
Subject: Re: Technique for "method_missing" in IDL objects
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 17 Jul 2009 16:22:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst writes:

> I'm stringing together a bunch of different objects into a container. When I define
> objects I always define an "Inspect" method so I can have a looker at the internals of the
> objects (like the ruby inspect method). However, the inspect method for the container
> simply loops over the objects that have been placed in it calling their inspect methods.
> So, if I reach an object that does not have an inspect method, is there a technique to
> pre-determine if I can even call the method to avoid the
>
> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'OBJ::INSPECT'
>
> error that I get?
>
> Ruby provides a "method_missing" method to enable one to handle this sort of thing. Does
> IDL have any sort of equivalent? Looking at the various ROUTINE_INFO,
RESOLVE_ROUTINE
> help, they don't appear to be that reliable.

OBJ_HASMETHOD might work:

http://www.dfanning.com/code_tips/hasmethod.html

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Technique for "method_missing" in IDL objects
Posted by [mankoff](#) on Fri, 17 Jul 2009 16:55:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jul 17, 12:20 pm, Paul van Delst <paul.vande...@noaa.gov> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I'm stringing together a bunch of different objects into a container. When I define
> objects I always define an "Inspect" method so I can have a looker at the internals of the
> objects (like the ruby inspect method). However, the inspect method for the container
> simply loops over the objects that have been placed in it calling their inspect methods.

> So, if I reach an object that does not have an inspect method, is there a technique to
> pre-determine if I can even call the method to avoid the
>
> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'OBJ::INSPECT'
>
> error that I get?
>
> Ruby provides a "method_missing" method to enable one to handle this sort of thing. Does
> IDL have any sort of equivalent? Looking at the various ROUTINE_INFO,
RESOLVE_ROUTINE
> help, they don't appear to be that reliable.
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv

If a top level object has an INSPECT method, then it will always be found in a parent. I'm not sure if IDL objects have this ability, but can the top level INSPECT check to see if it was called by itself or a child? If so, and you never call it explicitly, then if a child is running the top level INSPECT it implies the child does not have its own INSPECT.

-k.

Subject: Re: Technique for "method_missing" in IDL objects
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 17 Jul 2009 18:07:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Paul van Delst writes:
>
>> I'm stringing together a bunch of different objects into a container. When I define
>> objects I always define an "Inspect" method so I can have a looker at the internals of the
>> objects (like the ruby inspect method). However, the inspect method for the container
>> simply loops over the objects that have been placed in it calling their inspect methods.
>> So, if I reach an object that does not have an inspect method, is there a technique to
>> pre-determine if I can even call the method to avoid the
>>
>> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'OBJ::INSPECT'
>>
>> error that I get?
>>
>> Ruby provides a "method_missing" method to enable one to handle this sort of thing. Does
>> IDL have any sort of equivalent? Looking at the various ROUTINE_INFO,
RESOLVE_ROUTINE
>> help, they don't appear to be that reliable.

>
> OBJ_HASMETHOD might work:
>
> http://www.dfanning.com/code_tips/hasmethod.html

Ah! Perfect. That's exactly what I was looking for!

cheers,

paulv

Subject: Re: Technique for "method_missing" in IDL objects
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 17 Jul 2009 18:15:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mankoff wrote:

> On Jul 17, 12:20 pm, Paul van Delst <paul.vande...@noaa.gov> wrote:
>> Hello,
>>
>> I'm stringing together a bunch of different objects into a container. When I define
>> objects I always define an "Inspect" method so I can have a looker at the internals of the
>> objects (like the ruby inspect method). However, the inspect method for the container
>> simply loops over the objects that have been placed in it calling their inspect methods.
>> So, if I reach an object that does not have an inspect method, is there a technique to
>> pre-determine if I can even call the method to avoid the
>>
>> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'OBJ::INSPECT'
>>
>> error that I get?
>>
>> Ruby provides a "method_missing" method to enable one to handle this sort of thing. Does
>> IDL have any sort of equivalent? Looking at the various ROUTINE_INFO,
RESOLVE_ROUTINE
>> help, they don't appear to be that reliable.
>>
>> cheers,
>>
>> paulv
>
> If a top level object has an INSPECT method, then it will always be
> found in a parent. I'm not sure if IDL objects have this ability, but
> can the top level INSPECT check to see if it was called by itself or a
> child? If so, and you never call it explicitly, then if a child is
> running the top level INSPECT it implies the child does not have its
> own INSPECT.

Umm... not sure I follow. FWIW, here's my container Inspect method:

```

PRO LBL_Input::Inspect, $
  Verbose=Verbose, $ ; Input keyword
  Debug=Debug ; Input keyword

  IF ( KEYWORD_SET(Debug) ) THEN HELP, /ROUTINES
  HELP, self, /OBJECTS

  IF ( KEYWORD_SET(Verbose) ) THEN BEGIN
    obj = self->Get(/ALL, COUNT=n_Objs)
    IF ( n_Objs EQ 0 ) THEN RETURN
    ; Loop over contained objects
    FOR n = 0L, n_Objs-1L DO BEGIN
      obj[n]->Inspect, Verbose=Verbose, Debug=Debug
    ENDFOR
  ENDIF

END ; PRO LBL_Input::Inspect

```

And it's the call to the individual object inspect methods in the loop,

```
obj[n]->Inspect, Verbose=Verbose, Debug=Debug
```

that can cause the constipation. I changed the above line to

```

IF ( OBJ_HASMETHOD(obj[n], 'INSPECT') ) THEN $
  obj[n]->Inspect, Verbose=Verbose, Debug=Debug

```

and now all is wonderful! Woohoo!

:o)

cheers,

paulv
