Subject: fftw and IDL

Posted by leda82 on Sun, 28 Dec 2008 17:41:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi everybody!

IDL's fft() is very slow to me. I tried to use fftw (http://www.fftw.org/). I'v read next (http://www.mpia-hd.mpg.de/~hippler/tip3\_fftw\_idl\_6.html): "Download the fftw package (fftw-3.1.2.tar.gz) from www.fftw.org and configure it with ./configure the following way (this is taken from instructions written by Henry Chapman, and found in the comp.lang.idl-pwave newsgroup):

- 1) Create a shared, multi threaded, single precision library ./configure --enable-shared --enable-threads --enable-float make make install
- 2) Create a shared, single precision library ./configure --enable-shared --enable-float make make install
- 3) Create a shared, multi-threaded, double precision library ./configure --enable-shared --enable-threads make make install
- 4) Create a static, double precision library ./configure make make install
- 5) Build the system wide wisdom file (this took about 3 hours on my machine)

  fftw-wisdom -v -c -o wisdom

  cp -p wisdom /etc/fftw
- 6) Get fftw.c, Makefile\_fftw, and fftw.dlm and build fftw.so with: make -f Makefile\_fftw
- 7) Copy fftw.so and fftw.dlm to the IDL !DLM\_PATH, ie: cp -p fftw.so fftw.dlm /usr/local/rsi/idl//bin/bin.linux.x86\_64
- 8) Before testing fftw with IDL make sure that the fftw libraries are in the libraries search path, ie: setenv LD\_LIBRARY\_PATH /usr/local/lib "

## But when i run make -f Makefile\_fftw i get:

cc -l/usr/local/itt/idl70/external/include -l/usr/local/include -

c -fPIC fftw.c -o fftw.o

fftw.c: In function 'fftwf init':

fftw.c:187: warning: assignment makes pointer from integer without a

cast

fftw.c: In function 'fftwf finish':

fftw.c:211: warning: assignment makes pointer from integer without a

cast

fftw.c: In function 'fftw\_transform':

fftw.c:235: warning: assignment makes pointer from integer without a

cast

fftw.c: In function 'fftwf\_transform':

fftw.c:248: error: 'fftwf complex' undeclared (first use in this

function)

fftw.c:248: error: (Each undeclared identifier is reported only once

fftw.c:248: error: for each function it appears in.)

fftw.c:248: error: 'src' undeclared (first use in this function)

fftw.c:248: error: 'Fsrc' undeclared (first use in this function)

fftw.c:249: error: 'fftwf\_plan' undeclared (first use in this

function)

fftw.c:249: error: expected ';' before 'plan'

fftw.c:255: error: expected expression before ')' token

fftw.c:256: error: expected expression before ')' token

fftw.c:260: error: 'plan' undeclared (first use in this function)

fftw.c: In function 'fftwrf transform':

fftw.c:275: error: 'fftwf\_complex' undeclared (first use in this

function)

fftw.c:275: error: 'Fsrc' undeclared (first use in this function)

fftw.c:276: error: 'fftwf\_plan' undeclared (first use in this

function)

fftw.c:276: error: expected ';' before 'plan'

fftw.c:283: error: expected expression before ')' token

fftw.c:287: error: 'plan' undeclared (first use in this function)

fftw.c: In function 'fftwr\_transform':

fftw.c:315: warning: assignment makes pointer from integer without a

cast

fftw.c: In function 'FFTW':

fftw.c:359: error: 'FFTW\_PATIENT' undeclared (first use in this

function)

fftw.c:360: error: 'FFTW\_EXHAUSTIVE' undeclared (first use in this

function)

What is the problem? I use Debian 2.6.18-1-686 and IDL 7.0.

Maybe there exist more easy way to speed up fft or to use fftw?

Subject: Re: fftw and IDL

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Wed, 31 Dec 2008 22:38:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Dec 28, 12:41 pm, led...@gmail.com wrote:

> Hi everybody!

>

> IDL's fft() is very slow to me. I tried to use fftw (http://www.fftw.org/). I'v read

.. >

- > What is the problem? I use Debian 2.6.18-1-686 and IDL 7.0.
- > Maybe there exist more easy way to speed up fft or to use fftw?
- > Thank you!

I think your first problem is that you are asking an FFTW question on an IDL newsgroup. There might be a few people here that have used FFTW, but probably not many.

CM

Subject: Re: fftw and IDL

Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 01 Jan 2009 15:10:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Dec 31 2008, 5:38 pm, Craig Markwardt <cbmarkwa...@gmail.com>wrote:

- > On Dec 28, 12:41 pm, led...@gmail.com wrote:
- >
- >> Hi everybody!

>

- >> IDL's fft() is very slow to me. I tried to use fftw (http://www.fftw.org/). I'v read
- > ...

>

- >> What is the problem? I use Debian 2.6.18-1-686 and IDL 7.0.
- >> Maybe there exist more easy way to speed up fft or to use fftw?
- >> Thank you!

>

- > I think your first problem is that you are asking an FFTW question on
- > an IDL newsgroup. There might be a few people here that have used
- > FFTW, but probably not many.

>

> CM

I've actually used FFTW quite often, but never the IDL binding. :( (I find that anything computationally intensive enough to require FFTW is best off written in C)

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: fftw and IDL

Posted by Haje Korth on Mon, 05 Jan 2009 23:13:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

I had actually coded my very own convenient DLM interface for FFTW3. It was available at the codebank until recently when a member of the IDL community started bugging me about violating the GPL by not making available the source code. As the result I had to pull the code. That's unfortunate for the rest of the community but I don't appreciate being threatened for free services.

The warnings I am seeing look like poor programming to me. For the errors I would check where fftwf\_complex, fftwf\_plan are defined. Similarly FFTW\_PATIENT and FFTW\_EXHAUSTIVE must be defined somewhere. Is this in FFTW3.h? Is this file properly included in the build process?

Good luck, Haje

"Craig Markwardt" <cbmarkwardt@gmail.com> wrote in message news:a7aa1be2-f858-49eb-af02-cff40cf65302@e25g2000vbe.google groups.com... On Dec 28, 12:41 pm, led...@gmail.com wrote:

- > Hi everybody!
- >
- > IDL's fft() is very slow to me. I tried to use fftw
- > (http://www.fftw.org/). I'v read

>

- > What is the problem? I use Debian 2.6.18-1-686 and IDL 7.0.
- > Maybe there exist more easy way to speed up fft or to use fftw?
- > Thank you!

I think your first problem is that you are asking an FFTW question on an IDL newsgroup. There might be a few people here that have used FFTW, but probably not many.

CM

Subject: Re: fftw and IDL

Posted by Foldy Lajos on Tue, 06 Jan 2009 07:41:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 5 Jan 2009, Haje Korth wrote:

- > Hi.
- > I had actually coded my very own convenient DLM interface for FFTW3. It was
- > available at the codebank until recently when a member of the IDL community
- > started bugging me about violating the GPL by not making available the
- > source code. As the result I had to pull the code. That's unfortunate for
- > the rest of the community but I don't appreciate being threatened for free
- > services.

Why don't you release your DLM under GPL?

(Using the FFTW3 library your code automatically became GPL. Asking for the source code of GPLed software is not "bugging". I think FSF shares my view :-)

regards, lajos

Subject: Re: fftw and IDL

Posted by Haje Korth on Tue, 06 Jan 2009 13:33:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Lajos,

I don't release the code simply because I don't care to. I understand the GPL and you are correct that my code is automatically GPLed (something I actually never thought about until I had to). Thus I had to make a decision. clean up and release the code (so that I can wait for people bugging me how to compile the sucker) or simply pull the code. Since I am not out to benefit from the release in any way and I am not out for fame, going with the second option was a no brainer.

BTW: There is a difference between "bugging" for the code and "bugging" about violation of the GPL, where the latter a direct threat.

Haje

>

news:Pine.LNX.4.64.0901060836520.11527@lxserv0.kfki.hu...

> On Mon, 5 Jan 2009, Haje Korth wrote:

```
>> Hi,
>> I had actually coded my very own convenient DLM interface for FFTW3. It
>> available at the codebank until recently when a member of the IDL
>> community
>> started bugging me about violating the GPL by not making available the
>> source code. As the result I had to pull the code. That's unfortunate for
>> the rest of the community but I don't appreciate being threatened for
>> free
>> services.
  Why don't you release your DLM under GPL?
>
  (Using the FFTW3 library your code automatically became GPL. Asking for
> the source code of GPLed software is not "bugging". I think FSF shares my
> view :-)
> regards,
> lajos
```