
Subject: Re: Range of "Spherical Coordinates" in SPHER_HARM
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Thu, 09 Oct 2008 13:22:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <gcku0s\$4t1\$1@aioe.org>,
"Karlo Janos" <idl.20.beamer2@spamgourmet.com> wrote:

- > Hello all!
- >
- > I am a little bit confused about the usage of spherical coordinates in
- > the function SPHER_HARM.
- > The first parameter should be the azimuthal angle theta (in the help
- > document named 'polar' or 'colatitudinal') and the second parameter the
- > polar angle phi (in the help document named 'azimuthal' or
- > 'longitudinal').
- > According to the example code at the end of the help page the range for
- > theta seems to be [0; pi] as I would have presumed. But what is the
- > range for phi? [0; 2pi] or [-pi; pi]? In some functions (where the
- > keyword '/DEGREES' is possible) it must be the latter, relating to the
- > coordinate system of the earth. But what about SPHER_HARM? I did not
- > find any explanation about the definition of theta and phi there.
- > Does anybody see clearly here?
- > Greetings
- >
- > Karlo

Spherical harmonics are simply sines and cosines in the azimuthal (longitudinal) direction, so it does not matter whether you use [0, 2 pi] or [-pi, pi]. Use whichever convention you want, but be consistent or you will end up with phase errors.

And, as is usually the case with IDL, the easiest approach is to try it and see if you get what you want.

Ken Bowman

Subject: Re: Range of "Spherical Coordinates" in SPHER_HARM
Posted by [jameskuyper](#) on Thu, 09 Oct 2008 17:18:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karlo Janos wrote:

- > Hello all!
- >
- > I am a little bit confused about the usage of spherical coordinates in
- > the function SPHER_HARM.
- > The first parameter should be the azimuthal angle theta (in the help
- > document named 'polar' or 'colatitudinal') and the second parameter the

- > polar angle phi (in the help document named 'azimuthal' or
- > 'longitudinal').
- > According to the example code at the end of the help page the range for
- > theta seems to be [0; pi] as I would have presumed. But what is the
- > range for phi? [0; 2pi] or [-pi; pi]? In some functions (where the
- > keyword '/DEGREES' is possible) it must be the latter, relating to the
- > coordinate system of the earth. But what about SPHER_HARM? I did not
- > find any explanation about the definition of theta and phi there.

It is a fundamental feature of the spherical coordinate system that theta and theta+2*PI represent the same location, and similarly for phi and phi+2*PI. For this reason, the spherical harmonic functions must show these same symmetries. It is no coincidence that that the spherical harmonics are calculated by first calculating cos() and sin() of theta and phi (or integer multiples thereof), and then using those values for all subsequent calculations. There is therefore no reason for SPHERE_HARM to impose any arbitrary limits on either theta or phi, whether or not the /DEGREES option is turned on. I don't believe that it imposes any such limits, my tests reveal none.

Subject: Re: Range of "Spherical Coordinates" in SPHER_HARM
Posted by [Karlo Janos](#) on Sun, 12 Oct 2008 11:41:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for your comments!

My confusion was caused by the contradictory statements about theta and phi. In my opinion/definition theta is the azimuthal angle (and not 'colatitudinal' as stated in the help document) and phi is the polar angle (and not 'longitudinal'). Thus I was not sure, if SPHER_HARM uses a different definition of the spherical coordinate system, because theta cannot have a range of 2*pi when phi has (or the other way round) - regardless of any symmetry.

- > And, as is usually the case with IDL, the easiest
- > approach is to try it and see if you get what you want.

I should remind this slogan more often.
Greetings

Karlo

Subject: Re: Range of "Spherical Coordinates" in SPHER_HARM
Posted by [jameskuyper](#) on Sun, 12 Oct 2008 18:45:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karlo Janos wrote:

- > Thanks for your comments!
- > My confusion was caused by the contradictory statements about theta and
- > phi.

What contradiction do you see within those statements?

- > ... In my opinion/definition theta is the azimuthal angle (and `_not_`
- > 'colatitudinal' as stated in the help document) and phi is the polar
- > angle (and `_not_` 'longitudinal').

That may be your opinion; but the definitions of theta and phi are fundamentally arbitrary. There are conventions, of course, but different conventions are followed by different groups.

I've got about 100 advanced math and physics books in my library. I just did a quick survey of them, and 9 of them mention spherical coordinates or spherical harmonics in the index. Here's my results:

Theta is azimuthal, phi is polar:

=====

"Mathematical Analysis" - Apostol

Theta is polar, phi is azimuthal:

=====

"Special Functions and their applications" - Lebedev

"Mathematical Methods for Physicists" - Arfken

"Large Scale Structure of Spacetime" - Hawking & Ellis

"General Relativity" - Wald

"The Structure of Matter" - Gasirowicz

"Quantum Mechanics" - Metzbacher

"Quantum Mechanics" - Messiah

"Gravitation" - Misner, Thorne, Wheeler

"Classical Electrodynamics" - Jackson

"Classical Electromagnetic Radiation" - Marion

You may work in a field where different conventions hold, but the convention used by SPHER_HARM is at the very least a widely used convention.

Subject: Re: Range of "Spherical Coordinates" in SPHER_HARM

Posted by [Karlo Janos](#) on Mon, 13 Oct 2008 07:22:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

-
- > What contradiction do you see within those statements?

Well, I see much more clearly now.

I mixed up azimuth and elevation. Thus I thought azimuth is the angle

which defines the height above the x-y-plane. And hence I saw a contradiction which is actually not there.

```
>> ... In my opinion/definition theta is the azimuthal angle (and not
>> 'colatitudinal' as stated in the help document) and phi is the polar
>> angle (and not 'longitudinal').
>
> That may be your opinion; but the definitions of theta and phi are
> fundamentally arbitrary. There are conventions, of course, but
> different conventions are followed by different groups.
>
> I've got about 100 advanced math and physics books in my library. I
> just did a quick survey of them, and 9 of them mention spherical
> coordinates or spherical harmonics in the index. Here's my results:
>
> Theta is azimuthal, phi is polar:
> =====
> "Mathematical Analysis" - Apostol
>
> Theta is polar, phi is azimuthal:
> =====
> "Special Functions and their applications" - Lebedev
> [...]
```

Of course I know these different conventions. And I always use the latter one...

To come to a conclusion here: Thanks for helping me to find clarification! :-)

Karlo
