Subject: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by dplatten on Fri, 14 Dec 2007 16:06:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear IDL users,

I would really appreciate some help in removing a nested FOR loop.

```
FOR x=0, image_width-1 DO BEGIN
    FOR y=0, image_height-1 DO BEGIN
    ; Write the current pixel value
    results[(x*mtf_region_height)+y, 1] = image[x,y]
    ; Calculate the distance of the current pixel from the line defined
by the
    ; two points (x1, y1) and (x2, y2).
    results[(x*image_height)+y, 0] = ( (y1-y2)*x + (x2-x1)*y + (x1*y2 - x2*y1) ) / SQRT( (x2-x1)^2 + (y2-y1)^2 )
    ENDFOR
ENDFOR
```

'image' is a 2d image array

I want to work out the distance of each pixel from a line that is defined by the two points (x1, y1), (x2, y2) and store this distance, together with the pixel value in a 'results' array. The 'results' array has the dimensions [(image_height*image_width), 2].

The above bit of code works but is a bit slow.

Any advice would be much appreciated.

Thanks,

David Northampton, UK

Subject: Re: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by Spon on Tue, 18 Dec 2007 13:23:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Dec 18, 11:00 am, dplat...@gmail.com wrote:

- >> Off the top of my head:
- >> 1-reform the points to match the size of the image: x1 =
- >> reform(x1,image_height,image_width)
- >> 2-make in "index" array: idx = findgen(image_height,image_width)
- >> 3-make x-index and y-index arrays: x = idx mod image_height & y = idx/

```
>> image_height (or maybe those should be image_width?)
\rightarrow 4-do the calculation: distance = ( (y1-y2)*x + (x2-x1)*y + (x1*y2-
>> x2*y1) ) / SQRT( (x2-x1)^2 + (y2-y1)^2 )
>> 5-put together the results matrix: results =
>> [[reform(image,image_height*image_width)],[distance]] (I'd have to
>> check the brackets, I always do)
>
> Thanks for the reply - as I understand it I need to make an array to
> hold x pixel positions and one to hold y pixel positions. For a 4 x 6
> array they would look like this:
>
> x locations: [0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3]
  y locations: [0,0,0,0, 1,1,1,1, 2,2,2,2, 3,3,3,3, 4,4,4,4, 5,5,5,5]
>
  I can make the x array by doing this:
>
> test_image = findgen(4, 6); a dummy test "image"
> temp = findgen(4 * 6)
> x locations = temp mod 4
> but I am having problems creating the y locations array. Some help
> would be appreciated.
> Thanks, David
y_locations = fix(temp) / 4
```

Subject: Re: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by dplatten on Wed, 19 Dec 2007 15:57:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Dec 18, 1:23 pm, Spon <christoph.b...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Dec 18, 11:00 am, dplat...@gmail.com wrote:

> > 
> Off the top of my head:

>>> 1-reform the points to match the size of the image: x1 =

>>> reform(x1,image_height,image_width)

>>> 2-make in "index" array: idx = findgen(image_height,image_width)

>>> 3-make x-index and y-index arrays: x = idx mod image_height & y = idx/

>>> image_height (or maybe those should be image_width?)

>>> 4-do the calculation: distance = ( (y1-y2)*x + (x2-x1)*y + (x1*y2-

>>> x2*y1) ) / SQRT( (x2-x1)^2 + (y2-y1)^2 )

>>> 5-put together the results matrix: results =

>>> [[reform(image,image_height*image_width)],[distance]] (I'd have to

>>> check the brackets, I always do)
```

```
>
>> Thanks for the reply - as I understand it I need to make an array to
>> hold x pixel positions and one to hold y pixel positions. For a 4 x 6
>> array they would look like this:
>> x locations: [0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3, 0,1,2,3]
>> y locations: [0,0,0,0, 1,1,1,1, 2,2,2,2, 3,3,3,3, 4,4,4,4, 5,5,5,5]
>> I can make the x array by doing this:
>
>> test_image = findgen(4, 6); a dummy test "image"
>> temp = findgen(4 * 6)
>> x_locations = temp mod 4
>> but I am having problems creating the y locations array. Some help
>> would be appreciated.
>> Thanks, David
> y_locations = fix(temp) / 4
Thanks for the response. I initially was using
y_locations = REFORM( ROTATE( REFORM(temp MOD 6, 6, 4), 1), 4*6)
which does work, but your suggestion is much more elegant!
I have found that this works a bit better:
y_locations = FLOOR(temp / 4)
Many thanks for the friendly help.
David
```

Subject: Re: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by Jean H. on Wed, 19 Dec 2007 16:18:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
>> y_locations = fix(temp) / 4
> I have found that this works a bit better:
> y_locations = FLOOR(temp / 4)
```

It is exactly the same thing.... since fix() simply removes the decimals (if used with no TYPE keyword), it acts like floor!

Jean

Subject: Re: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by Kenneth Bowman on Wed, 19 Dec 2007 21:45:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
In article <fkbg7s$vbl$1@news.ucalgary.ca>,
    Jean H <jghasban@DELTHIS.ucalgary.ANDTHIS.ca> wrote:

>>> y_locations = fix(temp) / 4
>
>> I have found that this works a bit better:
>> y_locations = FLOOR(temp / 4)
>
> It is exactly the same thing.... since fix() simply removes the
> decimals (if used with no TYPE keyword), it acts like floor!
>
> Jean

Be careful, FLOOR and FIX (or LONG) are not the same thing.

IDL> print, floor(3.5)
3
IDL> print, long(3.5)
3
IDL> print, floor(-3.5)
-4
IDL> print, long(-3.5)
```

Cheers, Ken Bowman

Subject: Re: Help requested in eradicating FOR loops Posted by Jean H. on Thu, 20 Dec 2007 03:02:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Kenneth Bowman wrote:

> In article <fkbg7s$vbl$1@news.ucalgary.ca>,

> Jean H <jghasban@DELTHIS.ucalgary.ANDTHIS.ca> wrote:

>>>> y_locations = fix(temp) / 4

>>> I have found that this works a bit better:

>>> y_locations = FLOOR(temp / 4)

>> It is exactly the same thing.... since fix() simply removes the

>> decimals (if used with no TYPE keyword), it acts like floor!

>> Jean

>> Jean
```

```
> Be careful, FLOOR and FIX (or LONG) are not the same thing.

> IDL> print, floor(3.5)

> 3

> IDL> print, long(3.5)

> 3

> IDL> print, floor(-3.5)

> -4

> IDL> print, long(-3.5)

> -3

> Cheers, Ken Bowman

ooops... thanks for pointing that... thesis writing is bad for coding details memory:-)

Jean
```