
Subject: Re: tickname problem?
Posted by [djl](#) on Sat, 03 Jun 1995 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

afl@cdc.noaa.gov (Andy Loughe) writes:

> There may be a bug in IDL 4.0 (What am I saying??!!)
> I try this to an X-device and everything looks fine...
> contour, dist(20), xtickname=['A','B','C','D','E','F','G']
> but when I try the same command to a postscript device I get NO
> xticknames whatsoever! What gives here? Enquiring minds want to know.

We found the same thing. RSI's suggested workaround was "Use global variables" (!x.tickname, !x.ticks,...). Sure, that works, but it's not really all that satisfactory.

We have experienced so many problems with IDL 4.0 that we have removed it and are back to using 3.5.1 until something gets fixed :-(

Regards,

David.

--

| David J. Low dlow@physics.adelaide.edu.au Oooo. |
| () |
| WWW: http://www.physics.adelaide.edu.au/~dlow/dlow.html) / |
| "I'd rather be lost in the Darkness than blinded by the Light" (_/ |
