
Subject: RE: Idl 3.6.1a And Solaris 2.4

Posted by [rivers](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3nj8r1\$2et@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>, mallozzi@ssl.msfc.nasa.gov writes:

>> Has anyone ever verified whether IDL 3.6.1a and Solaris 2.4 works

>> fine together ?

>

> I tried to call some FORTRAN code (IDL3.6.1a, Solaris 3.3) and ran into

> an error. The FORTRAN required user-input deep down in the set of

> subroutines, and the code does not wait for the input, but continues on

> as if you had entered a null string. I talked to IDL "support", and they

> said that nothing could be done, as IDL sets the tty settings, and there

> is no way to reset them, call the FORTRAN, then set them back.

> IDL "support" solution: Rewrite the code into IDL (even after I told them

> the FORTRAN is more than 10k lines!). Oh well, so much for IDL's

> portability...

I have not tried it yet, but I find it hard to believe you could not reset the tty settings in a little C or FORTRAN wrapper and then call your routine, and then set them back when you are done.

I suggest you create a little test routine to isolate the problem and then try solutions.

Mark Rivers

(312) 702-2279 (office)

CARS

(312) 702-9951 (secretary)

Univ. of Chicago

(312) 702-5454 (FAX)

5640 S. Ellis Ave.

(708) 922-0499 (home)

Chicago, IL 60637

rivers@cars3.uchicago.edu (Internet)

Subject: RE: Idl 3.6.1a And Solaris 2.4

Posted by [mallozzi](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Has anyone ever verified whether IDL 3.6.1a and Solaris 2.4 works

> fine together ?

I tried to call some FORTRAN code (IDL3.6.1a, Solaris 3.3) and ran into

an error. The FORTRAN required user-input deep down in the set of

subroutines, and the code does not wait for the input, but continues on

as if you had entered a null string. I talked to IDL "support", and they

said that nothing could be done, as IDL sets the tty settings, and there

is no way to reset them, call the FORTRAN, then set them back.

IDL "support" solution: Rewrite the code into IDL (even after I told them

the FORTRAN is more than 10k lines!). Oh well, so much for IDL's

portability...
