In article <3p9p58$q2l@fu-berlin.de> rotti@zedat.fu-berlin.de (Frank
Rottinger) writes:
> as a UNIX newcomer I am working on a HP715.
> For the purpose of processing Radar-Images I need to install some programs
> who need themselves a package called IDL (Interactive Data Language).
> Does anybody have some experience with IDL and can answer me the following
> questions:
> 1. What's the 'normal' purpose of IDL?
> 2. What about the price and vendors?
> 3. Are HP-binaries existent or must it be ported to HP-UX?

IDL and its very similar sister PV-WAVE are discussed in
newsgroup comp.lang.idl-pvwave. You might look at the FAQ:
  ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/comp.lang.idl-pvwave

IDL and PV-WAVE are fairly easy to use to manipulate arrays
and images. They use a somewhat clumsy BASIC-like notation
(not as elegant as APL), but have lots and lots of array,
scientific and image and signal processing routines.

The free-trial versions can do just about everything that
the licensed versions can do except:
(1) Write files (they CAN write postscript output).
(2) Spawn operating system commands.
(3) Call external subroutines (written in Fortran or C).
(4) Run for more than about 7 minutes.

There are at least six minor deficiencies of IDL and PV-WAVE:
(1) If you can't recast a problem into array notation, loops are quite
    slow. These are interpreters. They will never replace Fortran
    or C.
(2) They are not free. I think $1500 and up on a Unix box.
    PV-WAVE is $850 (I think) on PCs.
(3) Portability is a problem. In particular, there are lots of little
    differences in the way you display things from one output device
    to another, and in the way you read cursor buttons and positions.
    As an example, I went crazy trying to write my junky oversized image
    display program:
      ftp://imsy1.nrl.navy.mil/home/util/showim.pro
    and it probably only works on those displays and printers on which
    I tested it. On the other hand, I personally do use them as a
    semi-portable graphics interface between various platforms and
    displays. You just have to work a bit, and test. (That display
    program includes instructions on downloading IDL. There is also a

free trial version of PV-WAVE, but it only lasts 30 days.)
Call External is also platform dependent.
(4) The licensed versions use a software license manager that will drive
you (or your systems administrator) nuts. If you are a Unix
newcomer, you will definitely need help to install the licensed
version. It's even harder if you want to install both IDL and
PV-WAVE.
(5) On SGI machines, with both packages, image display is handled VERY
slowly, and tends to produce transient video artifacts.
(6) IDL and PV-WAVE started out the same, but have diverged. Some
functions are in one, but not the other; some functions have
different names and/or arguements. If you want portability between
the two, you probably have to get both, and test.

In spite of the above, I find them very nice languages to work in.
It is possible to write short programs to manipulate arrays and images;
they took the kitchen sink approach and included almost everything
(including extensive "user libraries"); they both have technical support
and share an active newsgroup. And they have grown a significant
following in the image processing and remote sensing communities (but so
have MATLAB, Khorus, and AVS).

If you want a flame war, post yet-another-query asking which is better.
(The real answer to all such questions: it all depends.)
 -- -------------
  (Opinions are mine alone.)
  Mitchell R Grunes (grunes@nrlvax.nrl.navy.mil)
  Allied-Signal Technical Services

Subject: Re: Q: Who knows IDL?
Posted by sterner on Wed, 17 May 1995 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

grunes@news.nrl.navy.mil (Mitchell R Grunes) writes:

 . . .

> IDL and its very similar sister PV-WAVE are discussed in
> newsgroup comp.lang.idl-pvwave. You might look at the FAQ:
>   ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/comp.lang.idl-pvwave

  The latest IDL FAQ as a web page is at:

    ftp://fermi.jhuapl.edu/www/s1r/idl/idl_faq/idl_faq.html

  Text and compressed versions (HTML and text) are in the same directory.

> There are at least six minor deficiencies of IDL and PV-WAVE:
> (1) If you can't recast a problem into array notation, loops are quite
>   slow. These are interpreters. They will never replace Fortran
>   or C.

Loops are no problem if you can do enough processing each time
through a loop. Before starting a major project some time ago
we were trying to decide what language to use, C or IDL. Some C
software existed to do a needed job and I was challenged to do the
same job in IDL. I wanted to use IDL and was told that if the
processing time was within a factor of 2 or so then IDL could be
used. The C code was by a good C programmer. Unexpectedly my
IDL software was about twice as fast as the C. Some excuse was
offered (can't remember exactly what it was) but I pointed out that
the excuse would not have been mentioned if the C code had been
faster. Development time is much faster with IDL. I have done
both FORTRAN and C in the past but have no real need for them
any longer (I know some applications do require them).

Ray Sterner   sterner@tesla.jhuapl.edu
The Johns Hopkins University   North latitude 39.16 degrees.
Applied Physics Laboratory   West longitude 76.90 degrees.
Laurel, MD 20723-6099
WWW Home page: ftp://fermi.jhuapl.edu/www/s1r/people/res/res.html