## Subject: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by robintw on Tue, 19 Jan 2010 17:48:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Another question from me I'm afraid. I'm trying to implement a routine which needs to be able to calculate the local maxima of a small window moved across an array. That is, I have a large array and I will need to move a small 3x3 array across it, each time working out what the maximum value of that array is and storing its index (or selecting it in some other way).

I've investigated various methods for doing this, including the dilate method, but I can't seem to get them to work properly.

Is there any good (as in fast, efficient and elegant) way of doing this, or will I be reduced to using for loops and lots of IF statements?

Best regards,

Robin University of Southampton

Subject: Re: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by rogass on Thu, 21 Jan 2010 14:55:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 19 Jan., 18:48, Robin Wilson <r.t.wil...@rmplc.co.uk> wrote: > Hi. > > Another question from me I'm afraid. I'm trying to implement a routine > which needs to be able to calculate the local maxima of a small window > moved across an array. That is, I have a large array and I will need to > move a small 3x3 array across it, each time working out what the maximum > value of that array is and storing its index (or selecting it in some > other way). > > I've investigated various methods for doing this, including the dilate > method, but I can't seem to get them to work properly. > > Is there any good (as in fast, efficient and elegant) way of doing this, or will I be reduced to using for loops and lots of IF statements? > Best regards, > > Robin

> University of Southampton

Dear Robin,

did you tried to reform that array to 3D and to find the MAXima and their indices together with the keyword DIMENSION=3? Don't forget that REFORM 'forms' rowwise.

Cheers

CR

Subject: Re: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by robintw on Thu, 21 Jan 2010 17:39:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > Dear Robin,
- > did you tried to reform that array to 3D and to find the MAXima and
- > their indices together with the keyword DIMENSION=3? Don't forget that
- > REFORM 'forms' rowwise.

>

> Cheers

>

> CR

Hi Chris,

Thank you very much for your suggestion. I have looked at the documentation for the REFORM function, but I'm not sure how to reform the array to a suitable 3D form so that MAX will work with the dimension=3 keyword. Could you provide some more details?

Best regards,

Robin Wilson University of Southampton

Subject: Re: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by rogass on Fri, 22 Jan 2010 09:56:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 21 Jan., 18:39, Robin Wilson <r.t.wil...@rmplc.co.uk> wrote:

- >> Dear Robin.
- >> did you tried to reform that array to 3D and to find the MAXima and
- >> their indices together with the keyword DIMENSION=3? Don't forget that
- >> REFORM 'forms' rowwise.

```
>> Cheers
>> CR
> Hi Chris,
> Thank you very much for your suggestion. I have looked at the
> documentation for the REFORM function, but I'm not sure how to reform
> the array to a suitable 3D form so that MAX will work with the
> dimension=3 keyword. Could you provide some more details?
> Best regards,
>
> Robin Wilson
> University of Southampton
Dear Robin,
basically the code without a loop could be:
function cr get windowed extrema,b,sx k,sy k
sk = long(sx_k)*long(sy_k)
sz = size(b,dimensions)
sm = long(sz[0])*long(sz[1])
ind= (reform((transpose(lindgen(sz[0],sz[1])))[*],sx_k,sm/sx_k))
[0:sy k-1,*]
mins= min(b[(reform((transpose((transpose(rebin(ind,sx k,sm/sy k,s y k)
+$
        rebin(lindgen(1,1,sy_k),sx_k,sm/sx_k,sy_k),[0,2,1])),
[1,0,2]),sk,sm/sx_k))],$
        minind,max=maxs,subscript_max=maxind,dimension=1)
ind2=(lindgen(sx_k,sm/sk))[*,0:*:sy_k]
return, {mins:mins[ind2],minind:minind[ind2],maxs:maxs
[ind2],maxind:maxind[ind2]}
end
As potential output I got:
IDL> b=randomn(seed,9,9)
IDL> c=cr_get_windowed_extrema(b,3,3)
IDL> print,b
  -0.232820
               -1.81190
                          -1.79086 -0.0838641
                                                  -1.42229
-0.569596 -0.000931759
                                      0.203128
                          0.197937
  -0.742161
               -1.04460
                          0.286660
                                       1.59126
                                                  -1.18528
1.11088
          -1.17374
                      -1.51570
                                 0.156324
  0.265435 -1.02502 -0.232129
                                      0.259060
                                                 -0.825678
```

```
-0.386492
           0.275219
                      -0.886818
                                 -0.210116
   1.20696 0.0987463
                         -1.22906
                                   -0.155326
                                                1.27177
                    -0.864291
-1.25504
          0.650159
                                 -0.915809
  0.207192
             -0.544278
                         -1.79930
                                  0.0309544
                                               -0.609460
-0.348675
          -0.199986
                       0.518268
                                  -1.03154
   1.35320
              1.08140 -0.00415816 -0.822823
                                               -0.570877
-1.01163
          -1.01084
                      1.87093
                                -1.31978
  -0.486999
              0.565098
                         0.140825
                                   0.0224620
                                                0.851600
0.922738
         -0.779988
                      0.251917
                                  0.834798
  -1.06734
              1.14913
                       -0.539062
                                   -0.584468
                                              -0.426683
0.869110
           0.384573
                       1.50669
                                 0.350647
                          1.72066
  0.478418
              0.458704
                                    1.48684
                                              -0.250672
0.920115 -0.324874
                      -1.49407 -0.0624892
IDL> print,c.mins
  -1.81190
             -1.79930
                        -1.06734
  -1.42229
             -1.25504
                       -0.584468
  -1.51570
             -1.31978
                        -1.49407
IDL> print,c.maxs
  0.286660
              1.35320
                         1.72066
   1.59126
              1.27177
                        1.48684
  0.275219
              1.87093
                         1.50669
IDL> print,c.minind
                        14
                                     21
           1
           82
                        92
                                      102
          166
                        179
                                       187
IDL> print,c.maxind
           5
                        15
                                      26
           84
                        91
                                      105
          168
                        178
                                       184
```

Hope, it works for you :) Maybe there are some unnecessary computations, so you might optimize the code...

Cheers

CR

Subject: Re: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by Yngvar Larsen on Mon, 25 Jan 2010 15:55:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jan 19, 6:48 pm, Robin Wilson <r.t.wil...@rmplc.co.uk> wrote:

> Hi,

>

- > Another question from me I'm afraid. I'm trying to implement a routine
- > which needs to be able to calculate the local maxima of a small window
- > moved across an array. That is, I have a large array and I will need to

- > move a small 3x3 array across it, each time working out what the maximum
- > value of that array is and storing its index (or selecting it in some
- > other way).

>

- > I've investigated various methods for doing this, including the dilate
- > method, but I can't seem to get them to work properly.

>

- > Is there any good (as in fast, efficient and elegant) way of doing this,
- > or will I be reduced to using for loops and lots of IF statements?

Some kind of FOR loop is unavoidable, I think.

Depending of the size of your array, this code will do (most of) the job efficiently. Elegant? Well...

```
x = [-1, 0, 1, -1, 0, 1, -1, 0, 1]
y = [-1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
; Or in general for a sliding (Kx x Ky) window
x = lindgen(Kx)-Kx/2
y = Iindgen(Ky)-Ky/2
x = (x[*,lindgen(Ky)])[*]
y = (transpose(y[*,lindgen(Kx)]))[*]
sliding_3x3_max = shift(array, x[0], y[0])
```

for ii=1, 8 do sliding\_3x3\_max >= shift(array, x[ii], y[ii])

Note that the border case isn't handled. This is left as an exercise for the reader:) Also, if you really need the index for each maximum instead of the value, you must do a bit more work inside the loop.

My experience is that this method works well for operations on sliding windows up to about 15x15, but for larger windows, the cost of the (quite fast) SHIFT function starts to dominate when compared to the straightforward double loop approach.

Yngvar

Subject: Re: Local Maxima of 2D array Posted by karo on Wed. 27 Jan 2010 05:00:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you have data in int or uint you could use the dilate procedure with a 3x3 array as structuring element. Dilate calculates the max and erode the min! Have care with keywords, /GREY will be nessecary

## Regards Karsten

Am 19.01.10 18:48 schrieb "Robin Wilson" unter <r.t.wilson@rmplc.co.uk> in i6CdnU6cXJD-bcjWnZ2dnUVZ8vudnZ2d@bt.com:

- > Hi, > > Another question from me I'm afraid. I'm trying to implement a routine > which needs to be able to calculate the local maxima of a small window > moved across an array. That is, I have a large array and I will need to > move a small 3x3 array across it, each time working out what the maximum > value of that array is and storing its index (or selecting it in some > other way). > I've investigated various methods for doing this, including the dilate method, but I can't seem to get them to work properly. > Is there any good (as in fast, efficient and elegant) way of doing this, or will I be reduced to using for loops and lots of IF statements? >
- > Robin

>

Best regards,

> University of Southampton