
Subject: Re: dealing with arguments

Posted by [penteado](#) on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 22:12:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Use keywords instead of positional arguments:

```
pro name, mode, s1=s1, s2=s2
```

That way, when calling the routine you can use any order, or omit any argument, and they still get associated to the right variables. Also consider replacing s1 and s2 by more descriptive names, to make it easier for users to know what the arguments mean. With keywords, you can still use the short names inside your routine:

```
pro name, mode, some_argument_name_1=s1, some_other_argument=s2
```

Subject: Re: dealing with arguments

Posted by [fgg](#) on Wed, 02 Jun 2010 22:17:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jun 2, 3:12 pm, pp <pp.pente...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Use keywords instead of positional arguments:

>

> pro name, mode, s1=s1, s2=s2

>

> That way, when calling the routine you can use any order, or omit any

> argument, and they still get associated to the right variables. Also

> consider replacing s1 and s2 by more descriptive names, to make it

> easier for users to know what the arguments mean. With keywords, you

> can still use the short names inside your routine:

>

> pro name, mode, some_argument_name_1=s1, some_other_argument=s2

Thank you, pp. So if mode=2 and I want to make s2=100 then all I need is:

```
praname, 2, s2=100 ?
```
