

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 16:14:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

M. Suklitsch writes:

```
> So far, so good. Now we do exactly the same, but this time the
> variable is embedded in a structure:
> IDL> my_struct = {my_value:8}
> IDL> update_value, my_value
> IDL> help, my_struct, /STRUC
> ** Structure <8220044>, 1 tags, length=2, data length=2, refs=1:
> MY_VALUE      INT      8
>
> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?
```

I think you must have meant, why doesn't *\*this\** work:

```
IDL> udate_value, my_struck.my_value
```

And the reason is that structure dereferences, like expressions, array subscripts, etc., etc. (in fact, anything *\*except\** a variable) are passed by *\*value\** and not by *\*reference\**. Things that are passed by value make a copy of themselves and pass that, rather than passing the thing itself.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming ([www.dfanning.com](http://www.dfanning.com))

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 16:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

M. Suklitsch wrote:

```
> Hi everybody!
>
>
> Today I have a question regarding the update of variables within a
> structure, which does not work as I would expect.
```

```

>
> Say we have a very simple program:
>
> =====
> PRO update_value, input
>
> input = input MOD 5
>
> END
> =====
>
> [In reality, this subroutine/program does some more sophisticated
> things, but this is sufficient to prove my point. ;-) ]
>
> Okay, now we call this routine with a variable holding an integer
> value.
> IDL> my_value = 8
> IDL> update_value, my_value
> IDL> help, my_value
> MY_VALUE      INT      =      3
>
> So far, so good. Now we do exactly the same, but this time the
> variable is embedded in a structure:
> IDL> my_struct = {my_value:8}
> IDL> update_value, my_value
> IDL> help, my_struct, /STRUC
> ** Structure <8220044>, 1 tags, length=2, data length=2, refs=1:
>   MY_VALUE     INT      8
>
> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?
> Normally IDL is eager to overwrite variables of any kind. On some
> occasions, I've seen it overwriting the "parental" variable of a
> duplicated one. And more important: is there a way to get the above
> thing working?

```

Well, I assume you mean to refer to the field in the structure you just created, as in:

```

IDL> update_value, my_struct.my_value
IDL> help, my_struct.my_value
<Expression> INT      =      8

```

The reason `my_struct.my_value` was not modified is that only "named variables" are passed by reference, so changes to them by the called routine will still be in effect at the caller level. The expression `"my_struct.my_value"` is not a named variable (named variables are just the name of a variable like `"my_value"` was in your previous examples), so modification to it inside `update_value` are only to a local variable.

> Maybe important, maybe not: I'm working with IDL 7.0 and have tried it  
> on Solaris and Linux.

Should not matter for this.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com  
Associate Research Scientist  
Tech-X Corporation

---

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure

Posted by [M. Suklitsch](#) on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 16:38:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 16 Jun., 18:19, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:

> M. Suklitsch wrote:

>> Hi everybody!

>

>> Today I have a question regarding the update of variables within a  
>> structure, which does not work as I would expect.

>

>> Say we have a very simple program:

>

>> =====

>> PRO update\_value, input

>

>> input = input MOD 5

>

>> END

>> =====

>

>> [In reality, this subroutine/program does some more sophisticated  
>> things, but this is sufficient to prove my point. ;-) ]

>

>> Okay, now we call this routine with a variable holding an integer  
>> value.

>> IDL> my\_value = 8

>> IDL> update\_value, my\_value

>> IDL> help, my\_value

>> MY\_VALUE        INT       =     3

>

>> So far, so good. Now we do exactly the same, but this time the  
>> variable is embedded in a structure:

>> IDL> my\_struct = {my\_value:8}

>> IDL> update\_value, my\_value

```
>> IDL> help, my_struct, /STRUC
>> ** Structure <8220044>, 1 tags, length=2, data length=2, refs=1:
>>   MY_VALUE      INT      8
>
>> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?
>> Normally IDL is eager to overwrite variables of any kind. On some
>> occasions, I've seen it overwriting the "parental" variable of a
>> duplicated one. And more important: is there a way to get the above
>> thing working?
>
> Well, I assume you mean to refer to the field in the structure you just
> created, as in:
>
> IDL> update_value, my_struct.my_value
> IDL> help, my_struct.my_value
> <Expression> INT      =      8
>
> The reason my_struct.my_value was not modified is that only "named
> variables" are passed by reference, so changes to them by the called
> routine will still be in effect at the caller level. The expression
> "my_struct.my_value" is not a named variable (named variables are just
> the name of a variable like "my_value" was in your previous examples),
> so modification to it inside update_value are only to a local variable.
>
>> Maybe important, maybe not: I'm working with IDL 7.0 and have tried it
>> on Solaris and Linux.
>
> Should not matter for this.
>
> Mike
> --www.michaelgalloy.com
> Associate Research Scientist
> Tech-X Corporation
```

Thanks for your quick replys!  
In that case I've got a problem... or rather I've to find a neat  
workaround for my own work. :)

Bye,  
Martin

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure  
Posted by [Jean H.](#) on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 17:13:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Thanks for your quick replys!

> In that case I've got a problem... or rather I've to find a neat  
> workaround for my own work. :)  
>  
> Bye,  
> Martin

make is a function

```
function xyz, arg
```

```
  return, arg mod 5  
end
```

```
myStruct.field = xyz(mystruct.field)
```

or copy the argument

```
tmp = mystruct.field  
xyz,tmp  
mystruct.field = tmp
```

Jean

---

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure

Posted by [R.G. Stockwell](#) on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 23:55:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"M. Suklitsch" <[martin@suklitsch.at](mailto:martin@suklitsch.at)> wrote in message  
news:308ff1f9-67da-493e-bde1-46d29e3f63cf@a7g2000yqk.googleg rroups.com...

> Hi everybody!

>

>

> Today I have a question regarding the update of variables within a  
> structure, which does not work as I would expect.

>

> Say we have a very simple program:

>

> =====

> PRO update\_value, input

>

> input = input MOD 5

>

> END

> =====

...

> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?

Passs the structure, then inside modify the field you need modified:

```
PRO update_value, input
```

```
input.test = 13
```

```
END
```

```
data = {test:2, str:'hello'}
```

```
update_value, data
```

```
print,data
```

```
end
```

Note: you can get very fancy if you want a general routine,  
perhaps pass in the field name and use that string in an execute call,  
or pass the field number you want to modify, and access the  
structure like input.(0) = 2

cheers,

bob

---

Subject: Re: update variable in structure

Posted by [R.Bauer](#) on Wed, 17 Jun 2009 17:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

R.G. Stockwell schrieb:

> "M. Suklitsch" <[martin@suklitsch.at](mailto:martin@suklitsch.at)> wrote in message

> news:308ff1f9-67da-493e-bde1-46d29e3f63cf@a7g2000yqk.googleg rroups.com...

>> Hi everybody!

>>

>>

>> Today I have a question regarding the update of variables within a  
>> structure, which does not work as I would expect.

>>

>> Say we have a very simple program:

>>

>> =====

>> PRO update\_value, input

```

>>
>> input = input MOD 5
>>
>> END
>> =====
> ...
>> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?
>
>
> Passs the structure, then inside modify the field you need modified:
>
> PRO update_value, input
>
> input.test = 13
>
> END
>
> data = {test:2, str:'hello'}
>
> update_value, data
>
> print,data
>
> end
>
>
> Note: you can get very fancy if you want a general routine,
> perhaps pass in the field name and use that string in an execute call,
> or pass the field number you want to modify, and access the
> structure like input.(0) = 2
>
> cheers,
> bob
>

```

Hi

the easiest thing is to convert the structure params to pointers  
and afterwards back to a structure without pointers if you don't like  
pointers.

[http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source/idl\\_html/dbase/struct2ptr\\_struct\\_dbase.pro.html](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/dbase/struct2ptr_struct_dbase.pro.html)

[http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source/idl\\_html/dbase/ptr\\_struct2struct\\_dbase.pro.html](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/dbase/ptr_struct2struct_dbase.pro.html)

```
struct={A:1,b:findgen(10)}
```

```
help,struct,/str
** Structure <1d5bbd8>, 2 tags, length=44, data length=42, refs=1:
  A      INT      1
  B      FLOAT    Array[10]
```

```
result=struct2ptr_struct(struct)
help,result,/str
** Structure <10551e8>, 2 tags, length=8, refs=1:
  A      POINTER  <PtrHeapVar1>
  B      POINTER  <PtrHeapVar2>
```

```
*result.b = "don't get fancy"
```

```
struct = ptr_struct2struct(result,/free)
help, struct,/str
** Structure <1d5bd18>, 2 tags, length=24, data length=18, refs=1:
  A      INT      1
  B      STRING   'don't get fancy'
```

```
cheers
Reimar
```

```
>
>
>
>
>
```

---