
Subject: Re: POLAR_CONTOUR plot giving strange values for contour lines
Posted by [robintw](#) on Thu, 18 Jun 2009 08:37:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've got an update to this problem:

I've now managed to plot the data as a 3D surface using the following command:

```
SURFACE, POLAR_SURFACE(dns, zeniths, azimuths)
```

This works fine, and gives me the sort of data I'm expecting. However, the POLAR_CONTOUR command still gives me nonsense values! I've noticed a bit in the IDL help for the POLAR_SURFACE function which says "Note that the ordering of the elements in the array Z is opposite that used by the POLAR_CONTOUR routine.", but what does this mean? Does it mean I need to reverse the array of my z values to use it with contour? That sounds a little strange...why would that be?

Any help would be much appreciated,

Robin

Subject: Re: POLAR_CONTOUR plot giving strange values for contour lines
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 18 Jun 2009 12:21:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Robin writes:

> I've now managed to plot the data as a 3D surface using the following
> command:
>
> SURFACE, POLAR_SURFACE(dns, zeniths, azimuths)
>
> This works fine, and gives me the sort of data I'm expecting. However,
> the POLAR_CONTOUR command still gives me nonsense values! I've noticed
> a bit in the IDL help for the POLAR_SURFACE function which says "Note
> that the ordering of the elements in the array Z is opposite that used
> by the POLAR_CONTOUR routine.", but what does this mean? Does it mean
> I need to reverse the array of my z values to use it with contour?
> That sounds a little strange...why would that be?
>
> Any help would be much appreciated,

I think everyone might be a little reluctant to stick their toe into this water because there is a very good chance it could become a quadmire. :-(

I think your basic problem is that the POLAR_CONTOUR command really requires a gridded data set. The way you are passing data to it, IDL is going to attempt the gridding for you. Letting IDL make choices like this has a long history of things not working out all that well.

I have two suggestions that I would try. First, I would see if I could figure out a way to grid my data first that was more satisfying and looked better when it was contoured. Here is one way to give that a try:

http://www.dfanning.com/tips/grid_surface.html

You might also try GRIDDATA.

My second suggestion is to just give up on POLAR_CONTOUR. I have made this kind of polar plot before with the Orthographic map projection and a bit of data manipulation. I don't remember anything at all about how I did it now, except that I was a lot happier with the result than I was with what I got from POLAR_CONTOUR. I'll see if I can find something.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
