
Subject: Re: workbench eclipse 3.3.2 patch
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 24 Jun 2008 15:34:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Aye writes:

- > It seems the IDL Workbench does not like the currently available
- > Eclipse 3.3.2 patch:
- > Eclipse RCP Patch 1 for 3.3.2 - ICU4J data update including tzdata
- > 2008c
- > Multiple tries did not succeed in a installation. Or should this NOT
- > be done?
- > I activated automatic notification of available updates, thinking it
- > would be clever to do so. Was it not? B/c now of course it keeps
- > bothering me about this update that I can't install.

lisssshhhh. (That sound you hear is sort of like the Brits sucking
air through their teeth when you've done something naughty.)

Probably not a good idea to install Eclipse updates, since
the Workbench is so delicately balanced on the Eclipse release
current at the time of the Workbench release. (In other words,
don't take the advice of the Workbench update service.)

For what it's worth, a new version of IDL (7.0.3) will be
available, according to ITTVIS, by the end of this month.
Faster analyzing of code, better path handling, and updates
to the HDF and netCDF libraries, is what I heard from them.

Cheers,

David

P.S. For what it is worth, I had to re-install IDL the other day
and I accidentally installed the Eclipse updates, too. Nothing
bad has happened to me (yet), but I'm on a Windows machine, so it
would be hard to tell. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: workbench eclipse 3.3.2 patch
Posted by [mayer](#) on Tue, 24 Jun 2008 16:15:25 GMT

On Jun 24, 5:34 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Michael Aye writes:

>> It seems the IDL Workbench does not like the currently available

>> Eclipse 3.3.2 patch:

>> Eclipse RCP Patch 1 for 3.3.2 - ICU4J data update including tzdata

>> 2008c

>> Multiple tries did not succeed in a installation. Or should this NOT

>> be done?

>> I activated automatic notification of available updates, thinking it

>> would be clever to do so. Was it not? B/c now of course it keeps

>> bothering me about this update that I can't install.

>

> lisssshhhh. (That sound you hear is sort of like the Brits sucking

> air through their teeth when you've done something naughty.)

>

> Probably not a good idea to install Eclipse updates, since

> the Workbench is so delicately balanced on the Eclipse release

> current at the time of the Workbench release. (In other words,

> don't take the advice of the Workbench update service.)

>

> For what it's worth, a new version of IDL (7.0.3) will be

> available, according to ITTVIS, by the end of this month.

> Faster analyzing of code, better path handling, and updates

> to the HDF and netCDF libraries, is what I heard from them.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> P.S. For what it is worth, I had to re-install IDL the other day

> and I accidentally installed the Eclipse updates, too. Nothing

> bad has happened to me (yet), but I'm on a Windows machine, so it

> would be hard to tell. :-)

>

Thanks for the infos! But then I find it really sad, that obviously the automatic update notification function is useless, as it would keep bothering me about "forbidden" updates.

Which in turn means, I need other means to get to know about IDL workbench updates? Or is it possible only to be notified about the ones blessed by ITTVIS?

Really sad when good program features become less useful, not only true for IDL of course. Good example is Windows update. How cool was that in the beginning? And Now? I have to forbid even only the downloading of updates (and still they do it "under the table") otherwise even only downloaded updates can block system functions, grrrr...

Enough of rambling now.. ;)
