
Subject: Re: Would it be so bad for RSI and VNI to respo
Posted by [patterso](#) on Wed, 17 May 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

K Knipp (knipp@ipi.uni-hannover.de) wrote:

: I'm happy with VNI's and RSI's policy as it is now; I fear that
: as soon as they start responding directly to the group every
: 2nd posting will be by either RSI or VNI (and I really don't
: need that).

If the posts contain useful information then I don't see the problem.
If they are just adverts, then I agree :)

Tim

: -----

: Karlheinz Knipp
: knipp@ipi.uni-hannover.de

: -----

Subject: Re: Would it be so bad for RSI and VNI to respo
Posted by [knipp](#) on Wed, 17 May 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm happy with VNI's and RSI's policy as it is now; I fear that
as soon as they start responding directly to the group every
2nd posting will be by either RSI or VNI (and I really don't
need that).

Karlheinz Knipp
knipp@ipi.uni-hannover.de

Subject: Re: Would it be so bad for RSI and VNI to respo
Posted by [finch](#) on Thu, 18 May 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>> > On Wed, 17 May 1995 11:59:49 GMT, knipp@ipi.uni-hannover.de (K Knipp) said:

KK> I'm happy with VNI's and RSI's policy as it is now; I fear that
KK> as soon as they start responding directly to the group every
KK> 2nd posting will be by either RSI or VNI (and I really don't
KK> need that).

You don't need reliable answers to questions?

--

Ralph Finch 916-653-8268:voice 916-653-6077:fax
rfinch@dop.water.ca.gov / finger for PGP public key

"Nada burra la chamaca." A.G.

Any opinions expressed are my own; they do not represent my employer
