
Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 29 Jan 2009 16:15:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gianluca Li Causi writes:

> In order to find the iso-value lines of a 2D surface I'm using the
> CONTOUR procedure, which works very well except that it's NOT ABLE to
> compute the contour when the LEVEL equals the maximum or minimum of
> the function.
>
> I've the following simple fuction:
> Z = shift(dist(100, 100), 50,50)
> Z = abs(Z - max(Z)*.3)
> which have a circular minimum at LEVEL=0, but CONTOUR is unable to
> find it!

Wow! That is one perverse example!

Let me know what you come up with. I'll include it in
the Monsters of IDL Exhibit I'm planning. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 29 Jan 2009 22:51:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gianluca Li Causi writes:

> In order to find the iso-value lines of a 2D surface I'm using the
> CONTOUR procedure, which works very well except that it's NOT ABLE to
> compute the contour when the LEVEL equals the maximum or minimum of
> the function.
>
> I've the following simple fuction:
> Z = shift(dist(100, 100), 50,50)
> Z = abs(Z - max(Z)*.3)
> which have a circular minimum at LEVEL=0, but CONTOUR is unable to
> find it!

According to my colleague Matt, what you should see at the minimum of a contour plot is....nothing! I think I have to agree. A contour line is suppose to enclose something. What could be enclosed at the minimum value of a data set? Right. Nothing.

You could hold a flat sheet of paper under your 2D surface and draw a line where the surface touched the paper. But the word for that would be an "etching" or an "imprint", not a "contour". What you want, and what a contour plot is designed to give, are two different things. Or at least it seems that way to us. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Thu, 29 Jan 2009 23:14:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Gianluca Li Causi writes:

>

>> In order to find the iso-value lines of a 2D surface I'm using the
>> CONTOUR procedure, which works very well except that it's NOT ABLE to
>> compute the contour when the LEVEL equals the maximum or minimum of
>> the function.

>>

>> I've the following simple fuction:

>> Z = shift(dist(100, 100), 50,50)

>> Z = abs(Z - max(Z)*.3)

>> which have a circular minimum at LEVEL=0, but CONTOUR is unable to
>> find it!

Well, just set your minimum level to min(z)+epsilon,
where epsilon is a small number (i.e. 0.001 in this case),
if you have a very flat bottom you need to contour out.
(but please note that your z does not have a flat bottom,
so it's a poor example...)

Ciao,
Paolo

>
> According to my colleague Matt, what you should see at the
> minimum of a contour plot is....nothing! I think I have to
> agree. A contour line is suppose to enclose something.
> What could be enclosed at the minimum value of a data set?
> Right. Nothing.
>
> You could hold a flat sheet of paper under your 2D surface
> and draw a line where the surface touched the paper. But
> the word for that would be an "etching" or an "imprint",
> not a "contour". What you want, and what a contour plot
> is designed to give, are two different things. Or at least
> it seems that way to us. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:04:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 29, 6:14 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> David Fanning wrote:
>> Gianluca Li Causi writes:
>
>>> In order to find the iso-value lines of a 2D surface I'm using the
>>> CONTOUR procedure, which works very well except that it's NOT ABLE to
>>> compute the contour when the LEVEL equals the maximum or minimum of
>>> the function.
>
>>> I've the following simple fuction:
>>> Z = shift(dist(100, 100), 50,50)
>>> Z = abs(Z - max(Z)*.3)
>>> which have a circular minimum at LEVEL=0, but CONTOUR is unable to
>>> find it!
>
> Well, just set your minimum level to min(z)+epsilon,

> where epsilon is a small number (i.e. 0.001 in this case),
> if you have a very flat bottom you need to contour out.
> (but please note that your z does not have a flat bottom,
> so it's a poor example...)
>
> Ciao,
> Paolo
>
>
>
>> According to my colleague Matt, what you should see at the
>> minimum of a contour plot is....nothing! I think I have to
>> agree. A contour line is suppose to enclose something.
>> What could be enclosed at the minimum value of a data set?
>> Right. Nothing.
>
>> You could hold a flat sheet of paper under your 2D surface
>> and draw a line where the surface touched the paper. But
>> the word for that would be an "etching" or an "imprint",
>> not a "contour". What you want, and what a contour plot
>> is designed to give, are two different things. Or at least
>> it seems that way to us. :-)
>
>> Cheers,
>
>> David
>
>> --
>> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)
>> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
>
>

Paolo's suggestion makes perfect sense... but then I tried it and I'm befuddled. The minimum contour consists of just a few dots!

There's something funny about how it's drawing the contour that gets very confused by the abrupt change right at the minimum.

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:21:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jeremy Bailin writes:

> Paolo's suggestion makes perfect sense... but then I tried it and I'm
> befuddled. The minimum contour consists of just a few dots!

Exactly, because of round-off errors, etc., there is nothing there, really, to "contour". I think the person who asked the question wants an imprint of the minimum value of the surface (within some epsilon, obviously). And I continue to insist this is **not** the same as a "contour".

> There's something funny about how it's drawing the contour that gets
> very confused by the abrupt change right at the minimum.

I don't think it is confused. I think it is doing exactly what the programmer designed it to do. I think it is our thinking about the problem that is confused. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels

Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:36:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

two points:

i) the 12 dots is perfectly fine. It is z that is svcrewed ;-)

I think that if you take a proper example,
you'll see a proper result.

```
a=dist(512,512)
a[300:400,300:400]=0
contour,a
contour,a,levels=0.001
```

ii) David is right, but I still think there's an
easy way to show the shape of the minimal surface:

```
ind=where(a EQ min(a))
b=a*0
b[ind]=1
contour,b,levels=0.5
```

or something like that

Ciao,
Paolo

David Fanning wrote:

> Jeremy Bailin writes:

>

>> Paolo's suggestion makes perfect sense... but then I tried it and I'm

>> befuddled. The minimum contour consists of just a few dots!

>

> Exactly, because of round-off errors, etc., there is nothing

> there, really, to "contour". I think the person who asked

> the question wants an imprint of the minimum value of the

> surface (within some epsilon, obviously). And I continue

> to insist this is **not** the same as a "contour".

>

>> There's something funny about how it's drawing the contour that gets

>> very confused by the abrupt change right at the minimum.

>

> I don't think it is confused. I think it is doing exactly

> what the programmer designed it to do. I think it is our

> thinking about the problem that is confused. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:48:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paolo writes:

> ii) David is right, but I still think there's an

> easy way to show the shape of the minimal surface:

Paolo, since you have already conceded, I am not going to pour it on, but I never argued that it wasn't easy to show the shape of the minimal surface. Only that such a shape is not a contour. :-)

But, it seems we are all starting to get our heads around this strange example that was so at odds with our intuition.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels

Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Fri, 30 Jan 2009 19:00:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Paolo writes:

>

>> ii) David is right, but I still think there's an

>> easy way to show the shape of the minimal surface:

>

> Paolo, since you have already conceded, I am not going

> to pour it on, but I never argued that it wasn't easy

> to show the shape of the minimal surface. Only that

> such a shape is not a contour. :-)

Should we call it a boundary then? ;-)

Ciao,

Paolo

>

> But, it seems we are all starting to get our heads

> around this strange example that was so at odds with

> our intuition.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Iso-contours at maximum/minimum levels
Posted by [Gianluca Li Causi](#) on Mon, 02 Feb 2009 12:14:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,
I thanks you for the interesting discussion and I agree with David that the word "contour" means a line that "encloses something", but still you've not given indications for a working "imprint" function, which is what I need.

The best that I've found, when the function derivatives are continuous, is to make the contour at level=0 of the partial derivatives dz/dx and dz/dy , which effectively produce a nice "imprint" line BUT also contains some extra lines, corresponding to where one derivative is null but the other is not.

So one could take both the zero contours of the two derivatives and say that the "imprint" line is the common curve among these two contours (don't really know how to do this in practice).

In any case this does not work with not continuous derivatives, like my first example.

How could I search if such an "imprint" function is available anywhere in the IDL library of somebody? Is there an IDL libraries database somewhere in the internet?

Cheers
Gianluca
