Subject: Duplicate lat/long points
Posted by hethomas on Wed, 14 Jan 2009 10:21:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From searching this forum for "duplicate points" I found that a while back, under the thread entitled "duplicates - a new twist" my problem was posted (almost exactly identically) by Martin Doyle. [http://groups.google.com/group/comp.lang.idl-pvwave/browse_t hread/thread/470ca560db41c58a/df9dba74d5788f6c?lnk=gst&q=dupli cate +points#df9dba74d5788f6c]

In short, I have a list of latitude, longitude and data and need to combine any duplicate lat longs by summing the data value.

Despite the many follow up answers to this I am still having problems with using the UNIQ function on both latitude and longtude as they each need to be sorted numerically for IDL to work. Is anyone able to shed any light on this?! Or indeed, know of a quicker/easier method. There is a function in R called "aggregate" which appears to do exactly what I need, but I am unable to find an IDL equivalent.

Any help is greatly appreciated!

Helen

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points
Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 15 Jan 2009 16:00:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 15, 3:54 am, RussellGrew <russell.g...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > You make a histogram where the bins are each latitude and also each
- > longitude.

>

> It takes some thinking, but it works.

Or just use HIST_ND from the Coyote library, which (unlike the built-in HIST_2D) will return REVERSE_INDICES.

You might actually find my HIST_ND_WEIGHT useful for this, using your data values as the weights (it's actually a wrapper to David's HIST_ND):

http://web.astroconst.org/jbiu/jbiu-doc/math/hist_nd_weight. html

-Jer.

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points Posted by mccreigh on Fri, 16 Jan 2009 19:55:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Helen-

I've written a routine to do something very similar to what you are describing.

My problem, however may be significantly different (or not, depending on if you are really giving the full details).

Basically, I identify unique station lons and lats. The *extremely* complicating factor is that the same stations may be reported in 2 completely different precisions. For example 49N10' would not equal 49N10'15". But are these different stations or not? If you dont have this tolerance problem, then, use hist nd. If your data are regularly spaced, and your hist not tremendously sparse then use hist nd. Otherwise you may need to consider what I've done. I tried to use hist_nd in vein for this problem, so i thought it would be worth sharing. My problem requires a tolerance factor, eg any two stations separated by more than 1 minute are distinct. This translates into a bin size in histogram or in hist_nd. Even if your tolerance is relatively low (like 1 minute), the distance between any two points makes the size of the implied grid huge, ie the sparsity of the histogram output is overwhelming and you'll probably get and error. That's because of how huge the earth is and the implied grid in the histogram is massive with virtually no actual data on it. You have to use long64 to even represent numbers if you want them to be nonfloating. It gets ugly, the problem is not a very clean one. It's one more level of complication you may need to consider before trying hist_nd. So hist_nd might not be the way to go, depending on how mesy your problem is. I think a searching and matching routine like i've used is much more practical for this described case. I posted my two codes (from a quick scan, i couldnt see any other externals in the programs, but there probably are, so just let me know on the side if i need to post those as well):

http://cires.colorado.edu/~mccreigh/idl/ qa_identify_unique_stations.pro qa_find_data_precision.pro

the first calls the second in the case that the user does not supply a tolerance, in that case the second routine trys to find a default tolerance in the data.

But, since I love hist_nd so much, I thought I'd try to share a quick example like came up just the other day when I was sorting some dates. But you really must/should study JD's histgram blub on David's site. Afer that, to use hist_nd, you really only need read the header for hist_nd.

```
IDL > a = [3,3,3,4]
                     ; i guess you could think of these like dates -
day
IDL> b=[8,9,9,9]
                    ; month
IDL > c=[10,10,10,12]; year
IDL> in=[transpose(a),transpose(b),transpose(c)] :note the required
form of the input for hist_nd
IDL> hist=hist nd(in,1,rev=ri) ;binsize 1
IDL> p,hist
[*]
       1
                0
       2
                0
       0
                0
       0
                0
       0
                0
       0
                1
;; note the sparsity and structure - [2,2,3] is because 2 numbers span
variable1, 2 number span variable 2, 3 span the third
;; will illustrate the following reverse indices from the hist nd
header (the trickiest part, make sure you read the hist primer)
;; ind=[i+nx*(j+ny*k)]
;; ri[ri[ind]:ri[ind+1]-1]
IDL> dims=size(hist,/dim)
you'd typically do this inside a "for ... do begin" loop and not
store the coeffs and it would look so much
;; nicer! but since I'm working from command line...
IDL> inds=intarr(dims[0],dims[1],dims[2])
IDL> for i=0,dims[0]-1 do for j=0,dims[1]-1 do for k=0,dims[2]-1 do
inds[i,j,k]=i+dims[0]*(j+dims[1]*k)
IDL> for i=0,dims[0]-1 do for j=0,dims[1]-1 do for k=0,dims[2]-1 do if
ri[inds[i,j,k]+1]-1-ri[inds[i,j,k]] ge 0 then $
IDL>
           print,i,j,k,ri[ri[inds[i,j,k]]:ri[inds[i,j,k]+1]-1]
                                         : this says
                         0
that hist [i,j,k]=[0,0,0] has and entry in the input array at 0
          1
                0
                                  2
                                          : this savs
that hist [i,j,k]=[0,1,0] has and entries in the input array at [1,2]
                                         ; you
guessed it.
```

My only other routine in my code directory (variogram.pro) is a fancy wrapper on hist_nd. Hist is multithreaded, so this routine can run really well on a multiprocessor machine, one process will gladly take around 4 cpus on the machine I use. That's why programmers love histogram.

One last note, it may well be worth your while to ditch IDL for R, unless you have serious memory requirements. R has way more libraries and useful things available in general (from my experience). If you dont have alot invested in IDL, I'd seriously consider R for a variety of reasons (esp cause it's free). But IDL offers the satisfation of writing your own programs in many cases!

Good luck, hope this is useful or at least reassuring if you've already figured it out.

James

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points Posted by hethomas on Tue, 20 Jan 2009 13:57:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you all for your responses - I am still trying to get the histogram method working, but have come up with a temporary working solution using UNIQ and running it over two FOR loops. James - my problem wasn't as complicated as yours, as all my points are the same precision, so that identical points are truly exactly the same. Thanks for sharing your method though. May be useful later! (I can't easily move to R as I am using IDL coupled with ENVI for satellite data work . . .)

Helen

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Wed, 21 Jan 2009 15:14:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 20, 8:57 am, hethomas <het...@googlemail.com> wrote:

- > Thank you all for your responses I am still trying to get the
- > histogram method working, but have come up with a temporary working
- > solution using UNIQ and running it over two FOR loops.
- > James my problem wasn't as complicated as yours, as all my points
- > are the same precision, so that identical points are truly exactly the
- > same. Thanks for sharing your method though. May be useful later! (I
- > can't easily move to R as I am using IDL coupled with ENVI for
- > satellite data work . . .)

> Helen

How about turning each (lat,lon) pair into a single unique index? Since the latitudes are bounded by (-90,+90) the following mapping function should work:

onedcoord = (lats+90) + 200*lons

You can then just run onedcoord through sort and uniq and just use one for loop (or probably none if you're clever enough...).

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points

Posted by mccreigh on Wed, 21 Jan 2009 16:02:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

that's basically re-inventing hist_nd, but sometimes it's nice to understand it from the ground up.

Subject: Re: Duplicate lat/long points

Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 22 Jan 2009 14:58:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 21, 11:02 am, James McCreight <mccre...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > that's basically re-inventing hist_nd, but sometimes it's nice to
- > understand it from the ground up.

To some degree... but you can avoid the sparseness problems by just working directly on the 1D mappings. I.e. you do a second mapping from the 1D indices into their ordinals and then do a histogram of those, which is guaranteed to be completely non-sparse.

-Jeremy.