
Subject: Re: Need a function to multiply the elements of an array

Posted by [dan](#) on Wed, 26 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3nm2uk\$qqq@pinot.umd.edu>, barsam@Glue.umd.edu (Barsam Marasli) writes:

```
>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a routine to multiply the elements of an array.
> Is there a faster way of doing it than the following?
>
>
> function prod, x
> n = n_elements(x)-1
> z = 1.d0
> for i = 0, n do z = z * x(i)
> return, z
> end
>
>
>
> BM
>
```

How about

```
z = exp(total(alog(x)))
```

Which only works if all x's greater than zero.

--

***** **

```
** Dan Bergmann          dbergmann@llnl.gov **
** Global Climate Research    fax (510) 422-5844 **
** Lawrence Livermore National Lab  human (510) 423-6765 **
```

Subject: Re: Need a function to multiply the elements of an array

Posted by [barsam](#) on Thu, 27 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3nm2uk\$qqq@pinot.umd.edu>,

I wrote:

```
>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a routine to multiply the elements of an array.
> Is there a faster way of doing it than the following?
>
```

```
>  
> function prod, x  
> n = n_elements(x)-1  
> z = 1.d0  
> for i = 0, n do z = z * x(i)  
> return, z  
> end  
>  
>  
>  
> BM  
>
```

Thanks for the replies.

`z = exp(total(alog(x)))` gives me about 60% savings. Not terribly fast but obviously better than the "for" loop.

BM

Subject: Re: Need a function to multiply the elements of an array

Posted by [adorf](#) on Thu, 27 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3nm2uk\$qqq@pinot.umd.edu>, barsam@Glue.umd.edu (Barsam Marasli) wrote:

```
> Hi,  
>  
> I am looking for a routine to multiply the elements of an array.  
> Is there a faster way of doing it than the following?  
>  
>  
> function prod, x  
> n = n_elements(x)-1  
> z = 1.d0  
> for i = 0, n do z = z * x(i)  
> return, z  
> end  
>  
>  
>  
> BM
```

You can try

```
z = exp(total(alog(x)))
```

Whether it is faster than your function, I do not know. On my Sparcstation 2 it took 2 seconds to multiply 1 million numbers.

Regards
Hans-Martin

PS: I think that apart from the function 'total' (which might more appropriately be called 'sum') there should be a native IDL function 'prod' doing what you do by hand.

--

Hans-Martin Adorf
ST-ECF/ESO
Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2
D-85748 Garching b. Muenchen
Germany
Tel: +49-89-32006-261
Fax: +49-89-32006-480
Internet: adorf@eso.org
