Subject: RE: Idl 3.6.1a And Solaris 2.4

Posted by rivers on Tue, 25 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3nj8r1\$2et@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>, mallozzi@ssl.msfc.nasa.gov writes:

- >> Has anyone ever verified whether IDL 3.6.1a and Solaris 2.4 works
- >> fine together?

>

- > I tried to call some FORTRAN code (IDL3.6.1a, Solaris 3.3) and ran into
- > an error. The FORTRAN required user-input deep down in the set of
- > subroutines, and the code does not wait for the input, but continues on
- > as if you had entered a null string. I talked to IDL "support", and they
- > said that nothing could be done, as IDL sets the tty settings, and there
- > is no way to reset them, call the FORTRAN, then set them back.
- > IDL "support" solution: Rewrite the code into IDL (even after I told them
- > the FORTRAN is more than 10k lines!). Oh well, so much for IDL's
- > portability...

I have not tried it yet, but I find it hard to believe you could not reset the tty settings in a little C or FORTRAN wrapper and then call your routine, and then set them back when you are done.

I suggest you create a little test routine to isolate the problem and then try solutions.

Mark Rivers (312) 702-2279 (office)
CARS (312) 702-9951 (secretary)
Univ. of Chicago (312) 702-5454 (FAX)
5640 S. Ellis Ave. (708) 922-0499 (home)

Chicago, IL 60637 rivers@cars3.uchicago.edu (Internet)

Subject: RE: Idl 3.6.1a And Solaris 2.4 Posted by mallozzi on Tue, 25 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > Has anyone ever verified whether IDL 3.6.1a and Solaris 2.4 works
- > fine together?

I tried to call some FORTRAN code (IDL3.6.1a, Solaris 3.3) and ran into an error. The FORTRAN required user-input deep down in the set of subroutines, and the code does not wait for the input, but continues on as if you had entered a null string. I talked to IDL "support", and they said that nothing could be done, as IDL sets the tty settings, and there is no way to reset them, call the FORTRAN, then set them back. IDL "support" solution: Rewrite the code into IDL (even after I told them the FORTRAN is more than 10k lines!). Oh well, so much for IDL's portability...