Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N\_ELEMENTS()

Posted by wlandsman on Thu, 16 Jul 2009 19:59:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jul 16, 2:39 pm, wlandsman <wlands...@gmail.com> wrote: Is there any situation now where

- > one must use SIZE() to get the number of elements rather than
- > N\_ELEMENTS().

Immediately after posting I realized that the answer to the above question is "yes". In the following code using ASSOC(), N\_Elements(a) equals 1, but size(a,/N\_elements) equals 2. --Wayne

b = {a:indgen(10),b:fltarr(100)} openw,lun,'test.dat',/get\_lun writeu,lun,replicate(b,12) close,lun

openr,lun,'test.dat' c = replicate(b,2) a = assoc(lun,c) print,size(a,/n\_elements) print,N\_elements(a)

Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N\_ELEMENTS()
Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 16 Jul 2009 20:04:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## wlandsman writes:

- > Immediately after posting I realized that the answer to the above
- > question is "yes". In the following code using ASSOC(), N Elements(a)
- > equals 1, but size(a,/N elements) equals 2. --Wayne

> >

- > b =3D {a:indgen(10),b:fltarr(100)}
- > openw,lun,'test.dat',/get\_lun
- > writeu,lun,replicate(b,12)
- > close,lun

>

- > openr,lun,'test.dat'
- > c =3D replicate(b,2)
- > a =3D assoc(lun,c)
- > print, size(a,/n\_elements)
- > print, N\_elements(a)

Yes, but which is \*correct\*? :-)

Cheers,

David
-David Fanning, Ph.D.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N\_ELEMENTS()
Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 16 Jul 2009 20:11:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## wlandsman writes:

- Immediately after posting I realized that the answer to the above
   question is "yes". In the following code using ASSOC(), N\_Elements(a)
   equals 1, but size(a,/N\_elements) equals 2. --Wayne
   >
- > b =3D {a:indgen(10),b:fltarr(100)}
- > openw,lun,'test.dat',/get\_lun
- > writeu,lun,replicate(b,12)
- > close,lun

>

- > openr,lun,'test.dat'
- > c =3D replicate(b,2)
- > a =3D assoc(lun,c)
- > print, size(a,/n\_elements)
- > print, N\_elements(a)

By the way, since the Prize Chairman is leaving in about half an hour for a little mid-summer beach action in the Caribbean with his new Latin lover (a fox to hear him tell it), he has decided to award this month's most obscure post prize to Wayne. Tough competition this month though, in the form of Scope\_VarFetch and Execute as suggestions to the poor guy who wanted to know what an array was.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)

Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N\_ELEMENTS()
Posted by wlandsman on Thu, 16 Jul 2009 20:25:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Yes, but which is \*correct\*? :-)

As usual, what is correct depends on the question you are asking. There is one ASSOC variable, but it is mapped to a structure with 2 elements.

I presume the Prize Chairman will spend his time under the Carribbean Sun pondering this conundrum. Have fun!

- --Wayne
- > Tough competition this month though, in the form of Scope\_VarFetch
- > and Execute as suggestions to the poor guy who wanted
- > to know what an array was.

To be fair, it wasn't clear to me from the original question whether it was from a beginner or not. I know in the past that I have made the opposite mistake of answering a question from an expert with an explanation for a beginner...

Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N\_ELEMENTS()
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Sat, 18 Jul 2009 09:40:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jul 16, 3:59 pm, wlandsman <wlands...@gmail.com> wrote: > On Jul 16, 2:39 pm, wlandsman <wlands...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Is there any situation now where
- >
- >> one must use SIZE() to get the number of elements rather than
- >> N\_ELEMENTS().
- >
- > Immediately after posting I realized that the answer to the above
- > question is "yes". In the following code using ASSOC(), N\_Elements(a)
- > equals 1, but size(a,/N\_elements) equals 2. --Wayne

>

\*poof\* = sound of my mind being blown.