

nata wites:

```
>
> My application needs a lot of RAM so I have to improve a solution in
> order to to use less resources.
> Normally, when I've an object I use declarations like this:
>
> pro myobject__define
>   struct = { myobject, $
>             data: ptr_new() }
> end
>
> Now for example if my object has to save an array like FLTARR
> (400,400,24,97) I will use the data pointer to store this array. The
> problem is that if I do this I take a lot of computer resources. With
> this example:
> help, /mem
> aa=fltarr(400,400,24,97)
> myobject->SetProperty, DATA=aa
> aa=0I
> help, /mem
>
> IDI returns:
> heap memory used: 658906, max: 805215874, gets: 1195,
> frees: 387
> heap memory used: 1490578946, max: 1490579037, gets: 1207,
> frees: 398
>
> So, only for this example I'm using 1.4 Gb aprox. I tried to used
> ASSOC procedure but I didn't succeed.... Some suggestions or comments
> about how to reduce the memory ? There is a method to store compressed
> data or something similar ?
```

I think I would learn how to put data into a pointer without  
making a copy of it:

[http://www.dfanning.com/misc\\_tips/pointers.html](http://www.dfanning.com/misc_tips/pointers.html)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thos speakest truth.")

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [ben.bighair](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 17:09:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 3, 10:44 am, nata <bernat.puigdomen...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi gurus,  
>  
> My application needs a lot of RAM so I have to improve a solution in  
> order to to use less resources.  
> Normally, when I've an object I use declarations like this:  
>  
> pro myobject\_\_define  
> struct = { myobject, \$  
> data: ptr\_new() }  
> end  
>  
> Now for example if my object has to save an array like FLTARR  
> (400,400,24,97) I will use the data pointer to store this array. The  
> problem is that if I do this I take a lot of computer resources. With  
> this example:  
> help, /mem  
> aa=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> myobject->SetProperty, DATA=aa  
> aa=0!  
> help, /mem  
>  
> IDI returns:  
> heap memory used: 658906, max: 805215874, gets: 1195,  
> frees: 387  
> heap memory used: 1490578946, max: 1490579037, gets: 1207,  
> frees: 398  
>  
> So, only for this example I'm using 1.4 Gb aprox. I tried to used  
> ASSOC procedure but I didn't succeed.... Some suggestions or comments  
> about how to reduce the memory ? There is a method to store compressed  
> data or something similar ?

Hi,

You don't show how you assign your array to a pointer reference, but

you might see a some gain in using the /NO\_COPY keyword for PTR\_NEW()

Another thought is to allow your SetProperty method to accept a pointer to start with so that you reduce the transfer costs passing the data into the method call. Maybe something like the following will work as a switch hitter for you. Keep in mind I have written an pointer handler in a long time\*, so I might have some of the logic askew.

```
PRO MyObject::SetProperty, data = data, ...
```

```
if N_ELEMENTS(data) GT 0 then begin
  if (SIZE(data, /TYPE)) EQ 10) then begin
    if PTR_VALID(self.data) then PTR_FREE, self.data
    self.data = data
  endif else begin
    if PTR_VALID(self.data) then $
      *self.data = data else $
      self.data = PTR_NEW(data, /NO_COPY)
    endif
  endif
endif
```

```
END; SetProperty
```

Then you could do

```
aa = PTR_NEW(fltarr(400,400,24,97), /NO_COPY)
myobject->SetProperty, DATA=aa
```

Some folks might squeak (rightly so) that this approach might allows you sneaky and direct access to an object property - thus exposing the innards when you shouldn't and breaking the spirit of encapsulation. But, why should we be confined by well-reasoned and established common practice?

I am quite sure that there are other places for you to gain more on memory management but that gets above my pay grade.

Cheers,  
Ben

\* In fact, my IDL is so rusty that I kept trying to type the program blocks using { }. Oops!

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 17:40:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ben wites:

> But, why should we be confined by well-reasoned and established common  
> practice?

Exactly! :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thos speakest truth.")

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [natha](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 18:31:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm sorry guys but I don't see the difference.

I understand what are you explaining and the functionality of the  
NO\_COPY KEYWORD but the result is the same...

If I've to store an array `fltarr(400,400,24,97)` in a pointer, the  
result, in heap memory usage, is the same if I do:

```
a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)
```

```
b=ptr_new(a)
```

```
a=0l
```

```
help, /heap
```

or

```
a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)
```

```
b=ptr_new(a,/no_copy)
```

```
help, /heap
```

I'll learn about COMMONs

Cheers,

natha

So, that's not what I'm looking for. I need to keep the arrays in memory but using less memory resources. Is it possible?

Cheers,  
nata

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 18:44:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article  
<f9ac2203-ccfc-4917-b300-4db3750b6e37@m38g2000yqd.googlegroups.com>,  
nata <bernat.puigdomenech@gmail.com> wrote:

> I'm sorry guys but I don't see the difference.  
> I understand what are you explaining and the functionality of the  
> NO\_COPY KEYWORD but the result is the same...  
>  
> If I've to store an array fltarr(400,400,24,97) in a pointer, the  
> result, in heap memory usage, is the same if I do:  
>  
> a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> b=ptr\_new(a)  
> a=0!  
> help, /heap  
>  
> or  
>  
> a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> b=ptr\_new(a,/no\_copy)  
> help, /heap  
>  
  
> Cheers,  
> nata  
>  
>  
> So, that's not what I'm looking for. I need to keep the arrays in  
> memory but using less memory resources. Is it possible?

400 x 400 x 24 x 97 x (4 bytes) is approx. 1.5 GB.

The only way to use less memory is to use a smaller type  
(e.g., INTs) and give up both precision and convenience.

Memory is very cheap nowadays.

Ken Bowman

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [penteado](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 19:27:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 3, 4:31 pm, nata <bernat.puigdomen...@gmail.com> wrote:

```
> I'm sorry guys but I don't see the difference.  
> I understand what are you explaining and the functionality of the  
> NO_COPY KEYWORD but the result is the same...  
>  
> If I've to store an array fltarr(400,400,24,97) in a pointer, the  
> result, in heap memory usage, is the same if I do:  
>  
> a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> b=ptr_new(a)  
> a=0!  
> help, /heap  
>  
> or  
>  
> a=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> b=ptr_new(a,/no_copy)  
> help, /heap  
>  
> I'll learn about COMMONs  
> Cheers,  
> nata  
>  
> So, that's not what I'm looking for. I need to keep the arrays in  
> memory but using less memory resources. Is it possible?
```

The difference is that in the first way you had, for a while (between `b=ptr_new(a)` and `a=0!`), two copies of the same large array in memory, one in `a`, and another in `*b`. Yes, you free (nearly all) the memory used by `a` with `a=0!`, but before that you wasted all the memory and time to make a copy of `a`. Which is particularly relevant if the extra memory use means having to use disk cache. So use `no_copy` instead.

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [natha](#) on Thu, 03 Dec 2009 19:47:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ok thank you to all of you !

nata

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming  
Posted by [Juggernaut](#) on Fri, 04 Dec 2009 19:00:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 3, 10:44 am, nata <bernat.puigdomen...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi gurus,  
>  
> My application needs a lot of RAM so I have to improve a solution in  
> order to to use less resources.  
> Normally, when I've an object I use declarations like this:  
>  
> pro myobject\_\_define  
> struct = { myobject, \$  
> data: ptr\_new() }  
> end  
>  
> Now for example if my object has to save an array like FLTARR  
> (400,400,24,97) I will use the data pointer to store this array. The  
> problem is that if I do this I take a lot of computer resources. With  
> this example:  
> help, /mem  
> aa=fltarr(400,400,24,97)  
> myobject->SetProperty, DATA=aa  
> aa=0!  
> help, /mem  
>  
> IDI returns:  
> heap memory used: 658906, max: 805215874, gets: 1195,  
> frees: 387  
> heap memory used: 1490578946, max: 1490579037, gets: 1207,  
> frees: 398  
>  
> So, only for this example I'm using 1.4 Gb aprox. I tried to used  
> ASSOC procedure but I didn't succeed.... Some suggestions or comments  
> about how to reduce the memory ? There is a method to store compressed  
> data or something similar ?  
>  
> Thanks in advance,  
> nata

When you say you tried to use ASSOC but didn't succeed does that mean you used it and it didn't work out for you or you don't know how to use it? I've used the ASSOC command to open massive arrays and store them to disk. As long as you're willing to take a bit of a performance hit it does the job.

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming

Posted by [natha](#) on Fri, 04 Dec 2009 20:25:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

First of all, I suppose that for use the ASSOC function I need to store my arrays into a binary file. That's not very efficient...

After that, I tried to accede to the created files to get the desired information and I didn't succeed. Maybe I don't know how to use this function properly but I always have errors.

I don't know if I can use the ASSOC function in order to save compressed data using the PACKED keyword and then have access to the file efficiently. If it's possible and this is a good solution to keep information, please tell me how to do that.

---

---

Subject: Re: The best way to keep data in RAM / object-oriented programming

Posted by [Juggernaut](#) on Mon, 07 Dec 2009 17:42:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 4, 3:25 pm, nata <bernat.puigdomen...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > First of all, I suppose that for use the ASSOC function I need to
- > store my arrays into a binary file. That's not very efficient...
- > After that, I tried to accede to the created files to get the desired
- > information and I didn't succeed. Maybe I don't know how to use this
- > function properly but I always have errors.
- > I don't know if I can use the ASSOC function in order to save
- > compressed data using the PACKED keyword and then have access to the
- > file efficiently. If it's possible and this is a good solution to keep
- > information, please tell me how to do that.

; Create a blank file ready to receive data

data = FLTARR(256,256)

OPENW, lun, writename, /GET\_LUN

FOR i = 0, 99 DO WRITEU, lun, data

FREE\_LUN, lun

OPENU, datalun, writename, /GET\_LUN

A = ASSOC(dataLun, data)

; Perform some genius processing of your choosing

FOR i = 0, 99 DO BEGIN

    newFrame = FLTARR(256,256) + i

    A[i] = newFrame

ENDFOR

I believe this is the general way I did things.

---