Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Vince Hradil on Sat, 11 Jul 2009 16:43:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi,

> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an

> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal

> method (with unit spacing)?

> Regards,

> Vijay

INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)

It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like

y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)

You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...
```

Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Vince Hradil on Sat, 11 Jul 2009 17:07:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Hi.
>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an
>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal
>> method (with unit spacing)?
>> Regards.
>> Vijay
>
 INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)
> It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like
>
> y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
> x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)
> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...
```

Let's see, I think that should be: x2 = x-shift(x,1) or use delta x if it doesn't change

Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Vijay Shah on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 15:58:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Vince.

Thanks for the info.

I checked the int_tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for an accurate integral approximation."

I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper about comparison, please feel to send it.

```
Regards,
Vijay
On Jul 11, 1:07 pm, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil < vincehra...@gmail.com > wrote:
>
>
>> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> Hi.
>>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an
>>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal
>>> method (with unit spacing)?
>>> Regards,
>>> Vijav
>> INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)
>> It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like
>> y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
>> x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
>> integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)
>> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...
```

- > Let's see, I think that should be:
- > x2 = x-shift(x,1)
- > or use delta x if it doesn't change

Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Chris[6] on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 19:40:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 12, 5:58 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Hi Vince,
> Thanks for the info.
> I checked the int tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data
> that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for
> an accurate integral approximation."
> I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search
> google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper
> about comparison, please feel to send it.
>
> Regards,
> Vijay
> On Jul 11, 1:07 pm, Vince Hradil < vincehra...@gmail.com > wrote:
>
>> On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Hi,
>>>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an
>>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal
>>> method (with unit spacing)?
>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Vijay
>>> INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)
>>> It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like
>>> y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
>>> x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
>>> integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)
>>> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...
>> Let's see, I think that should be:
\Rightarrow x2 = x-shift(x,1)
```

>> or use delta x if it doesn't change >

>

Check out

http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/qtrap.pro

Chris

Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 20:00:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jul 12, 11:58 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Hi Vince.
- > Thanks for the info.
- > I checked the int_tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data
- > that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for
- > an accurate integral approximation."
- > I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search
- > google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper
- > about comparison, please feel to send it.

>

- > Regards,
- > Vijay

That statement is not particular to INT_TABULATED, but is quite general: if your sampling is insufficient, the numerical integral will be inaccurate no matter what method you use.

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Vijay Shah on Tue, 14 Jul 2009 05:23:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for the the info. I don't have function but only have series of dataset to integrate.

I did find http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/tsum.pro that allows takes the discrete sequence.

On Jul 12, 4:00 pm, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jul 12, 11:58 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

```
>> Hi Vince,
>> Thanks fo
>> I checked
```

- >> Thanks for the info.
- >> I checked the int_tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data
- >> that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for
- >> an accurate integral approximation."
- >> I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search
- >> google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper
- >> about comparison, please feel to send it.
- >
- >> Regards,
- >> Vijay

>

>

- > That statement is not particular to INT_TABULATED, but is quite
- > general: if your sampling is insufficient, the numerical integral will
- > be inaccurate no matter what method you use.
- > -Jeremy.