
Subject: Completing a Gaussian Fit

Posted by rpertaub@gmail.com on Wed, 12 Dec 2007 22:02:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

I have a problem I am not sure how to go about...more of a physics question maybe than IDL, but does not hurt to ask...

I have an image of a spot. The spot can be assumed to be fairly Gaussian, i.e bright in the middle and dissipating as radius increases. All is good. Except say now I have only part of the spot. (Say if radius is 30, I have only a spot of radius 5). How do I model a Gaussian based on only this information and nothing else? I have no idea the intensity at the std deviation point, or radius 15? Is it possible? How does IDL do Gaussian fit?

Thanks,RP

Subject: Re: Completing a Gaussian Fit

Posted by [Bringfried Stecklum](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2007 09:21:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rpertaub@gmail.com wrote:

> Hello,

> I have a problem I am not sure how to go about...more of a physics question maybe than IDL, but does not hurt to ask...

>

> I have an image of a spot. The spot can be assumed to be fairly

> Gaussian, i.e bright in the middle and dissipating as radius

> increases. All is good. Except say now I have only part of the spot.

> (Say if radius is 30, I have only a spot of radius 5). How do I model

> a Gaussian based on only this information and nothing else? I have no

> idea the intensity at the std deviation point, or radius 15? Is it

> possible? How does IDL do Gaussian fit?

> Thanks,RP

This is fairly easy. You just need to apply a mask on your model image when computing the chisquare during the minimization, i.e. something like

$\text{mask} = (\text{object} > \sigma * \text{noise})$

$\text{chisq} = \text{mask} * (\text{object} - \text{model})^2$

Of course the model parameters will be more uncertain if the observed fraction of the Gaussian image becomes smaller. In other words, you need fairly high signal-to-noise to get meaningful results.

regards,

B. Stecklum
