Subject: Re: Incredible interaction between graphics and DLM: SOS! Posted by Allan Whiteford on Tue, 05 Aug 2008 16:40:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Fabio,

IDL will be sending signals back and forward with all sorts of other stuff to handle graphics. Note that the man page for sleep says:

"sleep() may be implemented using SIGALRM; mixing calls to alarm() and sleep() is a bad idea.

Using longimp() from a signal handler or modifying the handling of SIGALRM while sleeping will cause undefined results."

The IDL command line will have signals to deal with the graphics subsystem which can/will cause sleep(20) to return early. There may also be "longjmp"s involved.

This shouldn't affect most other things you want to do, for instance if instead of sleep(20) you called a function which happened to take 20 seconds to do something then it should not return early.

Pyro is presumably also using signals and the like to handle communication; I don't know anything about it.

Not really a solution to your problem but maybe it'll help you to look in the right place. Mess with the signal handlers at your own risk though!

Thanks,

Allan

fabio.tosetti@gmail.com wrote:

- > I have a very simple file set (see below), with only one function,
- > idl test(), written just to isolate the problem.
- > The following instruction simply sleep for 20 seconds and correctly
- returns:
- > IDL> print, idl test()
- >
- The following sequence cause the idl_test() to return BEFORE the
- expected time: >
- > IDL> err = dialog message("A dialog")
- > IDL> print, idl test()

```
>
> The idl_test() returns after about 3-4 seconds.
> The same happens if I plot a graphic before calling idl_test().
>
 Of course in my application I don't want to sleep(), but to call some
> Python code that do remote communication (Pyro), but the result is
> exactly the same, and it's very frustrating :-(...
  THE EXAMPLE FILES ARE:
  1) idl_test.dlm:
>
>
> MODULE idl_test
> DESCRIPTION idl test library
> VERSION 1.0
> SOURCE LB
> BUILD_DATE AUG 05 2008
> FUNCTION IDL_TEST 0 0
> 2) idl_test.h:
 #ifndef IDL_TEST_H_INCLUDE
  #define IDL_TEST_H_INCLUDE
> extern "C"{
  int IDL_Load(void);
>
>
>
  #endif /*IDL_TEST_H_INCLUDE*/
 2) idl_test.cpp file:
> #include "idl_test.h"
>
> #include <stdio.h>
> #include <string.h>
> #include <errno.h>
> #include <stdlib.h>
> #include <sys/types.h>
> #include <unistd.h>
> extern "C"{
  #include "idl_export.h"
>
> }
> IDL_VPTR idl_test(int IArgc, IDL_VPTR Argv[]) {
```

```
>
  // TEST
>
> int sec = 20;
  printf("Sleeping for %d seconds... will timeout earlier!\n", sec);
  sleep(sec);
  return IDL_StrToSTRING("idl_test returned before 20 seconds!!!");
>
>
 int IDL Load(void) {
>
    // These tables contain information on the functions and procedures
  // that make up the TESTMODULE DLM. The information contained in
  these
  // tables must be identical to that contained in testmodule.dlm.
>
    static IDL_SYSFUN_DEF2 function_addr[] = {
>
>
     { {(IDL_SYSRTN_GENERIC) idl_test}, "IDL_TEST", 0, 0, 0, 0},
>
>
>
    };
>
    // Register my routines: the routines must be specified exactly
>
  the same
    // as in .dlm.
    return IDL_SysRtnAdd(function_addr, TRUE,
>
 IDL_CARRAY_ELTS(function_addr));
> }
>
  4) Makefile:
  all: idl_test.so
> # ITT had the great idea of changing the name of
> # the installation directory since idl6.3
> # So if you have idl < 6.4 use
> #IDLDIR = /usr/local/rsi/idl/external/include
> # else use
 IDLDIR = /usr/local/itt/idl/external/include
>
  CPPFLAGS = -Wall -W -Wreturn-type -Wunused -D_GNU_SOURCE
  MORE_INCLUDE = -I$(IDLDIR) -I/usr/include/python
>
  %.o:%.h
>
>
> .cpp.o:
  g++ $(CPPFLAGS) $(MORE INCLUDE) -c $< -o $@
```

```
> idl_test.so: idl_test.o $(IDLDIR)/idl_export.h
> g++ $(CPPFLAGS) -shared -o idl_test.so idl_test.o -lstdc++
> clean:
> rm -f *.o *.so
```

Subject: Re: Incredible interaction between graphics and DLM: SOS! Posted by fabio.tosetti on Thu, 07 Aug 2008 14:22:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Now the problem is more clear to me, thank you. Of course I don't like to make a mess with signal handlers... I think that the best solution is to run the application that uses Pyro in e separate process, and do inter-process communication, that we have already implemented.