

---

Subject: Software philosophy

Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Fri, 15 May 2009 19:41:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Got a marketing blurb from Maplesoft for Maple13/MapleSim2 that included the following:

- > using the Maple symbolic math engine, derives the model's equations
- > automatically.
- >
- > Even a cursory reading of the examples in the Getting Started Guide
- > (in Maple) will demonstrate the lengths that the developers have gone
- > through to assist the novice with every imaginable task.

Somehow I don't think this is really where we all want to go. It sounds to me like modeling without understanding.

Cheers, Ken

---

---

Subject: Re: Software philosophy

Posted by [Maarten\[1\]](#) on Mon, 18 May 2009 09:52:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On May 15, 9:41 pm, "Kenneth P. Bowman" <k-bow...@null.edu> wrote:

- > Got a marketing blurb from Maplesoft for Maple13/MapleSim2 that
- > included the following:
- >
- >> Now, here's the really cool thing ‹ MapleSim takes your data and,
- >> using the Maple symbolic math engine, derives the model's equations
- >> automatically.
- >
- > Somehow I don't think this is really where we all want to
- > go. It sounds to me like modeling without understanding.

From a blog post David recently linked to, I quote the following:

John von Neumann: "With four parameters I can fit an elephant, and with five I can make him wiggle his trunk. "

I think this applies here. Fitting everything including the kitchen sink is not where I want to go (unless I'm building a house).

That said, do they give examples of a result of this software using (noisy) input data? I do have some data where the model is straightforward (exponential decay), but depends on the interpretation of the noise signal - Poison noise (photon shot noise) + Gaussian contribution (electronics). I'd be interesting if they could find

that. I bet they find the exponential, but miss the noise contribution in the quantitative analysis.

Of course, the Open Mind blog post ( <http://tamino.wordpress.com/2009/05/11/dangerous-curves/> ) contains data that should not be fitted. I wonder what they do there. It will be a while before I'll do my climate analysis with this.

Maarten

---