Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by R.Bauer on Thu, 08 Jan 2009 13:54:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There is no PEP8 for idl somewhere defined, or isn't it. And if so I probably have to clean also my code

The example is difficult to read.

Reimar

```
hldevil@gmx.de schrieb:
> Hi Everybody,
>
> after having worked my way through dfannings and idsmiths histogram
> tips I still haven't found a way to avoid one last (and time
> consuming) FOR-loop. Maybe someone has an idea.
> I have to lists (Millions of entries): one containing detector hit-
> times, one containing anticoincidence hit-times. Only those detector
> hits which do not have a AC event at the same time are supposed to be
> kept.
>
> I used a histogram approach:
>
  ;AClength is how many frames are thrown out after one AC Hit ->
  multiple entries can fall into one bin
>
  detHist=histogram(data,REVERSE INDICES=ri, /L64, binSize=AClength)
  acHist=histogram(ACdeletes, /L64, binSize=AClength)
>
>
> :renormalize to one
> dl=where(detHist GT 1, cntD)
> al=where(acHist GT 1, cntA)
> IF cntD NE 0 THEN detHist[dI]=1
  IF cntA NE 0 THEN acHist[al]=1
  ;subtract the two histograms. All detector frames which have
> corresponding AC frame should now have value 0, if AC frame exists but
> not detector frame then value is -1. If only detector frame exists
> (the ones we want) value stays 1!!!
> diffHist=detHist-acHist
> ;keep the ones with one
  keep=where(diffHist EQ 1, cnt)
```

```
> ;And now my problem. I need the indices of the keep-frames but there
> can be more than one in a bin so I'm stuck with this loop:
> FOR k=0L, cnt-1 DO BEGIN
> keepIndices=[keepIndices,ri[ri[keep[k]]:ri[keep[k]+1]-1]]
ENDFOR
> Is there any way I can avoid this, especially because it scales with
> the number of hits which are kept.
> Best Regards,
> Steffen
```

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by Tom McGlynn on Thu, 08 Jan 2009 14:28:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jan 8, 4:59 am, hlde...@gmx.de wrote:

> ;AClength is how many frames are thrown out after one AC Hit ->

> multiple entries can fall into one bin

> detHist=histogram(data,REVERSE_INDICES=ri, /L64, binSize=AClength)

> acHist=histogram(ACdeletes, /L64, binSize=AClength)

> ;renormalize to one

> dl=where(detHist GT 1, cntD)

> al=where(acHist GT 1, cntA)

> IF cntD NE 0 THEN detHist[dl]=1

> IF cntA NE 0 THEN acHist[al]=1

Can't these last four lines be replaced by
```

The < and > operators are useful though not necessarily intuitive.

> ;subtract the two histograms. All detector frames which have
> corresponding AC frame should now have value 0, if AC frame exists but
> not detector frame then value is -1. If only detector frame exists
> (the ones we want) value stays 1!!!
> diffHist=detHist-acHist
> ;keep the ones with one
> keep=where(diffHist EQ 1, cnt)

detHist = detHist<1 acHist = acHist<1

To do it all at once, I think you can just go back to the data array as below:

```
keepHist = where (diffHist EQ 1, cnt) ; From the original
if (cnt gt 0) then begin
  keepers = intarr(n_elements(detHist))
  keepers[keepHist] = 1;
  keepIndices = where(keepers[data/ACLENGTH] eq 1)
endif else begin
  keepIndices = [-1]
endelse
```

Haven't tested it, but it seems like it or something like it should work reasonably efficiently.

Good luck, Tom McGlynn

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by Tom McGlynn on Thu, 08 Jan 2009 14:36:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

After posting I realized that this means that one doesn't have to use histogram's reverse indices at all in the solution. That may be illegal in this news group and is a strong indicator that the solution must be wrong. :)

Tom

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 08 Jan 2009 14:40:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tom McGlynn writes:

> After posting I realized that this means that one doesn't have to use

- > histogram's reverse indices at all in the solution. That may be
- > illegal in this news group and is a strong indicator that the solution
- > must be wrong. :)

At the very least, it violates our charter! :-)

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by hldevil on Thu, 08 Jan 2009 17:31:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 8 Jan., 15:28, Tom McGlynn <t...@milkyway.gsfc.nasa.gov> wrote:
> On Jan 8, 4:59 am, hlde...@gmx.de wrote:
>> ;AClength is how many frames are thrown out after one AC Hit ->
>> multiple entries can fall into one bin
>> detHist=histogram(data,REVERSE_INDICES=ri, /L64, binSize=AClength)
   acHist=histogram(ACdeletes, /L64, binSize=AClength)
>> :renormalize to one
>> dl=where(detHist GT 1, cntD)
>> al=where(acHist GT 1, cntA)
>> IF cntD NE 0 THEN detHist[dl]=1
>> IF cntA NE 0 THEN acHist[al]=1
>
> Can't these last four lines be replaced by
    detHist = detHist<1
>
    acHist = acHist<1
>
  The < and > operators are useful though not necessarily intuitive.
>
>
>
>
>
>
    ;subtract the two histograms. All detector frames which have
>> corresponding AC frame should now have value 0, if AC frame exists but
>> not detector frame then value is -1. If only detector frame exists
```

```
>> (the ones we want) value stays 1!!!
>> diffHist=detHist-acHist
>> ;keep the ones with one
   keep=where(diffHist EQ 1, cnt)
>> ;And now my problem. I need the indices of the keep-frames but there
>> can be more than one in a bin so I'm stuck with this loop:
>> FOR k=0L, cnt-1 DO BEGIN
>>
                keepIndices=[keepIndices,ri[ri[keep[k]]:ri[keep[k]+1]-1]]
>> ENDFOR
  To do it all at once, I think you can just go back to the data array
  as below:
>
     keepHist = where (diffHist EQ 1, cnt); From the original
>
     if (cnt gt 0) then begin
>
       keepers = intarr(n_elements(detHist))
>
       keepers[keepHist] = 1;
>
       keepIndices = where(keepers[data/ACLENGTH] eq 1)
>
     endif else begin
>
       keepIndices = [-1]
>
     endelse
>
  Haven't tested it, but it seems like it or something like it should
  work reasonably efficiently.
>
     Good luck,
>
     Tom McGlynn
>
Seems reasonable. I'll try it and see if I get the same results as
with the for loop.
Thanks,
Steffen
```

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by hldevil on Fri, 09 Jan 2009 08:46:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Okay, I tested it. Works perfectly. Thank you very much!

Cheers,

Steffen

Subject: Re: Anyway to avoid this last for loop Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Fri, 09 Jan 2009 18:28:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > Can't these last four lines be replaced by
- > detHist = detHist<1
- > acHist = acHist<1

>

> The < and > operators are useful though not necessarily intuitive.

For that matter, those operators are valid for the op= construction, so you can write the very efficient (in both performance and typing time):

detHist <= 1

but which will confuse the hell out of people who normally think in most other languages where <= is a comparison. ;-)

-Jeremy.