# Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by Jean H. on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 17:51:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

jaime.e.pineda@gmail.com wrote:

> Hi,

>

- > I am trying to run a function (clumpfind) several times with different
- > parameters, however, after I call it once not enough memory is
- > available. I checked with 'help, /memory' to find variables that I
- > could destroy to release memory, without luck (see below).

>

- > Is this the expected behavior of IDL? how can I release memory from
- > the main function?

>

- > Best.
- > Jaime

your clumpfind function may be leaking. Try calling heap\_gc after the first run

Jean

Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by Jaime on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 20:19:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks Jean,

it helped me... but I was forced to call heap\_gc and delete a couple of arrays (a=0) at the end, but still inside, of the clumpfind function. After that I still needed to call heap\_gc after clumpfind is called.

It looks still strange to me that I should all this to get some memory back... is there a way to avoid the memory leak?

Best, Jaime

>

- > your clumpfind function may be leaking. Try calling heap\_gc after the
- > first run
- >
- > Jean

## Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by Jean H. on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 20:35:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Jaime wrote:

> Thanks Jean,

>

- > it helped me... but I was forced to call heap\_gc and delete a couple
- > of arrays (a=0) at the end, but still inside, of the clumpfind
- > function. After that I still needed to call heap gc after clumpfind is
- > called.

>

- > It looks still strange to me that I should all this to get some memory
- > back... is there a way to avoid the memory leak?

>

- > Best.
- > Jaime

#### hum...

for regular variables (i.e. not pointers), they are released from memory when you exit the pro or function... so setting "a=0" should change the memory used IN the function, but should have no effect when the program returns to a lower / main level. Indeed, this is correct provided that a) the variable is not part of the argument of the function, or b)the variable is not part of a common block.

To avoid memory leak... well... pointers need to be well defined and cleaned up!

a = ptr\_new(indgen(10000000000))
a = 0 ==> you just lost track of the indgen(10000000000) array!!! ...
but it is still in memory!

Jean

Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 21:02:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

### Jaime writes:

- It looks still strange to me that I should all this to get some memory
- > back... is there a way to avoid the memory leak?

Better programming always helps! Thanks for the chuckle. :-)

Cheers.

```
David
```

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

```
Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 21:12:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Jean H wrote:
> Jaime wrote:
>> Thanks Jean.
>> it helped me... but I was forced to call heap_gc and delete a couple
>> of arrays (a=0) at the end, but still inside, of the clumpfind
>> function. After that I still needed to call heap gc after clumpfind is
>> called.
>>
>> It looks still strange to me that I should all this to get some memory
>> back... is there a way to avoid the memory leak?
>> Best.
>> Jaime
> hum...
> for regular variables (i.e. not pointers), they are released from memory
> when you exit the pro or function... so setting "a=0" should change the
> memory used IN the function, but should have no effect when the program
> returns to a lower / main level. Indeed, this is correct provided that
> a) the variable is not part of the argument of the function, or b)the
> variable is not part of a common block.
> To avoid memory leak... well... pointers need to be well defined and
> cleaned up!
> a = ptr_new(indgen(10000000000))
> a = 0 ==> you just lost track of the indgen(10000000000) array!!! ...
Not completely:
IDL> x=ptr_new(lindgen(100))
IDL> help, x
Χ
          POINTER = <PtrHeapVar1>
```

IDL> help, \*x

```
<PtrHeapVar1> LONG
                         = Array[100]
IDL > x=0
IDL> help, x
Χ
          LONG
                           0
IDL> print, ptr_valid()
<PtrHeapVar1>
IDL> y=ptr_valid(1,/cast)
IDL> help, y
Υ
          POINTER = <PtrHeapVar1>
IDL> help, *y
<PtrHeapVar1> LONG
                         = Array[100]
```

Though, off the top of my head, I dunno how you would determine the pointer heap variable number programmatically.

cheers,

paulv

```
Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 21:15:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
```

```
Paul van Delst wrote:
> Jean H wrote:
>> Jaime wrote:
>>> Thanks Jean,
>>>
>>> it helped me... but I was forced to call heap gc and delete a couple
>>> of arrays (a=0) at the end, but still inside, of the clumpfind
>>> function. After that I still needed to call heap gc after clumpfind is
>>> called.
>>>
>>> It looks still strange to me that I should all this to get some memory
>>> back... is there a way to avoid the memory leak?
>>>
>>> Best.
>>> Jaime
>>
>> hum...
>> for regular variables (i.e. not pointers), they are released from
>> memory when you exit the pro or function... so setting "a=0" should
>> change the memory used IN the function, but should have no effect when
>> the program returns to a lower / main level. Indeed, this is correct
>> provided that a) the variable is not part of the argument of the
>> function, or b)the variable is not part of a common block.
>>
```

```
>> To avoid memory leak... well... pointers need to be well defined and
>> cleaned up!
>>
\Rightarrow a = ptr_new(indgen(10000000000))
\Rightarrow a = 0 ==> you just lost track of the indgen(10000000000) array!!! ...
 Not completely:
>
> IDL> x=ptr_new(lindgen(100))
> IDL> help. x
             POINTER = <PtrHeapVar1>
> IDL> help, *x
> <PtrHeapVar1> LONG
                             = Array[100]
> IDL> x=0
> IDL> help, x
                               0
> X
             LONG
> IDL> print, ptr valid()
> <PtrHeapVar1>
> IDL> y=ptr_valid(1,/cast)
> IDL> help, y
             POINTER = <PtrHeapVar1>
> Y
> IDL> help, *y
> <PtrHeapVar1> LONG
                             = Array[100]
> Though, off the top of my head, I dunno how you would determine the
> pointer heap variable number programmatically.
Duh! I should kept reading the docs before posting....
IDL> print, ptr_valid(count=c)
<PtrHeapVar1>
IDL> print, c
       1
```

Subject: Re: Releasing memory in IDL Posted by Jaime on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 21:28:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I dug into the code and found that these variables are defined in a COMMON block. Why are they still using memory after the program finished? can they be destroyed altogether (e.g. common\_block=0)?

Best, Jaime

- > hum...
- > for regular variables (i.e. not pointers), they are released from memory

```
> when you exit the pro or function... so setting "a=0" should change the
> memory used IN the function, but should have no effect when the program
> returns to a lower / main level. Indeed, this is correct provided that
> a) the variable is not part of the argument of the function, or b)the
> variable is not part of a common block.
> To avoid memory leak... well... pointers need to be well defined and
> cleaned up!
>
> a = ptr_new(indgen(10000000000))
> a = 0 ==> you just lost track of the indgen(10000000000) array!!! ...
> but it is still in memory!
>
> Jean
```