Subject: Re: Why there is no cot function in IDL Posted by Wout De Nolf on Mon, 10 May 2010 12:49:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 10 May 2010 04:20:06 -0700 (PDT), Ding <gardener\_2003@hotmail.com> wrote:

> There is nor cotangent function in IDL, it is very stupid!

You do realize that cot(x) = 1/tan(x)? Can you explain why this is not sufficient?

Subject: Re: Why there is no cot function in IDL Posted by Aram Panasenco on Tue, 11 May 2010 01:44:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Wox wrote:

- > On Mon, 10 May 2010 04:20:06 -0700 (PDT), Ding
- > <gardener 2003@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>> There is nor cotangent function in IDL, it is very stupid!

>

- > You do realize that cot(x) = 1/tan(x)? Can you explain why this is
- > not sufficient?

That, and I do not believe there is a cotangent function in any programming language.

Subject: Re: Why there is no cot function in IDL Posted by penteado on Tue, 11 May 2010 02:24:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On May 10, 10:44 pm, Aram Panasenco <panasencoa...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Wox wrote:
- >> On Mon, 10 May 2010 04:20:06 -0700 (PDT), Ding
- >> <gardener 2...@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>>> There is nor cotangent function in IDL, it is very stupid!

>

- >> You do realize that cot(x) = 1/tan(x)? Can you explain why this is
- >> not sufficient?

>

- > That, and I do not believe there is a cotangent function in any
- > programming language.

I agree it seems unnecessary. Which is probably why Perl has it (the only language I know that does), though I think it is just an alias.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive