
Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 06 Oct 2010 15:22:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Truong Le writes:

> I would like to know if it is possible to execute a proc without
> seeing an idl workbench pop-up?

Uh, you could use the IDL command line. Typically, you
get to the IDL command line by starting IDL with the "idl"
command, rather than the "idlde" command.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench

Posted by [Jean\[2\]](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2010 14:53:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 6, 11:22 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Truong Le writes:

>> I would like to know if it is possible to execute a proc without

>> seeing an idl workbench pop-up?

>

> Uh, you could use the IDL command line. Typically, you

> get to the IDL command line by starting IDL with the "idl"

> command, rather than the "idlde" command.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Trying here for the 4th time to send a post !

You have also the option of using a .sav file (you will need the IDL virtual machine to run it. IDL VM is free), or create an executable. The big advantage of the executable is that you or whoever is going to be your user, won't need the IDL VM.

Jean

Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2010 18:56:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jean writes:

> You have also the option of using a .sav file (you will need the IDL
> virtual machine to run it. IDL VM is free), or create an executable.
> The big advantage of the executable is that you or whoever is going to
> be your user, won't need the IDL VM.

Uh, before anyone gets too excited, it *is* possible to create an IDL executable, but the last time I looked it cost about \$15K for the license. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. And that was about 10 years ago!

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2010 19:01:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

> Uh, before anyone gets too excited, it *is*
> possible to create an IDL executable, but
> the last time I looked it cost about \$15K
> for the license. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> P.S. And that was about 10 years ago!

Now that I think about it, \$15K is about what
IDL costs in Europe. It must have been a lot more.
Probably \$25K for the special license 10 years ago.
I'd guess in the neighborhood of \$50K today, and
you have to come up the cash for every new
version of IDL!

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say, I probably wouldn't get the new
IDL 8 version. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench

Posted by [Jean\[2\]](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2010 20:38:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 8, 3:01 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> David Fanning writes:
>> Uh, before anyone gets too excited, it *is*
>> possible to create an IDL executable, but
>> the last time I looked it cost about \$15K
>> for the license. :-)
>
>> Cheers,
>
>> David
>

>> P.S. And that was about 10 years ago!
>
> Now that I think about it, \$15K is about what
> IDL costs in Europe. It must have been a lot more.
> Probably \$25K for the special license 10 years ago.
> I'd guess in the neighborhood of \$50K today, and
> you have to come up the cash for every new
> version of IDL!
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> P.S. Let's just say, I probably wouldn't get the new
> IDL 8 version. :-)
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

I made all my executable for free (and for windows 32, 64 bits, linux 32, 64 and mac in the same time !) I remember a link explaining how to do it in detail. Let me know if anyone is interested and I will put the link.

Jean

Subject: Re: execute an idl procedure without seeing idl workbench
Posted by [R.G.Stockwell](#) on Wed, 13 Oct 2010 19:07:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Jean" <jeanbilheux@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7cae2739-ddf3-4500-a79f-e267c361f94b@a36g2000yqc.google groups.com...
> On Oct 8, 3:01 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
>> David Fanning writes:
>>> Uh, before anyone gets too excited, it *is*
>>> possible to create an IDL executable, but
>>> the last time I looked it cost about \$15K
>>> for the license. :-)
>>
>>> Cheers,
>>
>>> David
>>
>>> P.S. And that was about 10 years ago!

>>
>> Now that I think about it, \$15K is about what
>> IDL costs in Europe. It must have been a lot more.
>> Probably \$25K for the special license 10 years ago.
>> I'd guess in the neighborhood of \$50K today, and
>> you have to come up the cash for every new
>> version of IDL!
>>
>> Cheers,
>>
>> David
>>
>> P.S. Let's just say, I probably wouldn't get the new
>> IDL 8 version. :-)
>>
>> --
>> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>
>> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
>
> I made all my executable for free (and for windows 32, 64 bits, linux
> 32, 64 and mac in the same time !) I remember a link explaining how to
> do it in detail. Let me know if anyone is interested and I will put
> the link.
>
> Jean

(raises hand)
