

---

Subject: Re: Unsigned Integer Math Problem

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 14:34:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Apr 21, 10:12 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Folks,  
>  
> I've run into a problem with my Histogram code this morning.  
>  
> It is extremely important to the Histogram command that the  
> data type of the BINSIZE argument be the same as the data  
> type of the data for which the histogram is being calculated.  
> I don't know why this is the case, but it is.  
>  
> In any case, I'm extremely careful about this. But this  
> is giving me a problem when I try to make a histogram plot  
> of an image that is stored as unsigned integers (UINT).  
>  
> Basically, to make my plot I take the output minimum  
> and maximum from the histogram command and subtract (or  
> add) a full binsize to those numbers to give the X axis  
> range of the plot.  
>  
> My problem is this. The OMIN of the histogram is 0, the  
> binsize is 726.  
>  
> IDL> help, omin, binsize  
> OMIN UINT = 0  
> BINSIZE UINT = 726  
>  
> When I make the calculation for the minimum data range  
> of my axis, I do this:  
>  
> IDL> min\_xrange = omin - binsize  
> IDL> Help, min\_xrange  
> MIN\_XRANGE UINT = 64810  
>  
> Now, this causes the minimum x range to be larger than the  
> maximum x range and results in complete chaos downstream.  
>  
> Clearly, I don't want the minimum x range value to be less  
> than zero in this case, but I also don't want to force the  
> value to be zero if the minimum I want is somewhat higher  
> than this, say 1200. How do I test for this? Clearly, this  
> does not work:  
>  
> min\_xrange = (omin - binsize) > 0  
>

- > Since this number 64810 \*is\* larger than zero, and WRONG!
- >
- > I guess my real question is this: How do I do arithmetic
- > operations with unsigned integers in a way that preserves
- > the nature of unsigned integers?
- >
- > Any ideas on this?

Hi David, I think there is no way to do exactly what you want. But this is a "doctor it hurts when I do this" problem. For plotting purposes, there is absolutely no harm in upcasting to a signed integer, and \*then\* doing your devious arithmetic.

For that matter, why even bother with integers? For plotting purposes, make your calculations in double precision!

Craig

---

Subject: Re: Unsigned Integer Math Problem  
Posted by [liamgumley](#) on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 14:37:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David,

If min\_xrange is only used for creating the plot, then why not use

```
min_xrange = long(omin) - long(binsize)
```

Liam.

---

Practical IDL Programming  
<http://www.gumley.com/>

---

Subject: Re: Unsigned Integer Math Problem  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 14:46:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt writes:

- > Hi David, I think there is no way to do exactly what you want. But
- > this is a "doctor it hurts when I do this" problem. For plotting
- > purposes, there is absolutely no harm in upcasting to a signed
- > integer, and \*then\* doing your devious arithmetic.
- >
- > For that matter, why even bother with integers? For plotting

> purposes, make your calculations in double precision!

Well, as I just discovered in another program where this same thing occurs, this is exactly what I do. :-(

I brought this up mostly as a cautionary tale about doing math with unsigned integers. This is the second time in a week unsigned integers have given me heartburn.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---

Subject: Re: Unsigned Integer Math Problem  
Posted by [jeanh](#) on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 17:19:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Clearly, I don't want the minimum x range value to be less  
> than zero in this case, but I also don't want to force the  
> value to be zero if the minimum I want is somewhat higher  
> than this, say 1200. How do I test for this? Clearly, this  
> does not work:  
>  
> min\_xrange = (omin - binsize)> 0  
>  
> Since this number 64810 \*is\* larger than zero, and WRONG!

what about doing if (omin-binsize) gt omin then min\_xrange=0

Jean

---