
Subject: tickname problem?

Posted by [afl](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There may be a bug in IDL 4.0 (What am I saying??!!)

I try this to an X-device and everything looks fine...

```
contour, dist(20), xtickname=['A','B','C','D','E','F','G']
```

but when I try the same command to a postscript device I get NO xticknames whatsoever! What gives here? Enquiring minds want to know.

One may have problems with ytickname, etc., but I have not really tested this out yet. Can this problem be replicated at your site?

--

Andrew F. Loughe email: afl@cdc.noaa.gov
University of Colorado, CIRES voice: (303) 492-0707
Campus Box 449 fax: (303) 497-7013
Boulder, CO 80309-0449 USA

Subject: Re: tickname

Posted by [davidf](#) on Tue, 26 Sep 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Klaus Scipal (kscipal@ipf.tuwien.ac.at) writes:

> I wonder if there is a possibility of setting the graphics keyword tickname
> to an array greater than 30 using IDL 5.2.

No possibility. :-)

You can, however, always use the [XYZ]Tickformat keyword to write a simple routine that can format the tick labels anyway you like, which gives you the possibility of having an unlimited number of string labels.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
