
Subject: Re: xerr

Posted by [Vince Hradil](#) on Wed, 17 Dec 2008 21:29:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 2:27 pm, lakshmi <lax...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi,

>

> I've been using mpfitfun to fit measured values of period (y) and

> distances (x) in a linear equation $y = a + bx$.

> I would like to know if we can include the measured uncertainties in x
> values too?

>

> Thanks,

>

> Lakshmi

Well, since it's a linear problem you should probably choose a linear solution, not mpfitfun. Also, you need to take into account the variance and covariance for both x and y, so you need to solve this with care.

If you google "fitting a straight line when both variables are subject to error" you'll get a lot of info: <http://tinyurl.com/54m8l3>

Subject: Re: xerr

Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Wed, 17 Dec 2008 22:08:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is discussed for example in section 15.3 in edition 3 of the book "numerical recipes".

Ciao,
Paolo

Vince Hradil wrote:

>> Hi,

>>

>> I've been using mpfitfun to fit measured values of period (y) and

>> I would like to know if we can include the measured uncertainties in x
>> values too?

>>

>> Thanks,

>>

>> Lakshmi

>
> Well, since it's a linear problem you should probably choose a linear
> solution, not mpfitfun. Also, you need to take into account the
> variance and covariance for both x and y, so you need to solve this
> with care.
>
> If you google "fitting a straight line when both variables are subject
> to error" you'll get a lot of info: <http://tinyurl.com/54m8l3>

Subject: Re: xerr
Posted by [laxsri](#) on Wed, 17 Dec 2008 22:34:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,
>
> Well, since it's a linear problem you should probably choose a linear
> solution, not mpfitfun. Also, you need to take into account the
> variance and covariance for both x and y, so you need to solve this
> with care.

I was also intending to fix the intercepts and calculate the gradients
and uncertainties. That is why I chose mpfitfun.
I used fitexy to obtain the best fit line with uncertainties in both
intercept and gradient.

> If you google "fitting a straight line when both variables are subject
> to error" you'll get a lot of info:<http://tinyurl.com/54m8l3>

Thanks for the link!

Lakshmi

Subject: Re: xerr
Posted by [laxsri](#) on Wed, 17 Dec 2008 22:41:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 18, 9:08 am, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is discussed for example in
> section 15.3 in edition 3 of the book
> "numerical recipes".
>

Not sure how to constrain the intercept though...
It seemed rather easy to use mpfitfun! Wondering if that is wrong?

Lakshmi

> Ciao,
> Paolo
>
> Vince Hradil wrote:
>> On Dec 17, 2:27 pm, lakshmi <lax...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> Hi,
>
>>> I've been using mpfitfun to fit measured values of period (y) and
>>> distances (x) in a linear equation $y = a + bx$.
>>> I would like to know if we can include the measured uncertainties in x
>>> values too?
>
>>> Thanks,
>
>>> Lakshmi
>
>> Well, since it's a linear problem you should probably choose a linear
>> solution, not mpfitfun. Also, you need to take into account the
>> variance and covariance for both x and y, so you need to solve this
>> with care.
>
>> If you google "fitting a straight line when both variables are subject
>> to error" you'll get a lot of info:<http://tinyurl.com/54m8l3>

Subject: Re: xerr
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Thu, 18 Dec 2008 13:31:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 5:08 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is discussed for example in
> section 15.3 in edition 3 of the book
> "numerical recipes".
>

I have an IDL implementation of the "Numerical Recipes" algorithm at
<http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/fitexy.pro>

--Wayne

Subject: Re: xerr
Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Thu, 18 Dec 2008 14:49:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 5:08 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is discussed for example in
> section 15.3 in edition 3 of the book
> "numerical recipes".

>

> Ciao,
> Paolo

>

> Vince Hradil wrote:

>> On Dec 17, 2:27 pm, lakshmi <lax...@gmail.com> wrote:

>>> Hi,

>

>>> I've been using mpfitfun to fit measured values of period (y) and

>>> distances (x) in a linear equation $y = a + bx$.

>>> I would like to know if we can include the measured uncertainties in x

>>> values too?

>

>>> Thanks,

>

>>> Lakshmi

>

>> Well, since it's a linear problem you should probably choose a linear

>> solution, not mpfitfun. Also, you need to take into account the

>> variance and covariance for both x and y, so you need to solve this

>> with care.

>

>> If you google "fitting a straight line when both variables are subject

>> to error" you'll get a lot of info:<http://tinyurl.com/54m8l3>

>

>

On a complete tangent... how is the third edition compared to the second? I've been hemming and hawing about picking it up.

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: xerr

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 19 Dec 2008 03:24:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 5:08 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is discussed for example in
> section 15.3 in edition 3 of the book
> "numerical recipes".

I've used the Numerical Recipes hack for X errors successfully before.

As mentioned, orthogonal distance regression is the real way to do this, but unfortunately MPFIT does not support this. [It could in principle with a lot of work, but doesn't in practice.]

Craig
