

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 16:17:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lasse Clausen wrote:

```
> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous
> plait.
>
> nn = 1000
> xx1 = dindgen(nn)
> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))
>
> yy1 = sin(2.*2.*!pi*xx1/(nn-1.))
>
> d = poly_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)
> d = poly_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
```

Try

```
    d = poly_fit(xx2-xx2[0], yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)

>
> !p.multi = [0,1,2]
> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle
> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1
> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle
> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1
>
> end
>
> I had a quick look at POLY_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could
> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.
>
> Cheers
> Lasse Clausen
```

---

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [lasse](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 16:33:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 31 Jan, 17:17, Paul van Delst <Paul.vanDe...@noaa.gov> wrote:

```
> Lasse Clausen wrote:
>> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous
>> plait.
>
>> nn = 1000
>> xx1 = dindgen(nn)
>> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))
```

```

>
>> yy1 = sin(2.*2.*!pi*xx1/(nn-1.))
>
>> d = poly_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)
>> d = poly_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
>
> Try
>   d = poly_fit(xx2-xx2[0], yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
>
>
>
>> !p.multi = [0,1,2]
>> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle
>> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1
>> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle
>> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1
>
>> end
>
>> I had a quick look at POLY_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could
>> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.
>
>> Cheers
>> Lasse Clausen

```

Yes, that is indeed a workaround. But isn't that still a bug in POLY\_FIT? Surely the result of the fitting must not depend on an arbitrary offset of the independent variable.

Cheers  
Lasse Clausen

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...  
 Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 16:34:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I guess this may have something to do with the finite precision of your computer, which affects differently a polynomial of 6th order evaluated at  $x=1000$  than a polynomial of 6th order evaluated at  $x=2E6$  (as the ratio between the term of different order in  $x$  is different in the two cases).

Whatever algorithm `poly_fit` use is likely to run into a problem in the latter case, where the lower order terms are so small in comparison with the high order terms. As the fit critically depend in balancing out all the coefficients, this may well lead to failure. It is not a good idea to use high order polynomial

on large numbers anyway...

Ciao,  
Paolo

Lasse Clausen wrote:

```
> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous
> plait.
>
> nn = 1000
> xx1 = dindgen(nn)
> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))
>
> yy1 = sin(2.*2.*!pi*xx1/(nn-1.))
>
> d = poly_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)
> d = poly_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
>
> !p.multi = [0,1,2]
> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle
> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1
> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle
> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1
>
> end
>
> I had a quick look at POLY_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could
> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.
>
> Cheers
> Lasse Clausen
```

---

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [Vince Hradil](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 16:42:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Jan 31, 10:17 am, Paul van Delst <Paul.vanDe...@noaa.gov> wrote:

```
> Lasse Clausen wrote:
>> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous
>> plait.
>
>> nn = 1000
>> xx1 = dindgen(nn)
>> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))
>
>> yy1 = sin(2.*2.*!pi*xx1/(nn-1.))
>
```

```

>> d = poly_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)
>> d = poly_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
>
> Try
>   d = poly_fit(xx2-xx2[0], yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)
>
>> !p.multi = [0,1,2]
>> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle
>> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1
>> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle
>> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1
>
>> end
>
>> I had a quick look at POLY_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could
>> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.
>
>> Cheers
>> Lasse Clausen

```

Sure that works, but the underlying issue is still there - why should it matter?

My guess: propagation of (roundoff) errors when poly\_fit.pro calculates the b-matrix.

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 17:04:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Vince Hradil wrote:

> On Jan 31, 10:17 am, Paul van Delst <Paul.vanDe...@noaa.gov> wrote:

>> Lasse Clausen wrote:

>>> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous

>>> plait.

>>> nn = 1000

>>> xx1 = dindgen(nn)

>>> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))

>>> yy1 = sin(2.\*2.\*!pi\*xx1/(nn-1.))

>>> d = poly\_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)

>>> d = poly\_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)

>> Try

>> d = poly\_fit(xx2-xx2[0], yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)

>>

>>> !p.multi = [0,1,2]

>>> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle

>>> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1

>>> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle

```
>>> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1
>>> end
>>> I had a quick look at POLY_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could
>>> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.
>>> Cheers
>>> Lasse Clausen
>
> Sure that works, but the underlying issue is still there - why should
> it matter?
```

It shouldn't. But it does because...

```
> My guess: propagation of (roundoff) errors when poly_fit.pro
> calculates the b-matrix.
```

I agree that it is likely a precision/roundoff issue... the exact mechanism may be different, but I reckon you're right. An easy test would be to see what happens using SVDFIT.

cheers,

paulv

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 17:13:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Folks,

Speaking of curve fitting...

Does anyone have any examples/tutorials/etc that they are particularly fond of? I'm putting together a lecture. I know, of course, about the tutorials on Craig's page. Some examples of how you have approached a problem, with some data would be \*most\* appreciated. Astronomy examples are particularly desirable.

Thanks,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming ([www.dfanning.com](http://www.dfanning.com))

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---