## Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by Gray on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 21:04:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Apr 22, 5:03 pm, Gray <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote: > Hi all,

> > I have a function declaration with both positional and keyword > parameters like this: > FUNCTION WR\_subtract, imga, imgb, WARP\_DEG=wdeg, SKY\_STYLE=skyst, \$ SCALE STYLE=scast > ...and in another procedure I call the function like this: > interp\_res = wr\_subtract(intrp,civ,warp\_deg=3.,sky\_style=1,scale\_style=1) However, that function call gives me a syntax error when I try to compile: > interp res = > wr\_subtract(intrp,civ,warp\_deg=3.,sky\_style=1,scale\_style=1) > Λ % Syntax error. > > Why the error?? > > Thanks!

Hm... because of text wrapping, that didn't quite work. The error is apparently at "warp\_deg=3.".

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by penteado on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 21:14:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Apr 22, 6:04 pm, Gray <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Apr 22, 5:03 pm, Gray <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
Hi all,

> --Grav

```
>
>> I have a function declaration with both positional and keyword
>> parameters like this:
>> FUNCTION WR_subtract, imga, imgb, WARP_DEG=wdeg, SKY_STYLE=skyst, $
    SCALE_STYLE=scast
>
>> ...and in another procedure I call the function like this:
>> interp res =
   wr_subtract(intrp,civ,warp_deg=3.,sky_style=1,scale_style=1)
>> However, that function call gives me a syntax error when I try to
>> compile:
>>
      interp_res =
   wr subtract(intrp.civ.warp deg=3.,sky style=1,scale style=1)
>>
٨
>> % Syntax error.
>> Why the error??
>> Thanks!
>> --Grav
>
> Hm... because of text wrapping, that didn't quite work. The error is
> apparently at "warp_deg=3.".
Sounds like IDL is interpreting wr_subtract(...) as an array, not a
function. Do you use compile opt idl2?
```

```
Subject: Re: baffling syntax error
Posted by Michael Galloy on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 21:16:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
```

```
On 4/22/10 3:03 PM, Gray wrote:

> Hi all,

> I have a function declaration with both positional and keyword

> parameters like this:

> FUNCTION WR_subtract, imga, imgb, WARP_DEG=wdeg, SKY_STYLE=skyst, $

> SCALE_STYLE=scast

> ...and in another procedure I call the function like this:
```

```
> interp_res =
> wr_subtract(intrp,civ,warp_deg=3.,sky_style=1,scale_style=1)
> However, that function call gives me a syntax error when I try to
> compile:
> interp_res =
> wr_subtract(intrp,civ,warp_deg=3.,sky_style=1,scale_style=1)
> % Syntax error.
> Why the error??
> Thanks!
> --Gray
```

I would guess that IDL thinks that "wr\_subtract" is an array and you invalidly indexing it. Fix this with a "compile\_opt strictarr" statement at the beginning of the routine that \*calls\* wr\_subtract (and, of course, by using []'s, not ()'s, to index arrays inside that routine).

Mike

www.michaelgalloy.com Research Mathematician Tech-X Corporation

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error
Posted by Gray on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 21:29:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Apr 22, 5:16 pm, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 4/22/10 3:03 PM, Gray wrote:

> >

> Hi all,

> I have a function declaration with both positional and keyword

> parameters like this:

> FUNCTION WR_subtract, imga, imgb, WARP_DEG=wdeg, SKY_STYLE=skyst, $

> SCALE_STYLE=scast

> ...and in another procedure I call the function like this:
```

```
>
>> interp res =
>> wr_subtract(intrp,civ,warp_deg=3.,sky_style=1,scale_style=1)
>> However, that function call gives me a syntax error when I try to
>> compile:
>
      interp_res =
>>
    wr subtract(intrp,civ,warp deg=3.,sky style=1,scale style=1)
>>
>> % Syntax error.
>
>> Why the error??
>> Thanks!
>> --Grav
> I would guess that IDL thinks that "wr subtract" is an array and you
> invalidly indexing it. Fix this with a "compile_opt strictarr" statement
> at the beginning of the routine that *calls* wr subtract (and, of
> course, by using []'s, not ()'s, to index arrays inside that routine).
>
> Mike
> --www.michaelgalloy.com
> Research Mathematician
> Tech-X Corporation
```

Haha. I always forget about this, since I only index arrays with [] thanks to my c++ background.

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by R.G.Stockwell on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 22:49:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"Gray" <graylikethecolor@gmail.com> wrote in message news:3fd12140-7afc-4375-b8d6-3bf139f1d2ab@e21g2000vbb.google groups.com... On Apr 22, 5:16 pm, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote: > On 4/22/10 3:03 PM, Gray wrote: ....
```

Haha. I always forget about this, since I only index arrays with []thanks to my c++ background.

one followup would be to figure out why this happened in the first place. You may not have paths/ etc set up correctly, causing IDL to think it

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by penteado on Thu, 22 Apr 2010 23:16:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Apr 22, 7:49 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <noem...@please.com> wrote:

> "Gray" <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:3fd12140-7afc-4375-b8d6-3bf139f1d2ab@e21g2000vbb.google groups.com...

> On Apr 22, 5:16 pm, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:> On 4/22/10 3:03 PM, Gray wrote:>

> ....

> Haha. I always forget about this, since I only index arrays with []

>> thanks to my c++ background.

> one followup would be to figure out why this happened in the first place.

> You may not have paths/ etc set up correctly, causing IDL to think it

> was an array.
```

No path problems are needed. Without the compile\_opt, if a name is used by a variable and there is no function with that name already compiled, IDL will assume it is a variable name, and will not try to find a function to compile. But if a compiled function uses a name, any references to the name will be interpreted as function calls, ignoring a variable of the same name, regardless of when the variable was defined.

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by R.G.Stockwell on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 16:49:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
> "pp" <pp.penteado@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:691274b1-c3fe-4a80-b984-822c70bdc9dc@g30g2000prf.google groups.com...
> On Apr 22, 7:49 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <noem...@please.com> wrote:
>> "Gray" <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>
> news:3fd12140-7afc-4375-b8d6-3bf139f1d2ab@e21g2000vbb.google groups.com...
>> On Apr 22, 5:16 pm, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:> On 4/22/10 3:03
>> PM, Gray wrote:
>> ....
```

>>

>>> Haha. I always forget about this, since I only index arrays with []

>>> thanks to my c++ background.

>>

- >> one followup would be to figure out why this happened in the first
- >> place.
  >> You may not have paths/ etc set up correctly causing IDL to think
- >> You may not have paths/ etc set up correctly, causing IDL to think it >> was an array.

>

- > No path problems are needed. Without the compile\_opt, if a name is
- > used by a variable and there is no function with that name already
- > compiled, IDL will assume it is a variable name, and will not try to
- > find a function to compile. But if a compiled function uses a name,
- > any references to the name will be interpreted as function calls,
- > ignoring a variable of the same name, regardless of when the variable
- > was defined.

agree. i'm just guessing as to the cause, and path problems are very common. Could either be a newly created function that is not in the cached path, or perhaps misnamed, or not in the path at all.

My point being, if it is a problem like that, then it will still be a problem

in the future, and the compile option won't fix it.

cheers, bob

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 17:36:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## R.G. Stockwell writes:

- > agree. i'm just guessing as to the cause, and path problems are
- > very common. Could either be a newly created function that is not in
- > the cached path, or perhaps misnamed, or not in the path at all.

>

- > My point being, if it is a problem like that, then it will still be a
- > problem
- > in the future, and the compile option won't fix it.

I've taken it as the Gospel truth that if files are named correctly this problem NEVER occurs:

http://www.dfanning.com/tips/namefiles.html

But I ran into a situation about a month ago, and I don't remember all the details now, but, basically, the ONLY way to solve the problem was to add a compile option. It made me wonder if IDL had changed something about the order in which it sorts things out, because I had never run into this problem before.

I'll have to get a beer or two, probably, before I can remember all the details. :-(

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error
Posted by Gray on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 18:44:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Apr 23, 1:36 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > R.G. Stockwell writes:
- >> agree. i'm just guessing as to the cause, and path problems are
- >> very common. Could either be a newly created function that is not in
- >> the cached path, or perhaps misnamed, or not in the path at all.

>

- >> My point being, if it is a problem like that, then it will still be a
- >> problem
- >> in the future, and the compile option won't fix it.

>

- > I've taken it as the Gospel truth that if files
- > are named correctly this problem NEVER occurs:

>

http://www.dfanning.com/tips/namefiles.html

>

- > But I ran into a situation about a month ago, and I
- > don't remember all the details now, but, basically,
- > the ONLY way to solve the problem was to add a
- > compile option. It made me wonder if IDL had changed
- > something about the order in which it sorts things out,

- because I had never run into this problem before.
  I'll have to get a beer or two, probably, before I
  can remember all the details. :-(
  Cheers,
  David
- > David Fanning, Ph.D.
- > Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
- > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:http://www.dfanning.com/
- > Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Well, here's what happened - the function that was being called was included in a file along with a bunch of other routines. I needed to write another wrapper to use some of those functions to work in a limited capacity only, so I was simply compiling the original wrapper file before I compiled my new wrapper file. BUT, at one point I forgot to do that, so I got the above error. Since I already write code in strict\_arr anyway (it's much less confusing to read), I should just turn on idl2 out of habit, so these things don't happen.

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 19:11:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Gray writes:

- > Well, here's what happened the function that was being called was
- > included in a file along with a bunch of other routines. I needed to
- > write another wrapper to use some of those functions to work in a
- > limited capacity only, so I was simply compiling the original wrapper
- > file before I compiled my new wrapper file. BUT, at one point I
- > forgot to do that, so I got the above error. Since I already write
- > code in strict\_arr anyway (it's much less confusing to read), I should
- > just turn on idl2 out of habit, so these things don't happen.

|  |  | r restore |  |  |
|--|--|-----------|--|--|
|  |  |           |  |  |

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error Posted by R.G.Stockwell on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 19:56:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>

- > "Gray" <graylikethecolor@gmail.com> wrote in message
- > news:e6a63f50-7cd4-4ad8-a6c9-9c0832835ff8@d34g2000vbl.google groups.com...

>

- > Well, here's what happened the function that was being called was
- > included in a file along with a bunch of other routines. I needed to
- > write another wrapper to use some of those functions to work in a
- > limited capacity only, so I was simply compiling the original wrapper
- > file before I compiled my new wrapper file. BUT, at one point I
- > forgot to do that, so I got the above error. Since I already write
- > code in strict\_arr anyway (it's much less confusing to read), I should
- > just turn on idl2 out of habit, so these things don't happen.

That is what i was going for. And, setting idl2 does not prevent you from getting an error in that scenario, though I assume you get a more useful error message. :)

cheers, bob

PS some idl users never compile a routine.