
Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Sat, 28 Nov 2009 17:33:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

M. Katz writes:

- > Has anyone noticed or found a solution for the peculiar font-size
- > inconsistency between IDLgrWindow and IDLgrBuffer that occurs with
- > RECOMPUTE_DIMENSIONS=2? When I draw an IDLgrAxis to the window or to
- > the buffer, the font-size in the buffer is smaller by about 1.33x.
- >
- > The only way to guarantee consistency between Window and Buffer is to
- > set RECOMPUTE_DIMENSIONS=0, but then I cannot stretch the axes without
- > distorting the fonts or re-drawing them entirely.

I don't see this in IDL 7.1 for Windows. The two outputs look identical to me, except for the fact that minor tick marks are missing in the axis created in the buffer. But the fonts are absolutely identical.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference

Posted by [natha](#) on Mon, 30 Nov 2009 14:19:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had and I have the same problem with the IDLgrBuffer and I didn't found the solution...

What I do is that when I'm about to use the IDLgrBuffer I increase the font-size in order to obtain the same result. I'm using IDL 7.0..

Cheers,

nata

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference

Posted by [M. Katz](#) on Mon, 30 Nov 2009 15:00:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mac OS X I'm using 1.45 as the scaling factor (i.e. I make the IDLgrBuffer fonts larger by 1.45x to match IDLgrWindow). I have not tested Windows, but David says they match.

Nata, what OS are you using?

-M.

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference
Posted by [natha](#) on Mon, 30 Nov 2009 15:07:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Nov 30, 10:00 am, "M. Katz" <MKatz...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> On Mac OS X I'm using 1.45 as the scaling factor (i.e. I make the
> IDLgrBuffer fonts larger by 1.45x to match IDLgrWindow). I have not
> tested Windows, but David says they match.
>
> Nata, what OS are you using?

LINUX FEEDORA ! The scaling factor is also 1.45

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 30 Nov 2009 15:41:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

M. Katz writes:

> On Mac OS X I'm using 1.45 as the scaling factor (i.e. I make the
> IDLgrBuffer fonts larger by 1.45x to match IDLgrWindow). I have not
> tested Windows, but David says they match.

What has ITTVIS had to say about this? I was on their web page this morning looking for news, but didn't find anything in the Tech Tips. I'm never sure, though, if this is because there is nothing there or because their hapless search engine is still inept. In any case "IDLgrBuffer" or "buffer" or "object graphics" didn't turn anything up.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference
Posted by [Karl\[1\]](#) on Mon, 30 Nov 2009 16:47:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Nov 30, 9:41 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> M. Katz writes:

>> On Mac OS X I'm using 1.45 as the scaling factor (i.e. I make the
>> IDLgrBuffer fonts larger by 1.45x to match IDLgrWindow). I have not
>> tested Windows, but David says they match.

>

> What has ITTVIS had to say about this? I was on their
> web page this morning looking for news, but didn't find
> anything in the Tech Tips. I'm never sure, though, if this
> is because there is nothing there or because their hapless
> search engine is still inept. In any case "IDLgrBuffer"
> or "buffer" or "object graphics" didn't turn anything
> up.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

I think it has to do with the default RESOLUTION of the IDLgrBuffer device.

Recall that an IDLgrBuffer is not a physical device. Since there is no piece of hardware for it to query, it simply sets its RESOLUTION property to 72 DPI as a somewhat arbitrary, but reasonable, default value. Since this property is writable, you can change it.

An IDLgrWindow can query the hardware and figure out how big a pixel is (resolution). It then sets its RESOLUTION property accordingly to communicate the value to the application; it cannot be changed.

If text is to be drawn at a specific physical size (e.g., 12 points or

12/72 inches), then the object needs to know the size of a pixel in order to draw something of a specific size. On a 72 DPI device, a 12-point font would occupy 12 pixels in height. Of course, this is the size of the entire "box" for the glyph; this does not mean that all glyphs are 12 pixels tall.

You can specify coordinates in Object Graphics using inches and centimeters, right? If OG is going to draw a line 1 inch long, it had better know the size of pixels on the device so it knows how many to draw to make an inch.

The first post to this thread mentioned a 4/3 scale factor. Many displays are 96 DPI, which explains that ratio. Perhaps the 1.45 comes from Apple display hardware.

It seems to me that the smart way to fix this is to query the RESOLUTION from the IDLgrWindow that you want to match up with the IDLgrBuffer and set the IDLgrBuffer's RESOLUTION property to match. This should be better than scaling the font size with some fixed value. It will also work with displays that have different resolutions than the ones used to compute this fixed scale factor. Other things in Object Graphics like line widths use the RESOLUTION property, as well as things specified in inches or centimeters.

It's been awhile and I'm not sure that this is right. But the docs seem to support the concept. See the reference pages for IDLgrBuffer.

Karl

Subject: Re: IDLgrWindow vs. IDLgrBuffer, font-rendering difference
Posted by [M. Katz](#) on Tue, 01 Dec 2009 04:37:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karl, you're absolutely right! Thank you.

Using GetProperty on newly created IDLgrWindow and IDLgrBuffer objects, you can see that they have different resolutions on Mac, but the same resolution on Windows. So now to make the two objects match their respective font sizes, I can note the default resolution for the IDLgrWindow, and then set the RESOLUTION keyword when the IDLgrBuffer is created.

-M.
