity.

Abraham
