Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by russell.grew on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 00:43:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think we need more information.

The range that the elements can take would be useful. If, for instance they are integers between 0 and 10 you could use the where command in conjunction with the count option to check how many times a given value occurs.

Hope this helps.

Russell.

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array
Posted by Brian Larsen on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 06:32:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We do need some more information but this is just screaming for histogram. Have a read through http://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is probably a more graceful way however.

Cheers,

Brian

Brian Larsen
Boston University
Center for Space Physics
http://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 15:50:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
- > . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
- > the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
- > probably a more graceful way however.

>

> Cheers,

>

> Brian

>

> ------

- > Brian Larsen
- > Boston University
- > Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL

In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a small range first.

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array
Posted by Juggernaut on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 11:54:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

- >> We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
- >> histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
- >> . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
- >> the reverse indices and see which y's are then common. There is
- >> probably a more graceful way however.

>

>> Cheers.

>

>> Brian

>

>> ------

- >> Brian Larsen
- >> Boston University
- >> Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL

>

- > In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
- > a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
- > floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a

```
> small range first.
> -Jeremy.
I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
millions of points I would use something like this
coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
[3,1],[21,14]]
counter = intarr(9)
FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
 FOR j = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
  IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,j]) THEN counter[i]++
 ENDFOR
ENDFOR
;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
:- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
;- only occur once then who cares, right?
hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri)
maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
:- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
:- reverse indices
max_index = where(counter EQ array_index)
:- Voila with your max pair
print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
Which spits out....
20
This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
well.
Hope this helps.
```

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a

Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by Juggernaut on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 12:35:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>> On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>> histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>> . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>> the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>> probably a more graceful way however.
>>> Cheers,
>
>>> Brian
>>> Brian Larsen
>>> Boston University
>>> Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>> In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>> a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>> floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>> small range first.
>> -Jeremy.
> I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
> millions of points I would use something like this
> coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
> [3,1],[21,14]]
>
> counter = intarr(9)
>
 FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
   FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>
>
    IF array equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,i]) THEN counter[i]++
>
>
   ENDFOR
 ENDFOR
```

> ;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2 > ;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs > ;- only occur once then who cares, right? > hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri) > maxHist = max(hist, mxpos) > IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once' > ;- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly > :- where in your counter these maxes are occurring > array index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0] > > :- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by > ;- reverse indices > max_index = where(counter EQ array_index) > ;- Voila with your max pair > print, coords[*,max_index[0]] > Which spits out.... > 20 32 > This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as > well. > Hope this helps.

By the way....you shouldn't hard code these things as you can see that I've confused everyone by saying there are 9 pairs but there are actually 10. So replace those hard coded with n_elements(coords[0,*]) or size(coords, /dimensions) to get the correct loop numbers and array sizes. Still works though.

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 31 Jul 2008 11:37:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett <juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> > On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >> We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>> histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>> . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>> the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
```

```
>>> probably a more graceful way however.
>>> Cheers,
>>> Brian
>>> Brian Larsen
>>> Boston University
>>> Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>> In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>> a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>> floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>> small range first.
>> -Jeremy.
> I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
 millions of points I would use something like this
> coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
> [3,1],[21,14]]
 counter = intarr(9)
>
> FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
   FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>
    IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,j]) THEN counter[i]++
>
   ENDFOR
>
 ENDFOR
> ;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
> :- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
> ;- only occur once then who cares, right?
> hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse indices=ri)
> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
> IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
>
> ;- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
> ;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>
> ;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
> :- reverse indices
> max index = where(counter EQ array index)
```

```
>
> :- Voila with your max pair
> print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
>
> Which spits out....
> 20
        32
> This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
> Hope this helps.
My version of that would be:
min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
print, array indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
[min1,min2]
...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
-Jeremy.
```

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by Juggernaut on Thu, 31 Jul 2008 14:50:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 31, 7:37 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett <juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >> On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >> On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >>> We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>> histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>> . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>>> the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>>> probably a more graceful way however.
> >>> Cheers,
```

```
>>>> Brian
>>>>
>>>> Brian Larsen
>>>> Boston University
>>> Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>>> In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>>> a range, use HIST 2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>>> floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>>> small range first.
>>> -Jeremy.
>> I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
>> millions of points I would use something like this
>> coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
>> [3,1],[21,14]]
>> counter = intarr(9)
>> FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
    FOR j = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
      IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,i]) THEN counter[i]++
>>
    ENDFOR
>> ENDFOR
>> :- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
>> ;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
>> ;- only occur once then who cares, right?
>> hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri)
>> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>> IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
>> :- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
>> ;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>
>> ;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
>> :- reverse indices
>> max_index = where(counter EQ array_index)
>> ;- Voila with your max pair
>> print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
>
```

```
>> Which spits out....
>> 20
         32
>> This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
>> well.
>> Hope this helps.
>
> My version of that would be:
> min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
> min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
> arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
> bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
> maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
> print, array_indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
> [min1,min2]
 ...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
> -Jeremy.
No loops is all and good...but if you put a decimal in coords like
this
coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
[20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
your code still spits out (20.0 32.0) where it should spit out (20.0
32.3)
By the way the code I presented up there should have the following
line replaced
array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
with
array_index = (counter[ri[ri[mxpos]:ri[mxpos+1]-1]])[0]
Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a
Multi-Dimensional Array
Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Fri, 01 Aug 2008 11:02:09 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
On Jul 31, 10:50 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com > wrote:
> On Jul 31, 7:37 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>> On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
```

```
>>> On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> > We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>> > histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>>> > . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>>> > the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>> > probably a more graceful way however.
>>>> > Cheers,
>>>> > Brian
>>>> > Brian Larsen
>>> > Boston University
>>> > Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>>>> In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>>> a range, use HIST 2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>>> floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>>>> small range first.
>>>> -Jeremy.
>
>>> I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
>>> millions of points I would use something like this
>
>>> coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
>>> [3,1],[21,14]]
>
>>> counter = intarr(9)
>>> FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>>> FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>
       IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,j]) THEN counter[i]++
>>>
>>> ENDFOR
>>> ENDFOR
>>> ;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
>>> ;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
>>> :- only occur once then who cares, right?
>>> hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri)
>>> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>>> IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
```

```
>
>>> ;- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
>>> ;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>>> ;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
>>> :- reverse indices
>>> max_index = where(counter EQ array_index)
>>> ;- Voila with your max pair
>>> print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
>>> Which spits out....
>>> 20
           32
>>> This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
>>> well.
>>> Hope this helps.
>> My version of that would be:
>> min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
>> min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
>> arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
>> bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
>> maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
>> print, array_indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
>> [min1,min2]
>
>> ...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
>> -Jeremy.
  No loops is all and good...but if you put a decimal in coords like
> this
 coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
> your code still spits out (20.0 32.0) where it should spit out (20.0
> 32.3)
> By the way the code I presented up there should have the following
> line replaced
> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
> with
  array_index = (counter[ri[ri[mxpos]:ri[mxpos+1]-1]])[0]
```

Like I said, if you have floats (or a very large range of integers),

you should map them into integers first using SORT and UNIQ...

```
coordsize = size(coords,/dimen)
coords0_sorted = coords[0,sort(coords[0,*])]
map0 = uniq(coords0_sorted)
nmap = n_elements(map0)
new_coords0 = lonarr(coordsize[1])
for i=0l,nmap-1 do new_coords0[where(coords[0,*] eq
coords0_sorted[map0[i]])]=i
...and the same for coords[1,*]. There's probably a more efficient way
of doing that, but you get the idea.
-Jeremy.
```

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array
Posted by Juggernaut on Tue, 05 Aug 2008 14:14:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Aug 1, 7:02 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Jul 31, 10:50 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>> On Jul 31, 7:37 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> > On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> > We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>> > histogram. Have a read throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>>> > . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>>> > the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>> > probably a more graceful way however.
>>>> > Cheers,
>
>>>> > Brian
>>>> >>
>>>> > Brian Larsen
>>> > > Boston University
>>> > Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
```

```
>
>>>> > In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>>>> > a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>>>> > floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>>>> > small range first.
>>>> > -Jeremy.
>>>> I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
>>> millions of points I would use something like this
>>> coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
>>> [3,1],[21,14]]
>>> counter = intarr(9)
>>>> FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>>> FOR j = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
        IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,i]) THEN counter[i]++
>>>>
>>>> ENDFOR
>>>> ENDFOR
>>>> ;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
>>> ;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
>>>> ;- only occur once then who cares, right?
>>>> hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse indices=ri)
>>> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>>>> IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
>>> ;- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
>>> ;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
>>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>
>>> ;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
>>>> ;- reverse indices
>>> max index = where(counter EQ array index)
>>> :- Voila with your max pair
>>> print, coords[*,max index[0]]
>>>> Which spits out....
>>> 20
            32
>>>> This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
>>>> well.
>>>> Hope this helps.
```

```
>>> My version of that would be:
>>> min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
>>> min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
>>> arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
>>> bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
>>> maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
>>> print, array indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
>>> [min1,min2]
>>> ...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
>>> -Jeremy.
>> No loops is all and good...but if you put a decimal in coords like
>> this
>> coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
>> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
>> your code still spits out (20.0 32.0) where it should spit out (20.0
>> 32.3)
>> By the way the code I presented up there should have the following
>> line replaced
>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>> with
>> array index = (counter[ri[ri[mxpos]:ri[mxpos+1]-1]])[0]
>
> Like I said, if you have floats (or a very large range of integers),
> you should map them into integers first using SORT and UNIQ...
>
> coordsize = size(coords,/dimen)
> coords0_sorted = coords[0,sort(coords[0,*])]
> map0 = uniq(coords0_sorted)
> nmap = n elements(map0)
> new coords0 = lonarr(coordsize[1])
> for i=0l,nmap-1 do new coords0[where(coords[0,*] eq
> coords0_sorted[map0[i]])]=i
> ...and the same for coords[1,*]. There's probably a more efficient way
 of doing that, but you get the idea.
> -Jeremy.
coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
[20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
```

```
sz = size(coords, /dimensions)

result = rebin(coords,2,sz[1],sz[1])
result2 = rebin(reform(coords,2,1,sz[1]),2,sz[1],sz[1])
indices = array_indices(result/result2,where(result/result2 EQ 1))

hist = histogram(indices[2,*])
maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)

print, coords[*,mxpos]

No loops...but definitely limited by size...can't really go with more than a 7500 indices
```

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Wed, 06 Aug 2008 11:28:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Aug 5, 10:14 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Aug 1, 7:02 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> On Jul 31, 10:50 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 31, 7:37 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett < juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> > On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> > On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> > > We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>>> > > histogram. Have a read
throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>>> > . Using histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>>> >> the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>> > > probably a more graceful way however.
>
>>>> > > Cheers,
>>>> > > Brian
>>> >>
>>>> > > Brian Larsen
```

```
>>> > > Boston University
>>> > Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>>>> > In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>>> > a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>>>> > floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>>> > > small range first.
>>>> > Jeremy.
>>>> > I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
>>>> > millions of points I would use something like this
>
>>> > coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
>>>> > [3,1],[21,14]]
>>> > counter = intarr(9)
>>>> > FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>>>> > FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
          IF array equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,i]) THEN counter[i]++
>>>> >
>>>> > ENDFOR
>
>>>> >;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
>>>> >;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
>>> > ;- only occur once then who cares, right?
>>> > hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri)
>>> > maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>>>> > IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
>>> > ;- Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
>>>> >;- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
>>> > array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>>>> >;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
>>> > ;- reverse indices
>>> > max_index = where(counter EQ array_index)
>
>>> > ;- Voila with your max pair
>>> > print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
>
>>>> > Which spits out....
>>>> > 20
              32
>>>> > This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
```

```
>>>> > well.
>>>> > Hope this helps.
>>> My version of that would be:
>>> min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
>>>> min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
>>> arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
>>> bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
>>> maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
>>> print, array indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
>>>> [min1,min2]
>
>>>> ...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
>>>> -Jeremy.
>>> No loops is all and good...but if you put a decimal in coords like
>>> this
>>> coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
>>> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
>>> your code still spits out (20.0 32.0) where it should spit out (20.0
>>> 32.3)
>>> By the way the code I presented up there should have the following
>>> line replaced
>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>>> with
>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[mxpos]:ri[mxpos+1]-1]])[0]
>> Like I said, if you have floats (or a very large range of integers),
>> you should map them into integers first using SORT and UNIQ...
>> coordsize = size(coords,/dimen)
>> coords0 sorted = coords[0,sort(coords[0,*])]
>> map0 = uniq(coords0_sorted)
>> nmap = n elements(map0)
>> new coords0 = lonarr(coordsize[1])
>> for i=0l,nmap-1 do new coords0[where(coords[0,*] eq
>> coords0 sorted[map0[i]])]=i
>> ...and the same for coords[1,*]. There's probably a more efficient way
>> of doing that, but you get the idea.
>> -Jeremy.
> coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
```

```
> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
> sz = size(coords, /dimensions)
>
> result = rebin(coords,2,sz[1],sz[1])
> result2 = rebin(reform(coords,2,1,sz[1]),2,sz[1],sz[1])
  indices = array indices(result/result2.where(result/result2 EQ 1))
>
> hist = histogram(indices[2,*])
> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>
 print, coords[*,mxpos]
>
> No loops...but definitely limited by size...can't really go with more
> than a 7500 indices
That doesn't work if you have individual elements that come up much
more often than elements in the most frequent pair... I fooled it with
this input:
coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],$
 [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14],[10.0,32.3],[10.0,8]]
and it comes up with [10.0,32.3].
-Jeremy.
```

Subject: Re: Finding the Top Two Most Common Coordinates in a Multi-Dimensional Array
Posted by Juggernaut on Thu, 07 Aug 2008 13:21:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Aug 6, 7:28 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Aug 5, 10:14 am, Bennett <juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >> On Aug 1, 7:02 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >> On Jul 31, 10:50 am, Bennett <juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >>> On Jul 31, 7:37 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >>> On Jul 30, 7:54 am, Bennett <juggernau...@gmail.com> wrote:

> >>> > On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>> > On Jul 29, 11:50 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
```

```
>>> > > On Jul 29, 2:32 am, Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> >>> We do need some more information but this is just screaming for
>>>> > > > histogram. Have a read
throughhttp://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>>>> >> > Ising histogram to see which x's are common you can step through
>>>> >>> the reverse_indices and see which y's are then common. There is
>>>> >>> probably a more graceful way however.
>>>> > > Cheers,
>>>> > > Brian
>>>> > > > Brian Larsen
>>>> > > > Boston University
>>> > > Center for Space Physicshttp://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL
>>>> > In particular, if you're dealing with integers that don't span too big
>>> > > a range, use HIST_2D and find the maximum element. If you've got
>>>> >> floats or a wide range, use UNIQ to turn each into an integer on a
>>>> > > small range first.
>>>> > > Jeremy.
>>>> > I think if I were to be working with small datasets....ie not in the
>>>> > millions of points I would use something like this
>>> > coords = [[10,1],[20,32],[5,7],[6,8],[20,32],[2,14],[20,32],[10,10],
>>>> > > [3,1],[21,14]]
>>> > counter = intarr(9)
>>>> > FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>>>> > FOR i = 0, 8 DO BEGIN
>>> > IF array_equal(coords[*,i],coords[*,j]) THEN counter[i]++
>
>>>> > ENDFOR
>>>> > ENDFOR
>
>>>> > ;- Histogram to find the max bins (no need to measure anything below 2
>>>> > ;- because that would just be a single hit and if all of your pairs
>>>> >>; only occur once then who cares, right?
>>> > hist = histogram(counter, min=2, reverse_indices=ri)
>>>> > maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>>> > IF maxHist EQ 1 THEN print, 'Each pair occurs no more than once'
>
```

```
>>> > >; Use the reverse indices given by histogram to find out exactly
>>>> > :- where in your counter these maxes are occurring
>>> > > array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>
>>>> > ;- Find where counter is equal to the array index determined by
>>>> > ;- reverse indices
>>>> > max_index = where(counter EQ array_index)
>>> > > :- Voila with your max pair
>>> > print, coords[*,max_index[0]]
>>>> > Which spits out....
>>>> > 20
                32
>>>> > This could be tweaked to find the top two or three or whatever as
>>>> > well.
>>>> > Hope this helps.
>>> > My version of that would be:
>>> > min1=min(coords[0,*], max=max1)
>>>> > min2=min(coords[1,*], max=max2)
>>>> > arraymap = hist_2d(coords[0,*], coords[1,*], min1=min1, max1=max1,
>>> > bin1=1, min2=min2, max2=max2, bin2=1)
>>> > maxval = max(arraymap, maxelement)
>>> > print, array_indices([max1-min1+1,max2-min2+1], maxelement, /dimen)+
>>>> > [min1,min2]
>>>> > ...which avoids loops, and is more obvious to me.
>>>> > -Jeremy.
>
>>> No loops is all and good...but if you put a decimal in coords like
>>>> this
>
>>> coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
>>> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
>
>>> your code still spits out (20.0 32.0) where it should spit out (20.0
>>>> 32.3)
>>>> By the way the code I presented up there should have the following
>>>> line replaced
>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[1]:ri[2]-1]])[0]
>>> with
>>> array_index = (counter[ri[ri[mxpos]:ri[mxpos+1]-1]])[0]
>>> Like I said, if you have floats (or a very large range of integers),
>>> you should map them into integers first using SORT and UNIQ...
```

```
>
>>> coordsize = size(coords,/dimen)
>>> coords0_sorted = coords[0,sort(coords[0,*])]
>>> map0 = uniq(coords0_sorted)
>>> nmap = n_elements(map0)
>>> new_coords0 = lonarr(coordsize[1])
>>> for i=0l,nmap-1 do new_coords0[where(coords[0,*] eq
>>> coords0_sorted[map0[i]])]=i
>>> ...and the same for coords[1,*]. There's probably a more efficient way
>>> of doing that, but you get the idea.
>>> -Jeremy.
>> coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],
>> [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14]]
>> sz = size(coords, /dimensions)
>> result = rebin(coords,2,sz[1],sz[1])
>> result2 = rebin(reform(coords,2,1,sz[1]),2,sz[1],sz[1])
>> indices = array indices(result/result2, where(result/result2 EQ 1))
>> hist = histogram(indices[2,*])
>> maxHist = max(hist, mxpos)
>
>> print, coords[*,mxpos]
>> No loops...but definitely limited by size...can't really go with more
>> than a 7500 indices
> That doesn't work if you have individual elements that come up much
> more often than elements in the most frequent pair... I fooled it with
 this input:
>
  coords = [[10.0,1.0],[20.0,32.3],[5,7],[6,8],[20.0,32.3],[2,14],$
   [20.0,32.3],[10,10],[3,1],[21,14],[10.0,32.3],[10.0,8]]
  and it comes up with [10.0,32.3].
> -Jeremy.
```

Dang it...well I had to give it a go.