Subject: how to sort data based on other sorted data
Posted by placebo on Wed, 09 Jan 2008 19:24:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello all,

I have an input file containing coordinate data (three columns) with a couple thousand rows. In order to keep this post short lets say the data file has 8 coordinates scrambled in the list, and I want to sort it first by the 'z' coordinate (3rd column) then by the 'y' coordinate (2nd column) then finally by the 'x' column. for example:

unsorted:
x y z
- - -
2 1 2
1 2 2
2 1 1
1 1 1
1 2 1
2 2 2
1 1 2
2 2 1

sorted:
1 1 1
2 1 1
1 2 1
2 2 1
1 1 2
2 1 2
1 2 2
2 2 2

I've already written a routine that will perform this calculation but it involves using 3 nested FOR loops. So, here's a challenge to you all. Can you figure out a way to do this without using any FOR loops?

Cheers,

Placebo

Subject: Re: how to sort data based on other sorted data
Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 11 Jan 2008 18:05:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tom McGlynn writes:

> Multisort works fine and knowing Craig will work very robustly
> but I don't think you need to have any limit on
> the number of columns

I hear Craig is having trouble getting himself motivated
to write his lectures for teaching an astronomy class
in Egypt next week. The last thing he needs right now
is someone one-upping one of his programs. Knowing Craig,
I'm sure we haven't heard the last of him. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Nice program, by the way. I'm trying to understand
both it and Multisort, but they both look like magic to me.

--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: how to sort data based on other sorted data
Posted by Tom McGlynn on Fri, 11 Jan 2008 20:24:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 11, 1:05 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Tom McGlynn writes:
>> Multisort works fine and knowing Craig will work very robustly
>> but I don't think you need to have any limit on
>> the number of columns
>
> I hear Craig is having trouble getting himself motivated
> to write his lectures for teaching an astronomy class
> in Egypt next week. The last thing he needs right now
> is someone one-upping one of his programs. Knowing Craig,
> I'm sure we haven't heard the last of him. :-)
>

I just met him in the hall (his office is just a few
doors down). I daresay you're right! What I want
to know is how we could use reverse_indices and histogram to
solve this problem?

Regards,

Tom

Subject: Re: how to sort data based on other sorted data
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Sat, 12 Jan 2008 19:35:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tom McGlynn <tam@milkyway.gsfc.nasa.gov> writes:
> On Jan 10, 3:51 pm, placebo <willie.mad...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> try Craig Markwardt's multisort
>>
>>> http://astrog.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/arrays.html#MULTI SORT
>>
>> Brian,
>>
>> The multisort method works quite well.
>>
> ...
>
> Multisort works fine and knowing Craig will work very robustly
> but I don't think you need to have any limit on
> the number of columns. Below is a routine that should
> be able to handle an arbitrary number of columns and rows...

Hi Tom, thanks for the interesting contribution. I think the essence of BIGSORT and MULTISORT are similar, namely to build up a surrogate sort key. MULTISORT does it with strings and BIGSORT does it with integers. Clearly sorting by integers will be faster.

Incidentally, the MULTISORT limitation of ten sort keys was purely arbitrary, and it would be trivial to extend. But honestly, who would need so many sort keys? It's like the people who shop for cameras these days based on megapixels...

Craig

Subject: Re: how to sort data based on other sorted data
Posted by Tom McGlynn on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 05:10:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 12, 2:35 pm, Craig Markwardt
<craigm...@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
> Tom McGlynn <t...@milkyway.gsfc.nasa.gov> writes:
>> On Jan 10, 3:51 pm, placebo <willie.mad...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> try Craig Markwardt's multisort
>
>

>>>> http://astrog.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/arrays.html#MULTI SORT
>
>>> Brian,
>
>>> The multisort method works quite well.
>
>> ...
>
>> Multisort works fine and knowing Craig will work very robustly
>> but I don't think you need to have any limit on
>> the number of columns. Below is a routine that should
>> be able to handle an arbitrary number of columns and rows...
>
> Hi Tom, thanks for the interesting contribution. I think the essence
> of BIGSORT and MULTISORT are similar, namely to build up a surrogate
> sort key. MULTISORT does it with strings and BIGSORT does it with
> integers. Clearly sorting by integers will be faster.
>
> Incidentally, the MULTISORT limitation of ten sort keys was purely
> arbitrary, and it would be trivial to extend. But honestly, who would
> need so many sort keys? It's like the people who shop for cameras
> these days based on megapixels...
>
> Craig

Hi Craig,

When I was writing the program originally I think I would have agreed
that both programs were building proxy keys but on reflection I think
that what Bigsort is doing is better described as iterating over
each column and refining a partial ordering until it either gets a
total
ordering or runs out of columns. It not obvious which should be
faster
Multisort has to build a string for each row, but does only a
single sort. Bigsort keeps just a single integer for each row, but it
may sort
as many as 2*nCol times (once over the values of each column and once
per column
over the current sort index) If all the columns were strings, I
wouldn't
be surprised if Multisort was faster and it's close enough that it may
be implementation dependent in any case.

On the question of whether the column limits matter:
When we limit sorting to cases where we were preparing output or other
cases
where we were concerned with the actual order, then I agree that we

rarely
need to worry about more than a few columns. However I can imagine using
a canonical ordering to answer questions like: Are there any duplicate rows? What are they? How many distinct rows are there? While there may be
other ways to answer these, being able to simply sort rows without worrying
about the details of the columns makes answering this kind of question trivial. For this kind of use having something that doesn't have a specific
limit on columns seems desirable.

Tom
