
Subject: Re: weird contour lines
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Sat, 31 Oct 2009 16:09:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Libo Wang writes:

```
> Hi, I used the following code to set up a stereographic projection map
> and overplot NCEP pressure field on it:
>
> MAP_SET,/stereographic, 60, -105,color=grey,limit=[30,-180,80.0,-10],/
> continents,/isotropic
> levels = 16
> step=4
> userLevels = IndGen(levels) * step + 120
> Contour, data,lon,lat,C_Colors=black,Levels=userLevels, /overplot
> Contour, data,lon,lat,/Overplot,
> Levels=userLevels,c_colors=black,c_charthick=2,$
> max_value=200,min_value=120,/Follow
>
> However, I got weird contour lines, which you could take a look at the
> following link:
> http://picasaweb.google.com/libowa/DropBox?feat=directlink
>
> Could you point out what was wrong in my codes? How could I get smooth
> contour lines?
```

Is this an aesthetic problem or a science problem?

If it is an aesthetic problem, that NCEP data is fairly low-resolution. You could try CONGRIDing it to a larger size, using interpolation, before contouring it. Or, you could just try smoothing your data before you contour it.

Cheers,

David

--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: weird contour lines

Posted by [Libo Wang](#) on Sat, 31 Oct 2009 17:00:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 31, 12:09 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Libo Wang writes:

>> Hi, I used the following code to set up a stereographic projection map

>> and overplot NCEP pressure field on it:

>

>> MAP_SET,/stereographic, 60, -105,color=grey,limit=[30,-180,80.0,-10],/

>> continents,/isotropic

>> levels = 16

>> step=4

>> userLevels = IndGen(levels) * step + 120

>> Contour, data,lon,lat,C_Colors=black,Levels=userLevels, /overplot

>> Contour, data,lon,lat,/Overplot,

>> Levels=userLevels,c_colors=black,c_charthick=2,\$

>> max_value=200,min_value=120,/Follow

>

>> However, I got weird contour lines, which you could take a look at the

>> following link:

>> <http://picasaweb.google.com/libowa/DropBox?feat=directlink>

>

>> Could you point out what was wrong in my codes? How could I get smooth

>> contour lines?

>

> Is this an aesthetic problem or a science problem?

>

> If it is an aesthetic problem, that NCEP data is fairly low-resolution.

> You could try CONGRIDing it to a larger size, using interpolation,

> before contouring it. Or, you could just try smoothing your data

> before you contour it.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")- Hide quoted text -

>

> - Show quoted text -

Thanks, David. I've read helpful tips in your website. It is actually a bit of both aesthetic problem and a science problem! A meteorologist would probably tell me that my map is not what a standard pressure field plot should look like!

I tried to expand my data from 144*37 to 1440*370 using cubic=-0.5 in Congrid,the contour map did improved in some parts, but some parts got worse:

<http://picasaweb.google.com/lh/photo/pbT1Ftfbx0nqS9O3uw0tGg? feat=directlink>

The NCEP data is low in resolution: 2.5 degree spacing. What else could I try?

Subject: Re: weird contour lines
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Sat, 31 Oct 2009 17:16:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Libo Wang writes:

- > Thanks, David. I've read helpful tips in your website. It is actually
- > a bit of both aesthetic problem and a science problem! A meteorologist
- > would probably tell me that my map is not what a standard pressure
- > field plot should look like!
- >
- > I tried to expand my data from 144*37 to 1440*370 using cubic=-0.5 in
- > Congrid,the contour map did improved in some parts, but some parts got
- > worse:
- >
- > <http://picasaweb.google.com/lh/photo/pbT1Ftfbx0nqS9O3uw0tGg? feat=directlink>
- >
- > The NCEP data is low in resolution: 2.5 degree spacing. What else
- > could I try?

Well, this is a pain-in-the-kiester solution, but what you *could* do is obtain each closed contour from the CONTOUR command (PATH_XY keyword, etc.) and "resample" it with the ArcSample program from my web page:

<http://www.dfanning.com/programs/arcsample.pro>

The purpose of ArcSample is to re-sample the contour at approximately equally spaced intervals, and then to use those points to interpolate the contour. This has the effect of smoothing the contour. I use this, for example, when I am getting the starting points for an active contour or snake algorithm.

I wouldn't go to this kind of trouble unless your meteorologist friend is EXTREMELY anal.

Cheers,

David

P.S. I assume you tried using the MIN_CURVE_SURF program on your data and gave up because you don't have that many hours in the day.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: weird contour lines

Posted by [Libo Wang](#) on Sun, 01 Nov 2009 03:08:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 31, 1:16 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Libo Wang writes:

>> Thanks, David. I've read helpful tips in your website. It is actually
>> a bit of both aesthetic problem and a science problem! A meteorologist
>> would probably tell me that my map is not what a standard pressure
>> field plot should look like!

>

>> I tried to expand my data from 144*37 to 1440*370 using cubic=-0.5 in
>> Congrid, the contour map did improved in some parts, but some parts got
>> worse:

>

>> <http://picasaweb.google.com/lh/photo/pbT1Ftfbx0nqS9O3uw0tGg?feat=dire...>

>

>> The NCEP data is low in resolution: 2.5 degree spacing. What else
>> could I try?

>

> Well, this is a pain-in-the-kiester solution, but what you
> *could* do is obtain each closed contour from the CONTOUR
> command (PATH_XY keyword, etc.) and "resample" it with the
> ArcSample program from my web page:

>

> <http://www.dfanning.com/programs/arcsample.pro>

>

> The purpose of ArcSample is to re-sample the contour
> at approximately equally spaced intervals, and then to
> use those points to interpolate the contour. This has
> the effect of smoothing the contour. I use this, for
> example, when I am getting the starting points for

> an active contour or snake algorithm.
>
> I wouldn't go to this kind of trouble unless your
> meteorologist friend is EXTREMELY anal.
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> P.S. I assume you tried using the MIN_CURVE_SURF program
> on your data and gave up because you don't have that many
> hours in the day.
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Thanks, David. I'll probably try the arcsample approach some day (I'm pretty sure I'll make more contours in IDL!). For this "simple" task, I'll see if I could do it in other softwares :(

I did try the MIN_CURVE_SURF fuction, but killed the run after 5 minutes.

Subject: Re: weird contour lines
Posted by [Brian McNoldy](#) on Mon, 02 Nov 2009 16:07:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> Libo Wang writes:
>>> Thanks, David. I've read helpful tips in your website. It is actually
>>> a bit of both aesthetic problem and a science problem! A meteorologist
>>> would probably tell me that my map is not what a standard pressure
>>> field plot should look like!
>
>>> I tried to expand my data from 144*37 to 1440*370 using cubic=-0.5 in
>>> Congrid,the contour map did improved in some parts, but some parts got
>>> worse:
>
>>> <http://picasaweb.google.com/lh/photo/pbT1Ftfbx0nqS9O3uw0tGg?feat=dire...>
>
>>> The NCEP data is low in resolution: 2.5 degree spacing. What else
>>> could I try?
>

The reason the results look so choppy is because the NCEP data are at

2.5-degree resolution and are near the pole; that results in a rather irregularly spaced grid (still a regular lat/lon grid, but the longitudes converge to a singularity). Anyway, have you tried using SMOOTH on the data like David suggested a couple days ago? This might be too simple, but could actually do the job. Try a variety of widths: 3, 5, 7. Secondly, over what time period are the surface pressure data averaged? Is it a daily field, monthly? Daily fields at 2.5 degrees are generally quite choppy by nature due to poor input data in very high latitudes. Here's a link to a plot I generated on ESRL's website of January 2009 surface pressure:

http://einstein.atmos.colostate.edu/~mcnoldy/tmp/slp_jan2009.png

Brian

=====

Brian McNoldy, Research Associate III
Dept. of Atmospheric Science
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO 80523
970.491.8558

<http://einstein.atmos.colostate.edu/~mcnoldy/>

=====